



FACTORS AFFECTING INTENSIVE CARE AND MORTALITY IN SARS-CoV 2019 HOSPITAL PATIENTS

Clinical Research

Gulfidan Uzan*	Department of Chest Disease, University of Health Science, Sultangazi Haseki Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey. *Corresponding Author
Bedriye Kar	Department of Chest Disease, University of Health Science, Sultangazi Haseki Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey
Evren Canel Karakas	Department of Chest Disease, University of Health Science, Sultangazi Haseki Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey
Macit Koldas	Department of Medical Laboratory, University of Health Science, Sultangazi Haseki Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey
Mehmet Mesut Sonmez	Department of Ortophedic, University of Health Science, Sultangazi Haseki Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Background: Information on prognosis and treatment in COVID-19 is limited and variable. We wanted to report the demographic, clinical, laboratory, radiological data and follow-up results of our patients diagnosed with COVID-19 in the study and to determine the factors affecting prognosis and mortality. **Materials-methods:** The study included 1161 inpatients with PCR positive and/or radiologically diagnosed COVID-19 pneumonia. Of these, 151 patients were taken to the intensive care unit and 37 patients were intubated. The data obtained through the system were evaluated retrospectively and observationally. **Results:** The mean age of 1161 inpatients was 54.5 years and 616 (53.1%) were male. 104 (8.9%) of 1161 inpatients died. 151 (13%) were taken to the intensive care unit. Of these, 37 (24.5%) were intubated. The analysis revealed age ($p<0.001$), gender ($p<0.001$), presence of comorbid disease ($p<0.001$), cough ($p<0.001$), shortness of breath ($p<0.001$), fatigue and malaise symptoms ($p<0.001$) and in the laboratory and significant correlation was found with some laboratory parameters and some treatment options ($p<0.001$). When the living and deceased patients were compared; age ($p<0.001$), gender ($p=0.001$), presence of additional disease ($p<0.001$), cough ($p<0.001$), shortness of breath ($p<0.001$), malaise and fatigue symptoms ($p<0.001$), in the laboratory; significant correlation was found with some laboratory parameters and some treatment options ($p<0.001$). **Conclusion:** We believe that these data obtained in our study will be important in predicting prognosis and mortality and in effective patient management. We wanted to emphasize that hydroxychloroquine, favipiravir, methylprednisolone and enoxaparin are effective in reducing mortality in the treatment.

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, prognosis, mortality, treatment, intensive care, inflammation, co-morbidities.

INTRODUCTION

Clinical course varies in COVID-19. While it is mostly spent outstanding with asymptomatic or mild symptoms, some patients experience hospitalization and death with severe symptoms in the intensive care unit.^{1,2,3} Mortality in critical patients has been reported to be 26-62% in studies reported from China and Italy and 23-50% in studies reported from Seattle and New York.^{4,5,6} In another article from America, mortality was reported as 18.7%.⁸ Information on factors affecting clinical course is limited. Various risk factors were emphasized in the studies. The age of the patient, smoking status, symptom, presence of comorbid disease were reported as risk factors.^{9,10} We aimed to be effective in the follow-up and management of people diagnosed with COVID-19 by determining the factors effective in mortality and admission to the intensive care unit in our study.

Material-method

The study included 1695 patients admitted to University of Health Science, Sultangazi Haseki Training and Research Hospital between March 13 and May 7, 2020 and diagnosed with COVID-19. 534 patients were followed up at home to apply isolation conditions. Patients with PCR positive and/or radiologically COVID-19 compliant findings; patients over 50 years of age and /or with comorbidities; patients whose COVID-19 compliant symptoms worsened; patients with pneumonia/severe pneumonia were hospitalized and treated¹¹ were evaluated. Demographic information, symptoms, PCR and laboratory examinations, comorbidities, treatment and clinical follow-up results of all hospitalized patients were collected from the system.

From the Ethics Committee of University of Health Science, Sultangazi Haseki Training and Research Hospital Ethics committee approval dated September 2020 and numbered 2020/187 was obtained. Informed consent was submitted by all subjects when they were enrolled.

SPSS 15.0 for Windows program was used for statistical analysis.

Descriptive statistics were given as number and percentage for categorical variables, mean \pm SD and median for numerical variables. The ratios in the groups were compared with the Chi-Square Test. Independent group comparisons of numerical variables were performed with Mann-Whitney U Test since the normal distribution condition was not met. The determining factors were examined by Logistic Regression Analysis. Statistical alpha significance level was accepted as $p<0.05$.

RESULTS

The mean age of 1161 inpatients was 54.5 years and 616 (53.1%) were male. The mean length of hospital stay was 8.7 days. The most common comorbidities were hypertension, the most common symptoms were cough and shortness of breath. Of the 1161 inpatients, 1040 (89.5%) were discharged, 3 (0.25%) left the hospital unannounced, 9 (0.77%) were referred to another institution, and 4 (0.34%) were still in bed at the time of notice. 104 (8.9%) of them died. 151 (13%) were taken to the intensive care unit. Of these patients, 37 (24.5%) were intubated. In patients who are taken into intensive care; the length of stay in the intensive care unit was 12.4 days. The mean age of the patients was 63 years and 104 (68.9%) were male. Age and length of hospital stay were significantly higher in patients admitted to intensive care unit and admission to intensive care unit was higher in male gender ($p<0.001$). (Table 1).

There was no difference between patients admitted to intensive care unit and patients not admitted to intensive care unit in terms of smoking ($p>0.05$). When evaluated in terms of symptoms, there was a significant difference in terms of cough, shortness of breath, fatigue and malaise ($p<0.001$, $p<0.001$, $p<0.001$). There was a significant difference in the presence of additional disease ($p<0.001$). Hypertension, heart disease, kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, neurological disease and cancer were significantly different between those who were taken to intensive care unit and those who were not taken ($p=0.020$, $p<0.001$, $p=0.001$, $p=0.004$, $p=0.025$, $p<0.016$, respectively). There was no significant difference in t-CT findings ($p=0.175$). Outpatient

laboratory evaluations of patients hospitalized in the intensive care unit revealed statistically significantly higher levels of glucose ($p < 0.001$), creatinine ($p < 0.001$), AST ($p < 0.001$), LDH ($p < 0.001$), creatinine kinase ($p = 0.018$), troponin ($p < 0.001$), ferritin ($p = 0.015$), CRP ($p < 0.001$), procalcitonin ($p < 0.001$), APTT ($p = 0.022$), fibrinogen ($p = 0.011$), leukocyte ($p = 0.003$) and neutrophil ($p < 0.001$) compared to those not taken to intensive care unit; EGFR ($p < 0.001$), albumin ($p < 0.001$), PT ($p < 0.001$), platelet ($p < 0.001$), min lymphocyte ($p < 0.001$), lymphocyte ($p < 0.001$) and minimum eosinophil mean ($p = 0.038$) were statistically significantly lower. When the treatment was examined, the use of hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin lopinavir + ritonavir, favipravir and enoxaparin was significantly higher in patients who were not taken to intensive care unit ($p < 0.001$, $p = 0.023$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, respectively) and methylprednisolone use was significantly higher in patients taken to intensive care unit ($p < 0.001$). (Tables 2, 3, 4).

104 of the patients died. The length of hospital stay of the deceased was 3.57 days and was significantly lower than the living patients ($p < 0.001$). The mean age was 64.8 years, 71 were male. Age and number of male gender were significantly higher than living patients. The mean length of hospital stay was 11.7 days. The mortality rate was significantly higher in patients admitted to intensive care unit and intubated unit ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$). There was no significant difference between patients living in terms of t-CT findings and smoking ($p = 0.068$, $p = 0.056$). The presence of additional disease was significantly higher in deceased patients ($p < 0.001$). Of these, hypertension, diabetes, heart disease, kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, neurological disease and cancer were the most common ones ($p = 0.009$, $p = 0.025$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p = 0.049$, $p = 0.009$, respectively). Cough, shortness of breath, fatigue and malaise were significantly common symptoms in patients who died ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). In laboratory examinations; while blood sugar, creatinine, AST, LDH, creatinine kinase, troponin, CRP, procalcitonin, APTT, neutrophil levels were significantly higher in deceased patients ($p = 0.009$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p = 0.025$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p = 0.017$, $p = 0.005$, $p < 0.001$, respectively), GFR, albumin, platelet, minimum lymphocyte, lymphocyte percentage and minimum eosinophil were significantly lower ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, respectively). The mortality rate was significantly lower in patients receiving hydroxychloroquine, ritonavir/lopinavir, favipravir, methylprednisolone, enoxaparin ($p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.001$, respectively) (Table 5).

According to logistic regression analysis; age, comorbidity, fatigue and malaise, hydroxychloroquine, methylprednisolone favipravir use, LDH found to be associated with going to intensive care. ($p = 0.041$, $p = 0.007$, $p = 0.007$, $p = 0.013$, $p = 0.000$, $p = 0.045$, $p = 0.010$, respectively) (Table 6). And age, comorbidity, methylprednisolone favipravir use, platelet account, LDH found to be associated with mortality ($p = 0.001$, $p = 0.003$, $p = 0.000$, $p = 0.045$, $p = 0.016$, $p = 0.000$, respectively) (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

SARS-CoV-2 infection is a rapidly spreading disease worldwide and there is still no specific treatment or vaccine available. For this reason, early detection of risky individuals and timely intervention will reduce mortality. Most studies indicating the demographic, clinical and laboratory characteristics of COVID-19, risk factors and follow-up results of patients have been reported from China.^{12, 13, 14, 15, 16} Many articles have been written about risk factors, but there are fewer studies reporting data in severe patients and patients in intensive care. In a meta-analysis, the prevalence of intensive care visits was found to be 33%, 32% in the Chaolin et al study and 46% in the Rong et al study.^{9, 17} In our study, the rate of intensive care was found to be 13%.

Age is indicated as an important risk factor in studies.^{13, 19, 20} Mortality rates have been reported to increase gradually after 70 years of age while there is no mortality in children under 9 years of age.^{13, 20} In the Chaolin et al study, the mean age was 49, mortality was 15%, the mean age was 59, mortality was 61%, the mean age was 70.7 and mortality was 46.6% in the Rong et al study, the mean age was 69, mortality was 15.4% in the Anish et al study, and the mean age was 72 and mortality was 20.4% in the Ji Yeon Lee et al study.^{2, 3, 9, 18, 21} In our study, the mean age of our patients was 54.5 and the mortality rate was 8.95%. The mean age was significantly higher in those who were taken to the intensive care unit and those who died.

Female gender has been reported to be less susceptible to the virus and X chromosome and sex hormones have a protective effect.²² Studies have reported that male gender is associated with risk factor and mortality for severe disease.^{2, 8, 9, 20, 21} In the study of Chaolin et al., half of the 41 patients evaluated and 85% of the patients who were taken to the intensive care unit were reported to be male.⁹ The majority of the patients evaluated in Xiabo, Rong Anish Agarwal et al studies were male (67%, 78%, 67.5%, 75% respectively).^{2, 8, 18, 21} In our study, 616 (53.1%) of our patients were male. Among our patients who went to intensive care and died, the number of male patients was significantly higher, but did not emerge as an independent risk factor in logistic regression analysis.

The presence of comorbidities in COVID-19 was found to be associated with intensive care visits and mortality.^{2, 9, 20, 22} Cardiovascular disease, diabetes, chronic lung disease, hypertension and cancer are particularly emphasized.^{13, 20, 22} In our study, we found that 331 (28.8%) of 1161 patients who were hospitalized had at least one comorbidity, the most common being hypertension. In addition, there was a relationship between hypertension, heart disease, kidney disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, neurological disease and cancer and mortality and intensive care. Findings were consistent with the literature.

Symptoms can be very varied in COVID-19. Although respiratory symptoms are common, symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, diarrhea, headache, taste disturbances can also be seen.^{2, 9, 15, 20} Du et al. reported that the most common symptoms were fever and cough, while fatigue complaints were higher in patients admitted to intensive care unit.¹⁵ In another study by Rong-Hui Du, the most common symptoms were reported as fever, cough and shortness of breath, and it was not found to be associated with intensive care.¹⁸ In the study of Chaolin et al., fever, cough, muscle pain and fatigue were frequently reported and no correlation was found between symptoms and intensive care admission and mortality.⁹ In the study of Agarwal et al., fever, dry cough and shortness of breath were the most common reports.⁸ In the study by Peng Peng et al., fever, cough and fatigue were reported as common symptoms but were not found to be associated with poor clinical outcome.²⁰ In our study, the most common symptoms were cough, shortness of breath, fatigue, malaise, and it was also found to be associated with intensive care unit admission and mortality.

Increased leukocyte count, decreased lymphocyte and platelet count, increased CRP, procalcitonin, LDH, AST, LOWER, CK, and creatinine were found to be associated with severe disease and intensive care.^{9, 22, 23} Changzi Zhou et al. found that leukocyte and platelet count, CRP, AST, albumin and fibrinogen values were associated with the severity of the disease in 123 healthy young patients without additional disease. Among these, the association of lymphopenia with prognosis was emphasized.²⁴ In the study of Zhou F et al., a relationship was found between D-dimer level and poor prognosis.¹² In our study, there was a correlation between mortality and intensive care in glucose, creatinine, EGFR, AST, LDH, creatinine kinase, troponin, albumin, CRP, procalcitonin, fibrinogen, leukocyte, platelet, neutrophil and lymphocyte levels.

Unfortunately, there is no specific treatment for COVID-19 yet. The effects of the drugs used on prognosis or mortality are not sufficiently known. Among these drugs, chloroquine analogues are known to be used in antimalarial and herbal immune diseases.²⁵ It has been shown to inhibit the acidification of endosomes and exhibit an in vitro non-specific antiviral effect (HIV, dengue, hepatitis C, chikungunya, influenza, Ebola, SARS and MERS viruses and recently against COVID-19). Molina et al. reported that despite the reported antiviral activity of hydroxychloroquine, they found no evidence of a strong antiviral activity or clinical benefit of the combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin for the treatment of COVID-19 in hospitalized patients.²⁶ Alexandre et al. 504 reported that among patients hospitalized with mild to moderate Covid-19, the use of hydroxychloroquine alone or with azithromycin was not superior to standard care in improving the clinical condition in 15 days.²⁷ Wei Tang et al. found that adding hydroxychloroquine to the treatment in mild to moderate COVID-19 did not have an additional benefit in eliminating viruses, but increased side effects as the dose increased.²⁸ Jun Chen et al. reported that there was no difference in virus clearance in COVID-19 patients given and not given hydroxychloroquine.²⁹ Gautret et al. found that the use of hydroxychloroquine and

azithromycin together was significantly related to the decrease in viral load in COVID-19 cases.³⁰ In our study, we found that the use of hydroxychloroquine affects both intensive care and mortality, although the use of azithromycin affects intensive care, it is not effective on mortality.

Lopinavir/ritonavir used in treatment is an HIV protease inhibitor. Data on its antiviral activity against SARS CoV 1 are limited. Lim J et al. reported no significant effect in a case from South Korea.³¹ In a controlled study by Cao B et al., 99 hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19 were given Lopinavir/ritonavir, and no difference was found with the control group in terms of time to recovery and mortality.³²

Favipiravir, another antiviral medicine, inhibits viral replication. It was used effectively in the 2014 influenza virus pandemic in China. Cai et al. administered favipiravir+interferon- alpha to 35 COVID-19 for 14 days and Lopinavir/ritonavir+interferon alpha to 45 patients in a non-randomized controlled study and reported a more significant decrease in viral clearance and improvement in chest tomography in the favipiravir group.³³ Chan et al. randomized 116 patients to receive favipiravir+conventional treatment for COVID-19 pneumonia and 120 patients to receive arbidol+ conventional treatment, an antiviral drug effective against influenza virus, and reported higher clinical improvement on the 7th day in the favipiravir group.³⁴ In our study,

173 (14.9%) patients used Lopinavir/ritonavir and 193 (16.6%) patients used favipiravir. We found that the use of both drugs significantly reduced intensive care and mortality.

In summary; advanced age, hypertension, heart, kidney and neurological disease, presence of COPD and cancer, cough, shortness of breath, malaise and fatigue, low blood sugar, creatinine, AST, LDH, creatinine kinase, CRP, leukocyte, neutrophil elevation and low GFR, albumin, platelet and lymphocyte levels were found to be effective in intensive care and mortality. While the use of hydroxychloroquine +azitro appears to be protective in intensive care, the use of ritonavir+lopinavir, favipiravir, methylprednisolone and enoxaparin seems to reduce both intensive care and mortality. We think that our study has some limitations. First of all, our study is single-center and the number of patients taken to the intensive care unit is low. Since we could not see the follow-up of outpatient patients in the hospital records, that patient group was excluded from the study.

CONCLUSION:

Our study is a study describing the relationship between demographic, clinical and laboratory findings, prognosis and mortality of hospitalized COVID-19 patients. Advanced age and presence of comorbid diseases, elevated LDH, low platelet levels were associated with poor prognosis, and the use of favipiravir and steroids could improve prognosis.

Table I: Overall results of patients

	All patients n=1161	Patients not taken to the intensive care unit n=1010	Patients taken into intensive care unit n=151	p	Living patients n=1040	Deceased patients n=104	p	
Age (years)	54,5± 14.3	53,4±14.0	61,4±14.5	<0.001	53,4±13.9	64,8±14.2	<0.001	
Male Gender	616 (%53.1)	512(50.7)	104(68.9)	<0.001	534(%51.3)	71(%68.3)	0,001	
Length of service stay (days)	8,7±5.3	9,37±5.14	4,27±4.31	<0.001	9,27±5.15	3,57±4.01	<0.001	
Length of Ic stay (days)	12,4±11.0	-	12,4±11.0	-	13,8±11.1	11,7±11.1	0,212	
Length of total stay (days)	9,1±5.0	8,61±4.52	12,95±6.97	<0.001	8,93±4.70	11,53±7.37	<0.001	
Additional disease	598(%52.3)	506(%50.3)	92(%67.2)	<0.001	522(%50.4)	67(73.6)	<0.001	
CT Findings	Normal	3(%0.3)	2(%0.2)	0,175	3(%0.3)	0(%0.0)	0,068	
	Typical	1073(%96.1)	951(%96.4)		122(%93.8)	977(%96.4)		79(%91.9)
	Atypical	41(%41)	34(%3.4)		7(%5.4)	34(%3.4)		7(%8.1)
At least 1 PCR (+)	610(%56.3)	507(%53.5)	103(%75.2)	<0.001	525(%53.7)	78(%81.3)	<0.001	
Contact (+)	201(%23.2)	176(%22.8)	25(%26.3)	0,443	187(%23.5)	11(%18.0)	0,327	

Table II: Patients' symptoms

Symptom	All patients n=1161(%)	Patients not taken to the intensive care unit n=1010(%)	Patients taken into intensive care unit n=151(%)	p	Living patients n=1040(%)	Deceased patients n=104(%)	P
Fever	495(42.6)	439(43.5)	56(37.1)	0,158	452(43.5)	37(35.6)	0,145
Cough	740(63.7)	667(66.0)	73(48.3)	p<0,001	682(65.6)	49(47.1)	p<0,001
Phlegm	32(2.8)	30(3.0)	2(1.3)	0,420	31(3.0)	1(1.0)	0,353
Shortness of breath	367(31.6)	288(28.5)	79(52.3)	p<0,001	310(29.8)	54(51.9)	p<0,001
Nausea-Vomiting	83(7.1)	74(7.3)	9(6.0)	0,615	77(7.4)	5(4.8)	0,426
Malaise and Fatigue	300(25.8)	282(27.9)	18(11.9)	p<0,001	284(27.3)	14(13.5)	p<0,001
Muscle-joint pain	114(9.8)	105(10.4)	9(6.0)	0,106	107(10.3)	7(6.7)	0,304
Throat Pain	62(5.3)	56(5.5)	6(4.0)	0,560	56(5.4)	5(4.8)	1,000
Headache	63(5.4)	57(5.6)	6(4.0)	0,562	59(5.7)	4(3.8)	0,650
Abdominal Pain	20(1.7)	19(1.9)	1(0.7)	0,500	19(1.8)	0(0.0)	0,406
Chest Pain	28(2.4)	22(2.2)	6(4.0)	0,247	26(2.5)	2(1.9)	1,000
Diarrhea	39(3.4)	38(3.8)	1(0.7)	0,050	38(3.7)	1(1.0)	0,250
Ageusia	8(0.7)	8(0.8)	0(0.0)	0,606	8(0.8)	0(0.0)	1,000

Table III: Patients' comorbidities

Additional diseases	All patients n=1161(%)	Patients not taken to the intensive care unit n=1010(%)	Patients taken into intensive care unit n=151(%)	p	Living patients n=1040(%)	Deceased patients n=104(%)	P
Hypertension	331(28.8)	279(27.6)	52(37.1)	0,020	288(27.7)	38(40.4)	0,009
Diabetes	283(24.6)	245(24.3)	38(27.1)	0,458	246(23.7)	32(34.0)	0,025
Hyperlipidemia	42(3.7)	36(3.6)	6(4.3)	0,670	38(3.7)	4(4.3)	0,773
Heart disease	134(11.7)	102(10.1)	32(22.9)	p<0,001	107(10.3)	24(25.5)	p<0,001
Liver disease	10(0.9)	8(0.8)	2(1.4)	0,349	9(0.9)	1(1.1)	0,581
Kidney disease	45(3.9)	29(2.9)	16(11.4)	p<0,001	31(3.0)	14(14.9)	p<0,001
Asthma	63(5.5)	56(5.5)	7(5.0)	0,791	55(5.3)	7(7.4)	0,379
COPD	28(2.4)	19(1.9)	9(6.4)	0,004	19(1.8)	9(9.6)	p<0,001

Thyroid disease	27(2.3)	26(2.6)	1(0.7)	0,239	26(2.5)	1(1.1)	0,720
Neurological disease	25(2.2)	18(1.8)	7(5.0)	0,025	20(1.9)	5(5.3)	0,049
Cancer	23(2.0)	16(1.6)	7(5.0)	0,016	17(1.6)	6(6.4)	0,009
Obesity	2(0.2)	2(0.2)	0(0.0)	1,000	2(0.2)	0(0.0)	1,000

Table IV: Laboratory results of patients

	All patients (n=1161)	Patients not taken to the intensive care unit n=1010	Patients taken to the intensive care unit n=151	p	Living Patients n=1040	Deceased patients n=104	p
Glucose (mg/dl)	144,2±70.7	140,5±65.1	171,2±99.0	<0.001	41,3±65.5	174,5±107.8	0,009
Uric acid (mg/dl)	5,55±5.96	5,57±6.44	5,42±1.94	0,357	5,64±6.46	5,41±2.08	0,563
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0,99 ±0.99	0,92±0.64	1,57±2.19	0,001	0,94±0.81	1,65±2.03	<0.001
GFR(mL/min/1.73 m2)	88,3±25.1	90,5±23.6	72,7±30.1	<0.001	90,4±23.7	65,2±29.4	<0.001
AST (U/L)	39,4±28.3	38,0±27.2	49,5±33.8	<0.001	38,2±27.2	51,8±37.2	<0.001
ALT (U/L)	29,3±28.2	29,3±29.1	29,1±20.6	0,498	29,5±28.9	28,0±21.3	0,722
LDH (U/L)	298,4±115.4	286,8±99.4	383,1±174.4	<0.001	288,6±101.7	405,9±181.1	<0.001
Creatinine kinase(U/L)	183,2±234.4	169,6±212.1	253,9±320.2	0,018	168,3±208.4	301,6±366.9	0,025
Troponin (pg/mL)	3242±11277	2930±10837	4793±13262	<0.001	3039±10982	3894±12136	<0.001
Albumin (g/L)	3,8±0.40	3,9±0.35	3,4±0.5	<0.001	3,8±3.4	3,4±0.5	<0.001
Amylase (U/L)	67,8±34.7	67,8±33.9	67,9±40.7	0,953	67,3±33.8	74,1±43.8	0,350
Lipase (U/L)	36,6±45.0	36,8±46.2	34,9±36.1	0,129	36,1±45.7	41,5±39.2	0,826
Ferritin (ng/mL)	241,2±285.0	225,1±271.7	398,9±367.0	0,015	226,0±273.8	355,7±390.2	0,102
CRP (mg/L)	59,9±58.2	52,8±49.9	111,5±83.0	<0,001	54,4±52.5	119,8±80.9	<0,001
Procalcitonin (ng/mL)	0,11±0.14	0,07±0.07	0,37±0.19	<0.001	0,09±0.12	0,32±0.24	0,017
pT (%)	103,1±19.8	105,6±14.4	94,9±30.5	<0,001	104,8±14.7	96,2±34.4	0,002
apTT (sn)	24,3±5.7	23,6±3.3	26,6±9.8	0,022	23,7±3.5	27,1±10.5	0,005
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	517,7±133.1	496,4±128.7	605,6±117.3	0,011	510,8±137.9	557,0±97.5	0,351
D Dimer (mg/L)	1,25±3.87	0,78±0.81	5,56±11.42	0,088	1,31±4.09	0,85±0.53	0,570
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	13,3±1.6	13,4±1.6	13,2±2.1	0,751	13,4±1.6	12,9±2.3	0,328
Leukocyte (10 ▲ 3/uL)	6876±2988	6734±2837	7927±3783	0,003	6794±2904	7669±3749	0,064
Platelet (10 ▲ 3/uL)	212,8±77.9	215,4±77.0	193,7±82.6	<0.001	214,4±77.1	190,8±79.1	0,002
Neutrophil (10 ▲ 3/ uL)	4,7±2.57	4,51±2.34	6,10±3.58	<0.001	4,58±2.44	5,92±3.50	<0,001
Min lymphocyte (10 ▲ 3 / uL)	1,53±1.05	1,57±1.07	1,25±0.79	<0.001	1,56±1.07	1,18±0.76	<0,001
Lenfosit (%)	23,9±10.1	24,7±9.8	17,7±10.0	<0,001	24,5±9.9	17,3±9.7	<0,001
Min eosinophils (10 ▲ 3 /uL)	0,04±0.10	0,04±0.11	0,02±0.08	0,038	0,04±0.10	0,03±0.09	<0.001

Table V: Treatments of all inpatients

	All patients n=1161(%)	Those not taken to the intensive care unit n=1010 (%)	Those taken to the intensive care unit n=151(%)	p	Living patients n=1040	Deceased patients n=104	P
Hydroxychloroquine	994(85.6)	880(87.1)	114(75.5)	<0,001	909(87.4)	77(74.0)	<0,001
Azithromycin	1038 (89.4)	911(90.2)	127(84.1)	0,023	934(89.8)	92(88.5)	0,667
Osetamivir	815(70.2)	703(69.6)	112(74.2)	0,252	730(70.2)	78(75.0)	0,305
Ritonavir+Lopinavir	173(14.9)	115(11.4)	58(38.4)	<0.001	130(12.5)	39(37.5)	<0.001
Favipravir	193(16.6)	112(11.1)	81(53.6)	<0.001	139(13.4)	51(49.0)	<0.001
Tocilizumab	24(2.1)	18(1.8)	6(4.0)	0,114	21(2.0)	3(2.9)	0,474
Methylprednisolone	141 (12.1)	28(2.8)	113(74.8)	<0,001	66(6.3)	73(70.2)	<0,001
Enoxaparin	781(67.3)	645(63.9)	136(90.1)	<0,001	681(65.5)	93(89.4)	<0,001

Table VI: Logistic regression analysis in patients taken and not taken to the intensive care unit

	B	S.E.	WALD	df	p	Exp (B)
Age	0,025	0,012	4,178	1	0,041	1,025
Sex	0,331	0,323	1,047	1	0,306	1,392
CRP	0,005	0,003	2,847	1	0,092	1,005
Platelets	-0,004	0,002	3,698	1	0,054	0,996
Lymphocytes	-0,029	0,019	2,392	1	0,122	0,971
Additional disease	-0,972	0,362	7,209	1	0,007	0,378
Hydroxychloroquine	1,025	0,410	6,234	1	0,013	2,786
Methylprednisolone	-3,812	0,361	111,431	1	0,000	0,022
Favipravir	-0,694	0,346	4,020	1	0,045	0,500
Oxaparin	-0,225	0,459	0,239	1	0,625	0,799
Azitro	0,541	0,527	1,053	1	0,305	1,717
LDH	0,003	0,001	6,550	1	0,010	1,003
Dyspnea	-0,013	0,325	0,002	1	0,969	0,987
Malaise and fatigue	1,140	0,423	7,247	1	0,007	3,126
Constant	-0,956	1,256	0,579	1	0,441	0,384

Table VII: Logistic regression analysis of living and deceased patients

	B	S.E.	WALD	Df	p	Den (B)
Age	0,041	0,012	11,092	1	0,001	1,042
Sex	0,386	0,330	1,365	1	0,243	1,471

CRP	0,004	0,003	2,311	1	0,128	1,004
Platelets	-0,006	0,002	5,823	1	0,016	0,994
Lymphocytes	-0,020	0,019	1,101	1	0,294	0,981
Additional disease	-1,113	0,371	8,991	1	0,003	0,328
Hydroxychloroquine	0,588	0,416	1,994	1	0,158	1,800
Methylprednisolone	-2,410	0,354	46,287	1	0,000	0,090
Favipiravir	-0,713	0,356	4,010	1	0,045	0,490
Oxaparin	0,266	0,455	0,342	1	0,559	1,305
LDH	0,004	0,001	12,273	1	0,000	1,004
Dyspnea	-0,042	0,334	0,016	1	0,900	0,959
Malaise and fatigue	0,563	0,404	1,941	1	0,164	1,756
Constant	-3,126	1,280	5,969	1	0,015	0,044

REFERENCES

- Liang WH, Guan WJ, Li CC, Li YM, Liang HR, Zhao Y, et al. Clinical characteristics and outcomes of hospitalised patients with COVID-19 treated in Hubei (epicentre) and outside Hubei (non-epicentre): a nationwide analysis of China. *Eur Respir J*. 2020 Jun; 55: 2000562.
- Xiao-Bo H, Poonyathawon S, Semedi BP, Xiao-Yi Z, Wei F, Da-Wei W, et al. International-focused Online Forum: A Good Way to Jointly Manage the COVID-19 Pandemic for Global Critical Care Community. *Indian J Crit Care Med*. 2020 Apr;24:283-284.
- Lee JY, Kim HA, Huh K, Hyun M, Rhee JY, Jang S, et al. Risk Factors for Mortality and Respiratory Support in Elderly Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19 in Korea. *J Korean Med Sci*. 2020 Jun 15;35: e223.
- Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, et al. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of 1591 patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy. *JAMA* 2020 Apr.6.
- Henry BM, De Oliveira MH, Benoit S, Plebani M, Lippi G. Hematologic, biochemical and immune biomarker abnormalities associated with severe illness and mortality in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): meta-analysis. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2020.
- Bhatnagar PK, Ghassemieh BJ, Nichols M, et al. COVID-19 in critically ill patient in the Seattle region-case series. *N Engl J Med* 2020 Mar.30
- Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City Area. *JAMA* 2020 Apr. 22
- Aggarwal S, Telles NG, Aggarwal G, Lavie C, Lippi G, Henry BM et al. Clinical features, laboratory characteristics, and outcomes of patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): Early report from the United States. *Diagnosis*. 2020 May 26;9:1-96.
- Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 497–506
- Vardava CI and Nikitara K. COVID-19 and smoking: A systematic review of the evidence. *Tob Induc Dis*. 2020; 18: 20.
- Adult Patient Management COVID-19 (SARS-CoV2 Guideline). Science board study. Republic of Turkey, Ministry of Health. 25 March 2020. 24-34.
- Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395:1054-62.
- Wu Z, McGoogan JM. Characteristics of and important lessons from the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: summary of a report of 72314 cases from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. *JAMA*. 2020.
- Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y, Xia J, Zhou X, Xu S, et al. Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern Med* 2020.
- Du RH, Liang LR, Yang CQ, Wang W, Cao TZ, Li M, et al. Predictors of mortality for patients with COVID-19 pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2: a prospective cohort study. *Eur Respir J*. 2020 May 7;55:2000524.
- Li X, Xu S, Yu M, Wang K, Tao Y, Zhou Y, et al. Risk factors for severity and mortality in adult COVID-19 inpatients in Wuhan. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2020.
- Li J, He X, Yuan Y, Zhang W, Li X, Zhang Y, et al. Meta-analysis investigating the relationship between clinical features, outcomes, and severity of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pneumonia. *J American Journal of Infection Control*. 2020:1-8.
- Du RH, Liu LM, Yin W, Wang W, Guan LL, Yuan ML, et al. Hospitalization and Critical Care of 109 Decedents with COVID-19 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2020 Jul; 17: 839–846.
- Onder G, Rezza G, Brusaferro S. Case-fatality rate and characteristics of patients dying in relation to COVID-19 in Italy. *JAMA*. 2020.
- Xu PP, Tian HR, Luo S, Zu ZY, Fan B, Wang XM, et al. Risk factors for adverse clinical outcomes with COVID-19 in China: a multicenter, retrospective, observational study. *Theranostics* 2020; 10: 6372–6383.
- Mitra AR, Fergusson AN, Smith EL, Wormsbecker A, Foster D, Karpov A, et al. Baseline characteristics and outcomes of patients with COVID-19 admitted to intensive care units in Vancouver, Canada: a case series. *CMAJ* June 29, 2020 192:E694- E701.
- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020;395:507-13.
- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:1708-1720.
- Zhou C, Huang Z, Tan W, Li X, Yin W, Xiao Yet al. Predictive factors of severe coronavirus disease 2019 in previously healthy young adults: a single-center, retrospective study. *Respiratory Research*. 2020;21:157.
- Delang L, Neyts J. Medical treatment options for COVID-19. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2020;9:209-214.
- J.M. Molina, C. Delaunay, Goff JL, Lima BM, Ponscarre D, Goldwirt L, et al. No evidence of rapid antiviral clearance or clinical benefit with the combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in patients with severe COVID-19 infection. Letter to the editor. *Médecine et maladies infectieuses*. 2020;50:382–387.
- Tang W, Cao Z, Han M, Wang Z, Sun JW, Wu Y, et al. Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2020; 369.
- Cavalcanti AB, Zampieri FG, Rosa RG, Azevedo LCP, Veiga VC, Avezum A, et al. Coalition Covid-19 Brazil Investigators. Hydroxychloroquine with or without Azithromycin in Mild-to-Moderate Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020:23
- Chen J, Liu D, Liu L, Liu P, Xu Q, Xia L, et al. pilot study of hydroxychloroquine in treatment of patients with moderate COVID-19]. *Zhejiang Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban*. 2020 May 25;49:215-219.
- Gautret P, Lagier JC, Parola P, Hoang VT, Meddeb L, Mailhe M, et al. Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2020 Jul;56:105949.
- Lim J, Jeon S, Shin HY, Kim MJ, Seong YM, Lee WJ, et al. Case of the Index Patient Who Caused Tertiary Transmission of COVID-19 Infection in Korea: the Application of Lopinavir/Ritonavir for the Treatment of COVID-19 Infected Pneumonia Monitored by Quantitative RT-PCR. *Korean Med Sci*. 2020 Feb 17;35:e79.
- Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, Liu W, Wang J, Fan G, et al. A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with Severe Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020 May 7;382:1787-1799.
- Cai Q, Yang M, Liu D, Chen J, Shu D, Xia J, et al. Experimental Treatment with Favipiravir for COVID-19: An Open-Label Control Study. *Engineering (Beijing)*. 2020 Mar 18.
- Chen C, Zhang Y, Huang J, Yin P, Cheng Z, Wu J, et al. Favipiravir versus arbidol for COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. 2020.