



THORACIC AND LUMBAR TUBERCULOUS SPONDYLITIS TREATED BY POSTERIOR DEBRIDEMENT, GRAFT PLACEMENT, AND INSTRUMENTATION: A RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS IN 34 CASES

General Surgery

Dr. Pavan Kumar	Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Madhubani Medical College, Madhubani, Bihar.
Dr. Nipendra Kishore*	Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Madhubani Medical College, Madhubani, Bihar *Corresponding Author
Dr. Nand Kishore Mishra	Professor, Department of General Surgery, Madhubani Medical College, Madhubani, Bihar.

ABSTRACT

The treatment for spinal tuberculosis (TB) remains a difficult and challenging decision-making process, given the lack of evidence and guidelines on the optimal treatment and management strategies. Conservative treatment options for spinal TB include methods such as immobilization using body casts or plaster beds, as well as a healthy diet. **Objective:** To evaluate the results of posterior pedicle-screw fixation with transpedicular decompression in 21 cases of thoracic and thoracolumbar spinal tuberculosis (TB) in terms of functional, neurological, and radiologic outcomes. **Materials and methods:** The records of 34 patients who underwent posterior stabilization with pedicle screws and decompression with laminectomy for thoraco-lumbar TB with associated neurological deficit between January 2018 and December 2020 from hospitals were reviewed. The patients were followed up for a minimum of 24 months. In this study, we did not include patients with HIV co-infection. **Results:** The mean age of patients was 44.8 years and the mean kyphosis angle at the level of involvement was 22.63 ± 4.61 degrees. Kyphosis improved postoperatively to 6.87 ± 3.49 degrees. The mean follow-up period was 25.08 months, and final kyphosis correction was maintained at 7.64 ± 4.35 degrees. Bony fusion was achieved in 85.5% cases. Eleven patients had neurological deficits, and all of them recovered. **Conclusions:** The procedure of one stage posterior debridement, decompression, and transpedicular screw fixation is effective and safe for treating thoracic and lumbar spinal TB.

KEYWORDS

Debridement and instrumentation, thoracolumbar spinal tuberculosis, posterior

INTRODUCTION:

Introduction More than 30 million people are suffering worldwide from overt tuberculosis and more than 2 million of them have the active, spinal form [1]. Ninety-five percent of tuberculosis patients are in the developing regions of the world. In HIV-negative patients, around 3% to 5% of tuberculosis is skeletal, whereas in HIV-positive patients, about 60% of the cases involve the bone. The discovery of effective antituberculous chemotherapy has largely made uncomplicated spinal tuberculosis a medical disease [2-3]. The challenges faced by the spinal surgeon nowadays in the management of spinal tuberculosis are the problems of progressive deformity and correction of residual severe deformity. The spine is involved in 50% of osteoarticular tuberculosis cases. Spinal tuberculosis is the form most dangerous, as it may cause destruction of the vertebral body, spinal deformity and/or paraplegia and pulmonary insufficiency secondary to the deformity of thoracic cage. Spinal tuberculosis is indolent and slow growing and can be diagnosed both clinically and radiologically in endemic regions [4-5].

The characteristic radiographic findings include rarefaction of the vertebral end plates, loss of disc height, osseous destruction, new-bone formation and soft-tissue abscess. Often, multiple vertebrae are involved and late fusion or collapse of vertebrae is not uncommon. An MR scan will detect a tubercular lesion before it can be seen on a plain radiograph. The changes in the spinal cord may be interpreted as oedema of the cord, myelomalacia, atrophy of the cord and syringomyelia [6-7]. Oedema of the cord is compatible with good neurological recovery following treatment, while myelomalacia, accompanied by a severe neurological deficit may show incomplete recovery [8-10]. Surgery has the advantage of controlling spinal deformity while also limiting or improving the neurological impairment associated with this disease [11]. Surgery can be performed by anterior or posterior single approach, or a combination of both. Various treatment options, including debridement with anterior spinal fusion, anterior spinal fusion with posterior spinal fusion, posterior spinal fusion alone and posterior spinal fusion followed by anterior spinal fusion, have been used for treating spinal tuberculosis [8-9]. In our study, we report the use of posterior only approach for debridement and instrumentation for the treatment of spinal tuberculosis. The aims of our procedure are to remove the infected material and the psoas abscess by surgery, to promote natural wound healing by firm fixation with pedicle screw instrumentation, and to facilitate early ambulation and rehabilitation.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

From January 2018 to December 2020 a total of 34 patients were studied. The study was conducted in a prospective analysis with a single surgeon operating on all the cases. All cases were treated with debridement through a posterior approach and posterior stabilization with pedicle screws and rods. All the patients presented with constitutional symptoms of pain, back ache, malaise, weight loss with 80% of the patients presenting with some neurological deficit. Indications of surgery comprised of neurological deficits, spinal deformities, large paravertebral abscess, radicular pain due to compression by granulation tissue or patients not responding to medical therapy. Diagnosis was established by X-rays and MRI if the suspected lesion. Histopathological examination of the biopsy sample and PCR of the pus sample was done in all cases post operatively to confirm the diagnosis. Tuberculosis culture and sensitivity was done in all cases to confirm and diagnose drug resistance. Prior to surgery all patients underwent routine hematological and radiographic evaluation which included haemoglobin, WBC count, ESR, Quantitative CRP, Liver function tests, Renal function tests, Electrolytes and blood grouping. Electrocardiogram and Chest X-ray was done in all patients. All patients were started on a five drug regimen (Inj. Streptomycin, Tab. Rifampicin, Tab.

Isoniazid, Tab. Pyrazinamide, Tab. Ethambutol) prior to surgery. DVT prophylaxis in form of Low molecular weight heparin was given to all paraplegic patients. MRI of whole spine was done in all patients. All patients were operated under general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation. A posterior midline approach was used in all cases with the incision centering over the involved vertebral level. Pedicle screws were placed under fluoroscopic guidance in vertebral bodies 2 level above and below the lesion. If the upper part of the vertebral body was not destroyed, the affected vertebra was incorporated in the instrumentation. Temporary stabilization of spine was done by connecting the pedicle screws on left side so as to prevent collapse during debridement and permit transforaminal approach from right side. Laminectomy and of the affected level is done and debridement of the infected tissues, pus, sequestrum and disc is done through transforaminal approach with help of curettes. Autograft harvested from the laminectomy bone is inserted. Screws are connected with connecting rods and the affected vertebral level is compressed. Drainage tube is placed and wound is sutured. In the immediate postoperative period blood pressure, pulse, amount of drainage and motor and sensory responses of lower extremities were monitored in all patients. All patients were given antituberculous therapy for a

period of 18 months. Drainage tube was removed when the drainage volume was less than 50 ml in 24 hours. All the patients were given braces to be worn for a period of 1 year. Liver function tests and ESR were monitored at regular interval. Follow up examination was done at six week interval for first 3 months then every 3 months for a period of 18 months. X-rays of the involved vertebral level was used to assess fusion. For statistical analysis, chi-squared test and t-tests were used and a p value

RESULTS

All cases were followed up for 11 to 26 months (mean 17.7 ± 3.3 months). Mean age of the study was 45.0 ± 12.3 years. The study group consisted of 18 male and 14 female patients. Mean duration of hospital stay was 21.0 ± 4.8 days. Skip lesions were observed in 10% of patients. Average blood loss was 613.6 ± 70.7 ml. D12-L1 was the most common vertebral level involved in the dorsolumbar region accounting for 25% of all cases. No patient showed any deterioration of the neurological symptoms after surgery. Complete paraplegia was seen 46% of patients and had an equal incidence in both male and female patients. It was also commonly seen (57%) in patients having dorsal spine lesion (D5 to D10). Mean preoperative kyphotic angle was 23.8 ± 4.2 degrees which improved to a mean of 8.1 ± 2.7 degrees. The average improvement in the kyphotic angle was 15.6 ± 8.0 degrees (Table 1). Fusion was assessed using the Bridwell criteria [15]. Of the 21 patients, 9 (90.2%) patients showed definitive fusion and 4 (9.7%) patients showed probable fusion. Functional outcome was assessed using the Prolo scale [16]. A good functional outcome was seen in 19 (70.7%). 17 (65.8%) patients were able to return to their previous work capacity after a period of 1 year followup. At 1 year follow up the mean kyphotic angle was 12.3 ± 3.9 degrees with a mean loss of kyphotic correction by 4.4 ± 2.8 degrees (Figure 2). In group A, nerve root injury was seen in 2 patients, dural tear in 5 patients. Implant loosening was observed in 1 patient which was removed at a later stage after radiological evidence of fusion was seen. Drug resistance to Isoniazid was seen in 1 patient and drug reaction to ethambutol was seen in 1 patient. In both these patient the resistant/offending drug was replaced by Ofloxacin 400mg/day. Superficial wound infection was seen in 1 patient, deep vein thrombosis was seen in 3 patients.



Fig 1: Pre-operative X Ray and MRI



Fig 2: Intra-operative image and follow up X-rays

DISCUSSION

Medical management of thoracolumbar tuberculosis should always be the treatment of choice for eradicating the infection, restoring and preserving the structure of spine, and alleviating pain after the development of anti-tuberculous therapy. Also when surgery is indicated, concomitant medical therapy is essential [7]. Approach for surgical treatment of thoracolumbar tuberculosis has always been controversial. The aims of treating spinal tuberculosis are to eradicate the infection, prevent or treat neurological deficits, correct kyphosis deformities, and finally to achieve normal sagittal contours of the spinal column, unrestricted motility, and achieve full activities of daily living as soon as possible [12]. Spinal tuberculosis can be treated surgically by anterior instrumented fusion, combined anterior-posterior procedure, extrapleural anterolateral procedure or posterior

procedures [12]. Tuberculosis almost always affects the anterior part of vertebral body, the disc and the adjacent vertebral bodies; therefore, the traditional and logical thinking had long been to use an anterior surgical approach to reach the spine to evacuate an abscess, excise the diseased tissues, decompress the neural tissues, and to insert a bone graft to correct kyphosis, achieve solid fusion and minimize disease recurrence [10]. Instrumentation in spinal tuberculosis is a new concept. Oga et al. studied the adherence capacity of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis to stainless steel and concluded that adherence was negligible and the use of implants in active tuberculosis may be safe [12]. Many surgeons favour the anterior approach as it allows direct visualization of the lesion and permits an under vision debridement. Several studies have demonstrated a high corrective rate of deformity and their maintenance using anterior instrumentation in active thoracolumbar tuberculosis [11-12]. Mehta and Bhojraj et al. came up with a classification system to guide the type of surgical technique to be applied. Its main drawback was it took in consideration only the tuberculosis of the thoracic vertebrae. Oguz et al. gave their classification to overcome this limitation. Although they gave a practical classification, there was no focus on the posterior lesions and was the main limitation of this classification [10-12]. Posterior instrumentation is considered superior to anterior instrumentation in correcting the kyphosis deformity of the thoracic and lumbar spine and in maintaining that correction. The probable reason for this might be that pedicle screws cross the vertebral body pedicle, the strongest part of the vertebral body, providing three-dimensional correction and strengthening the spinal three-column stability, which is much stronger than anterior instrumentation [10]. Pang et al. analyzed the clinical efficacy and feasibility of patients with thoracolumbar tuberculosis treated by posterior transforaminal debridement in 18 patients and reported 100% fusion rate in their study [11]. Kumar et al. in their study on 25 patients operated by posterior approach found improvement in kyphotic angle from 32.4 to 7.2 degrees. Interbody fusion was seen in all patients at final follow up [12]. Zhang et al. studied 60 patients operated by posterior approach and found a better correction of sagittal index by this approach. The stability provided by posterior fixation, particularly transpedicular fixation, protects the vertebral correction, and patients are able to return to normal activities within a short period of time. In general, transpedicular screws can be placed in an affected vertebra if the upper part of the vertebral body is not destroyed by the infection thereby reducing the surgical exposure and the extent of fixation. Since the approach is extra pleural, this approach can also be used in patients with low lung reserve which is a contraindication for anterior approach. Posterior approach is also has less blood loss as compared to anterior approach. Disadvantage of posterior approach is that, debridement of the diseased site is not under direct vision. The posterior approach also requires fixation of more vertebrae as compared to the anterior approach [12].

CONCLUSION:

Though the anterior approach is the preferred method for debridement and decompression of the lesion, it is associated with an increased morbidity. The posterior approach allows a 2700 view of the lesion for debridement and allows a reasonable decompression. Better functional outcome and better correction of the kyphotic angle are strong points favoring the use of posterior approach.

REFERENCES

- Rajasekaran S. Natural History of Pott's Kyphosis Eur Spine J. 2013; 22(Suppl 4):S634-S640.
- Moon MS, Woo YK, Lee KS. Posterior Instrumentation and Anterior Interbody Fusion for Tuberculous Kyphosis of Dorsal and Lumbar Spines Spine 20:1910-1916. 3. Moon MS. Tuberculosis of the Spine, controversies and a new challenge Spine 22:1791-1797.
- Jain AK. Tuberculosis of the spine. A fresh look at an old disease, J Bone Joint Surg. (Br) 2010; 92-B: 905-13.
- Moon MS. Tuberculosis of Spine: Current views in Diagnosis and Management Asian Spine J. 2014; 8(1):97-111.
- Garg RK, Somwanshi DS. Spinal Tuberculosis: A review J Spinal Cord Med. 2011; 34(5):440-454.
- Brito JS, Tirado A, Fernandes P. Surgical Treatment of Spinal Tuberculosis Complicated with Extensive Abscess, The Iowa Orthopaedic Journal. 2012; 34:130-139.
- Zhang H, Huang S, Guo H. A Clinical Study of Internal Fixation, Debridement and Interbody Fusion to Treat Thoracic Tuberculosis via Posterior Approach only Int. Orthop 2012; 36(2):293-298.
- Lee JS, Moon KP, Kim SJ, Suh KT. Posterior Lumbar Interbody Fusion and Posterior Instrumentation in the Surgical Management of Lumbar Tuberculous Spondylitis, J Bone Joint Surg. (Br). 2007; 89-B:210-214.
- Oguz E, Schirlioglu A, Altinmakas M. A new classification and guide for surgical treatment of spinal tuberculosis, Int. Orthop 2008; 32(1):127-133.
- Rasouli MR, Mirkoohi M, Vaccaro AR. Spinal tuberculosis: Diagnosis and management Asian Spine J. 2012; 6(4):294-308.
- Kumar MN, Joseph B, Manur R. Isolated Posterior Instrumentation for Selected Cases of Thoracolumbar Spinal Tuberculosis without Anterior Instrumentation and Without Anterior or Posterior Bone Grafting Eur. Spine J. 2020; 22:624-632.