



RISK FACTORS FOR CAESAREAN DELIVERY IN INDUCED LABOR AT TERM

Obstetrics & Gynaecology

Mahima Sharma*

Senior Resident, Department Of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Hospital, SMS Medical College Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India - 302001.
*Corresponding Author

Pushpa Nagar

Senior Professor and Head of unit, Department Of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Hospital, SMS Medical College Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India - 302001.

Abhilash Eshwar

Resident doctor, Department Of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Hospital, SMS Medical College Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India - 302001.

Pravesh Poonia

Resident doctor, Department Of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Hospital, SMS Medical College Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India - 302001.

ABSTRACT

Background: Labor inductions have increased steadily over the past two decades and caesarean delivery in induced labour is seen more over the general caesarean cases. This study was designed to assess the factors which are associated with greater risk of caesarean delivery when induced at term.

Methodology: This prospective observational study was carried out at department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in our hospital between March 2018- December 2020 in 120 pregnant women. Induction of labor by cervical ripening with Prostaglandin E2 gel (0.5 mg in 3ml) instilled intracervically followed by reassessment after 6 hours. If progress was not satisfactory, repeat gel induction was done after 24 hours, maximum of 3 doses of Prostaglandin E2 gels were used.

Results: The caesarean delivery rate in the study was 39% which was greater than the general caesarean rate. Patients with poor Bishop score, obesity, gestational diabetes, IUGR and cholestasis were at high risk for emergency caesarean section.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that a number of factors are implicated in increased caesarean rate after induction of labor. Unnecessary caesarean sections should therefore be avoided.

KEYWORDS

Cesarean section, Labor induction, Prostaglandin E2 gel, Risk factors

INTRODUCTION

Induction of labor is the initiation of contractions in a pregnant woman who is not in labor to help her achieve a vaginal birth. Induction is indicated when the risk of continuing the pregnancy exceeds the risk associated with induced labor and delivery.

Labor inductions have increased steadily over the past two decades, with overall rates in many countries now exceeding 20% of all births [1,2].

Common indications for induction of labor are postdatism and post-term pregnancies, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, gestational diabetes mellitus, oligohydramnios, Rh negative pregnancy, polyhydramnios, cholestasis of pregnancy and intrauterine fetal demise [3]. Labor induction is contraindicated when vaginal delivery would endanger the life of the mother or foetus. Common contraindications include contracted pelvis, placenta previa, transverse fetal lie, prolapsed umbilical cord and prior classical uterine incision [3].

This study was designed to assess the factors which are associated with greater risk of caesarean delivery when induced at term so that better obstetric and perinatal outcomes can be achieved.

METHODOLOGY

This prospective observational study was carried out at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in our hospital between March 2018- December 2020. Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. Study population consisted of 120 pregnant women with singleton live fetus in cephalic presentation and induced at gestational age equal to or more than 37 weeks by cervical ripening with Prostaglandin E2 gel. Pregnancy with contraindications to vaginal birth, malpresentation, multifetal gestation, previous caesarean delivery and uterine scar (myomectomy and metroplasty) were excluded from the study.

All women enrolled were examined and cervical inducibility score was calculated. Induction of labor by cervical ripening with Prostaglandin E2 gel (0.5 mg in 3ml) instilled intracervically followed by reassessment after 6 hours. If needed augmentation of labor by oxytocin was done. If progress was not satisfactory, repeat gel

induction was done after 24 hours, maximum of 3 doses of Prostaglandin E2 gels were used. Partographic monitoring of labor was carried out.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Continuous variables were summarized as mean and standard deviation whereas nominal/categorical variables as proportions (%). In universal analysis Chi Square test was applied and Crude odds ratio with 95% Confidence Interval (CI) were calculated for Nominal/categorical variables whereas Unpaired t-test and Man Whitney test were used for continuous variables. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was done to identify the risk factors among significant factors found in univariate analysis $p < 0.05$ was taken as significant. SPSS 22 version software was used for all statistical calculations.

RESULTS

Out of 120 pregnant women, 47 had caesarean section. Thus, the caesarean delivery rate in the study was 39% which was greater than the general caesarean rate. 25 women were aged > 35 years and 12 among them had caesarean, contributing to 25.5% of total caesarean births. With regards to the age, risk of caesarean section was 1.58 times higher in those aged > 35 years. Urban patients had higher odds (OR 1.46) of caesarean section as compared to rural counterparts.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics

	Caesarean Section		Vaginal Delivery		Total	p	OR (95%CI)
	N	%	N	%			
Maternal age (years)							
< 35	12	25.5	13	17.8	25	0.431	1.58
> 35	35	74.5	60	82.2	95		(0.65-3.85)
Residence							
Urban	33	70.2	45	61.6	78	0.445	1.46
Rural	14	29.8	28	38.4	42		(0.67-3.21)
Parity							
Primipara	44	93.6	58	79.5	102	0.063	3.79
Multipara	3	6.4	15	20.5	18		(1.03-13.92)
History of Abortion							
Yes	4	8.5	6	8.2	10	0.778	1.04
No	43	91.5	67	91.8	110		(0.28-3.89)

Treatment for Infertility							
Yes	6	12.8	6	8.2	12	0.61	1.63
No	41	87.2	67	91.8	108	8	(0.49-5.41)
Maternal BMI (kg/m ²)							
≥ 25	14	29.8	6	8.2	20	0.00	4.74
≤ 25	33	70.2	67	91.8	100	4	(1.67-13.45)
Modified Bishop's score							
<5	38	80.9	40	54.8	78	0.00	3.48
≥5	9	19.1	33	45.2	42	6	(1.47-8.24)
AFI							
≤8	23	48.9	32	43.8	55	0.75	1.18
9-20	23	48.9	38	52.1	61	8	2.16
>20	1	2.2	3	4.1	4		
Estimated Fetal Weight (grams)							
>3400	14	29.8	6	8.2	20	0.00	4.74
<3400	33	70.2	67	91.8	100	4	(1.67-13.45)

OR Odd's ratio, CI Confidence interval, BMI Body mass index, AFI Amniotic fluid index Nulliparity was associated with significant increase in caesarean rate as compared to multiparity (p=0.04). Previous history of abortion didn't correlate with risk of caesarean section (p=0.78). The rate of caesarean section was higher in patients who had taken treatment for infertility though the difference was statistically insignificant (p=0.62). The risk of caesarean delivery was 4.7 times higher in women with BMI ≥25 kg/m². Modified Bishop's score <5 was significantly associated with increased caesarean rate (p=0.006) as compared to those with score ≥5. Caesarean rate in patients with oligohydramnios was 41.8 % as compared to 37.7 % with AFI =9 to 20 , and 25% with polyhydramnios (AFI >20). Caesarean delivery rate with macrosomia (EFW>3.5 kg) was significantly higher (p=0.004).

Table 2: Obstetric characteristics

	Caesarean Section		Vaginal Delivery		Total	p	OR (95%CI)
	N	%	N	%			
Hypertensive Disorder							
Yes	13	27.7	22	30.1	35	0.932	0.89
No	34	72.3	51	69.9	85		(0.39-1.99)
GDM							
Yes	12	25.5	11	15.1	23	0.813	0.99
No	35	74.5	32	43.8	97		(0.39-2.57)
IUGR							
Yes	12	25.5	13	17.8	25	0.431	1.58
No	35	74.5	60	82.2	95		(0.65-3.85)
ICP							
Yes	14	29.8	20	27.4	34	0.939	1.12
No	33	70.2	53	72.6	86		(0.5-2.53)
Postdatism							
Yes	14	29.8	29	39.7	43	0.361	0.64
No	33	70.2	44	60.3	77		(0.3-1.41)
PROM							
Yes	13	27.7	31	42.5	44	0.147	0.52
No	34	72.3	42	57.5	76		(0.24-1.14)

OR Odd's ratio, CI Confidence interval, GDM Gestational diabetes mellitus,

There was no significant association of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, gestational diabetes mellitus, IUGR and intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy with caesarean section (p>0.05 for all). Also, neither postdatism nor prelabour rupture of membranes showed increased caesarean delivery rate.

Table 3: Induction-Delivery Interval in relation to parity

Group	N	Mean (Hours)	SD	p
Primipara	102	22.99	16.34	0.001
Multipara	18	10.17	6.71	

The mean induction to delivery interval was 22.99 hours in primipara and 10.17 hours in multipara (p=0.001).

Table 4: Indication for Caesarean section

Indication	N	%
CDMR	2	4.3
Failed Induction	12	25.5

MSL	16	34
Non Reassuring Fetal Heart	8	17
Second stage arrest	9	19.1
	47	100

CDMR, Caesarean delivery at maternal request;MSL, Meconium stained liquor

The most common cause for caesarean section in our study was meconium stained liquor (34%) followed by failed induction(25.5 %) and second stage arrest (19.1%).

DISCUSSION

The increase in caesarean delivery rate in induced labour over the general caesarean rate can be attributed to complications in pregnancy requiring termination by induction. Induction of labour is associated with increased incidence of uterine tachysystole, non-reassuring fetal heart rate and meconium stained liquor[4,5]. In our study, CS following labour induction was 39%. In study done by Tarimo CS et al (2020), the prevalence of CS following labour induction was 26.75% [6]. In a similar study by Ethiraj G et al (2019), it was found that primigravida had a risk of 4.4 times for Cesarean after induction with odds ratio of 0.2 [7].

The risk for women aged >35 years of having caesarean section was 1.58 times the women aged <35 years. This possibly is secondary to age related changes in the maternal pelvis, the psychology of the patient and the cautious attitude of the obstetrician towards such patients [8]. Bergholt T et al (2020) also found that women with induced labor the rate of cesarean section increased from 14.0% in women less than 20 years of age to 39.9% in women 40 years and older. Compared to women aged 25-29 years, the corresponding relative risk were 0.60 (95% confidence interval (CI); 0.57 to 0.64) and 1.72 (95% CI; 1.66 to 1.79) [9]. The likelihood of nullipara having caesarean was 3.793 times that of multipara. Women with poor Modified Bishop's score (<5) were more prone to undergo caesarean section (OR 3.48). Nullipara have slower progress of labour and in circumstances such as fetal heart rate abnormalities or meconium, the obstetrician prefers to perform an emergency caesarean section rather than giving a trial of labour which may eventually compromise the baby [10]. Johnson DP et al (2003) also found that the caesarean delivery rate was 31.5% among patients whose Bishop score was <5 at induction versus 18.1% for patients with a score ≥5 (P <.001) [11]. In our study, previous history of abortion didn't correlate with risk of caesarean section. However, the rate of caesarean section was higher (OR 1.634) in patients who had taken treatment for infertility though the difference was statistically insignificant. These women have a poor mental make-up towards vaginal delivery and the obstetrician prefers a caesarean section in case of even a transient fetal heart abnormality [12]. Obesity was a significant risk factor for caesarean delivery, as women with BMI >25 kg/m² having 4.7 times likelihood of caesarean as compared to those with normal BMI. Obese women have less effective uterine contractility and longer duration of labour, and are more likely to experience failure to progress/labour arrest [13]. Obesity is also associated with other risk factors such as hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, gestational diabetes and PROM [14]. Ferrazzi E et al (2019) suggested that a BMI >25 kg/m² at term of pregnancy is a risk factor for higher caesarean section rate [15].

The caesarean delivery rate in oligohydramnios group was the highest (41.8%) compared to those with polyhydramnios (25%). Higher rate of caesarean deliveries in oligohydramnios is a consequence of obstetric interventions for fetuses potentially at risk, with nonreassuring cardiotocographic patterns [16].

The women with higher estimated foetal weight (>3.4 kg) had increased likelihood of caesarean delivery (p=0.004). Higher foetal weight leads to increased incidence of Cephalopelvic disproportion or Deep transverse arrest which ultimately leads to caesarean [17]. Considering the various obstetric characteristics, hypertensive disorders of pregnancy did not increase the likelihood of caesarean delivery (p=0.93). Women with IUGR were 1.6 times more likely to have caesarean delivery. IUGR is associated with oligohydramnios, hypertensive disorder of pregnancy, fetal heart irregularities and thus increases the incidence of caesarean when induced at term [18]. Pfützenreuter GR et al (2019) also concluded that there was an increased risk for caesarean section in presence of fetuses with intrauterine growth restriction (PR ¼ 1.82; 95%CI: 1.32-2.19) [19].

The women with obstetric cholestasis had higher likelihood (OR =1.124) of having caesarean delivery. Women with obstetric cholestasis had higher incidence of passage of meconium and fetal heart rate abnormalities which possibly contributes to increase in caesarean rate.

In our study, GDM and PROM were not risk factors for caesarean delivery however, Mhaske N et al (2015) showed that GDM patients were 4.67 times and PROM patients were 1.04 times more likely to have caesarean section [20]. This is probably because our patients were mostly multiparous and had a favourable bishop's score.

In summary, meconium stained liquor was the most common (34%) cause for caesarean section followed by failed induction (25%) and second stage arrest (19.1%) in our study.

CONCLUSION

Careful evaluation of patients with poor Bishop score, obesity, gestational diabetes, IUGR and cholestasis should be done as they are associated with high risk for emergency caesarean section. We thus conclude that a number of factors are implicated in increased caesarean rate after induction of labor. Unnecessary caesarean sections should therefore be avoided.

Funding Information The authors declare that this study was not funded by any organization as facilities were available in Government Medical College free of cost as far as treatment of pregnant women was concerned.

Conflicts of interest There is no conflict of interest

Ethical Statements All the procedures followed in study were in accordance with the ethical standards of institution, and ethical committee of institution had critically evaluated the study and its methodology and given the approval before study was started. I am sending scanned document of the approval with this title page, kindly make note of it.

REFERENCES

1. ACOG Committee on Practice Bulletins -- Obstetrics. (2009). ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 107: Induction of labor. Obstetrics and gynecology, 114(2 Pt 1), 386–397. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181b48ef5>
2. Chauhan, S. P., & Ananth, C. V. (2012). Induction of labor in the United States: a critical appraisal of appropriateness and reducibility. Seminars in perinatology, 36(5), 336–343. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2012.04.016>
3. Induction of Labor | GLOWM. (n.d.). Retrieved July 1, 2020, from https://www.glowm.com/section_view/heading/induction-of-labor/item/130
4. Bhargava, S., Hooja, N., Aseri, S., Sharma, A., Kumawat, B., Sihag, S. C. (2017). Analysis of Caesarean sections in a tertiary Care Hospital: according to Robson's 10-group classification. J South Asian Feder Obs Gynae 2017, 9(1), 39–41. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10006>
5. Bhargava, S., Hooja, N., Kala, M., Mital, P., Tulani, K., Arora, S., . . . Gupta, S. (2018). Caesarean Delivery in the Second Stage of Labour at a Tertiary Care Hospital. The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India, 69(6), 558–560. doi:10.1007/s13224-018-1179-4
6. Tarimo, C. S., Mahande, M. J., & Obure, J. (2020). Prevalence and risk factors for caesarean delivery following labor induction at a tertiary hospital in North Tanzania: a retrospective cohort study (2000–2015). BMC pregnancy and childbirth, 20(1), 1–8.
7. Ethiraj, G., Ramachandra, A. C., & Rajan, S. (2019). Induction of Labor and Risk for Emergency Cesarean Section in Women at Term Pregnancy. Journal of Clinical Gynecology and Obstetrics, 8(1), 17–20.
8. Tuschy, B., Berlit, S., Stützer, P., Lis, S., Schmahl, C., Baumgärtner, U., & Sütterlin, M. (2018). Evaluation of psychosocial and biological parameters in women seeking for a caesarean section and women who are aiming for vaginal delivery: a cross-sectional study. Archives of gynecology and obstetrics, 297(4), 897–905. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-018-4654-3>
9. Bergholt, T., Skjeldestad, F. E., Pyrkönen, A., Rasmussen, S. C., Tapper, A. M., Bjarnadóttir, R. I., ... & Løkkegaard, E. C. (2020). Maternal age and risk of caesarean section in women with induced labor at term—A Nordic register—based study. Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica, 99(2), 283–289.
10. Variation in hospital caesarean section rates and obstetric outcomes among nulliparae at term: a population—based cohort study - Nippita - 2015 - BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology - Wiley Online Library. (n.d.). Retrieved June 20, 2020, from <https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/1471-0528.13281>
11. Johnson, D. P., Davis, N. R., & Brown, A. J. (2003). Risk of cesarean delivery after induction at term in nulliparous women with an unfavorable cervix. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology, 188(6), 1565–1572.
12. Sheiner, E., Shoham-Vardi, I., Hershkovitz, R., Katz, M., & Mazor, M. (2001). Infertility treatment is an independent risk factor for caesarean section among nulliparous women aged 40 and above. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology, 185(4), 888–892. <https://doi.org/10.1067/mob.2001.117308>
13. Kominiaiek, M. A., Zhang, J., Vanveldhuisen, P., Troendle, J., Beaver, J., & Hibbard, J. U. (2011). Contemporary labor patterns: the impact of maternal body mass index. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology, 205(3), 244.e1–244.e2448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2011.06.014>
14. Stubert, J., Reister, F., Hartmann, S., & Janni, W. (2018). The Risks Associated With Obesity in Pregnancy. Deutsches Arzteblatt international, 115(16), 276–283. <https://doi.org/10.3238/arztebl.2018.0276>
15. Ferrazzi, E., Brembilla, G., Cipriani, S., Livio, S., Paganelli, A., & Parazzini, F. (2019). Maternal age and body mass index at term: Risk factors for requiring an induced labour for a late-term pregnancy. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, 233, 151–157.
16. Manzanares, S., Carrillo, M. P., González-Perán, E., Puertas, A., & Montoya, F. (2007). Isolated oligohydramnios in term pregnancy as an indication for induction of labor. The journal of maternal-fetal & neonatal medicine : the official journal of the European Association of Perinatal Medicine, the Federation of Asia and Oceania Perinatal Societies, the International Society of Perinatal Obstetricians, 20(3), 221–224. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767050601127391>
17. Tsvieli, O., Sergienko, R., & Sheiner, E. (2012). Risk factors and perinatal outcome of pregnancies complicated with cephalopelvic disproportion: a population-based study. Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, 285(4), 931–936. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-011-2086-4>
18. Cnattingius, R., Höglund, B., & Kieler, H. (2005). Emergency caesarean delivery in induction of labor: an evaluation of risk factors. Acta obstetrica et gynecologica Scandinavica, 84(5), 456–462.
19. Pflitzenreuter, G. R., Cavalieri, J. C., Fragoso, A. P. D. O., Corregio, K. S. D., Freitas, P. F., & Trapani, A. (2019). Factors Associated with Intrapartum Cesarean Section in Women Submitted to Labor Induction. Revista Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetricia, 41, 363–370.
20. Mhaske, N., Agarwal, R., Wadhwa, R. D., & Basannar, D. R. (2015). Study of the risk factors for caesarean delivery in induced labors at term. The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India, 65(4), 236–240.