



A STUDY OF PLATELET VOLUME PARAMETERS IN THROMBOCYTOPENIA CASES.

Pathology

Dr. Charu Tripathi Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, ZMCH, DAHOD, Gujarat-389151.

Dr. Gaurav Pawar Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, ZMCH, DAHOD, Gujarat-389151.

ABSTRACT

Recent studies showed that platelet parameters such as mean platelet volume (MPV), plateletcrit (PCT), platelet size deviation width (PDW) could be used for differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia. In the present study, accordingly, we aimed to investigate the significance of these parameters in the differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia. One hundred sixty-four (164) patients with thrombocytopenia were included in the present study. The patients were divided into two groups according to the time of the diagnosis of thrombocytopenia: hyperdestructive (75 ITP) and hypoproduative (25 AA, 25 MDS, 24 AML, 15 ALL). The diagnosis was made based on hematological, pathological, and chromosomal analyses and guidelines. Samples for complete blood counts were collected in K3EDTA tubes and analyzed with an automated hematology analyzer, Beckman-Coulter. The platelet count was similar in both groups. The present results showed that there were no statistically significant differences between the groups in terms of age, gender and PDW. However, MPV was significantly higher in the hyperdestructive group than the hypoproduative group. By contrast, PCT was considerably lower in the hyperdestructive group than the hypoproduative group. The results of the present study indicated that these platelet parameters might provide additional contributions to strengthen the diagnosis in patients diagnosed with ITP and would be beneficial to consider the thrombocyte parameters as well as the clinical and other laboratory tests of the patient.

KEYWORDS

MPV, platelet parameters, thrombocytopenia.

INTRODUCTION

Decrease in platelets outside the bone marrow due to platelet destruction and normal or increased platelet-derived megakaryocytes are monitored in bone marrow examinations in the hyperdestructive thrombocytopenia. The best examples that can be cited in this group might be immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) and disseminated intravascular coagulation syndrome (DIC). On the other hand, reduced or no megakaryocytes are observed in bone marrow examinations in hypoproduative thrombocytopenia. The best examples that can be mentioned in this group might be bone marrow damages leading to acute and chronic leukemia, aplastic anemia (AA), and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), chemotherapy and drug use. Examination of bone marrow aspirations and various biochemical tests are used to differentiate the type of thrombocytopenia. Although invasive bone marrow aspiration is the gold standard and technically not difficult in the discrimination of the thrombocytopenia, but it is a painful, uncomfortable, time-consuming, and expensive approach. Therefore this method is not recommended in the diagnosis of hyperdestructive thrombocytopenia such as ITP. Overall, these studies do not suggest the use of bone marrow aspiration as first-step approach in the management of the thrombocytopenic patients. Nonetheless, bone marrow examination is mandatory and necessary for diagnosis in other patient groups, except for ITP and several clinics continue to use the examination of it in the differential diagnoses of thrombocytopenia type. Rapid advances in automated hematology analyzers have allowed easy and rapid measurements of several blood parameters. Among these, platelet parameters such as MPV, PDW, and PCT can provide important information regarding the kinetics of platelets. These tests are inexpensive, non-invasive, requiring no additional blood samples and they can be performed using an automated hematology analyzer in each health center. In addition, some studies suggest that these platelet parameters can be used in the differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia. Nonetheless, these parameters are not currently used in the differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia. In the present study, we aimed to investigate the usefulness of the platelet parameters in the differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia based on the hyperdestructive or hypoproduative nature of thrombocytopenia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The patients with platelet counts $<100 \times 10^9$ were enrolled in the present study, but those patients diagnosed with splenic sequestration, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP), chronic systemic diseases, thrombocytopenia due to use of drug or chemotherapy were excluded from the current study. The data pertaining to the patients were evaluated prospectively. The distribution of the patients enrolled in the present study based on their diagnosis was as follows: 75 patients with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), 24 with acute myeloid leukemia (AML), 15 with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), 25

aplastic anemia (AA) and 25 myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) patients. Diagnoses of the impairment of the patients were determined using hematological, pathological, chromosomal analyses and other guiding tests. The bone marrow aspiration and biopsy examination were carried out for all of the patients enrolled in the present study. Of the entire patients, 75 patients were classified in the hyperdestructive group and 89 patients were classified in the hypoproduative group. The samples for complete blood counts were collected in K3EDTA tubes and analyzed with an automated hematology analyzer, Beckman-Coulter.

RESULTS

No differences were noted regarding the effectiveness of the platelet parameters in the differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia between the groups in terms of age ($p=0.974$). Serum hemoglobin and white blood cell levels were found to be lower in the hypoproduative group than in the hyperdestructive group ($p>0.001$). The platelet counts were similar between the groups ($p=0.444$). Moreover, while MPV was 7.4 fl in the hypoproduative group, it was 10.7 fl in the hyperdestructive group. The difference in the level of the MPV between the groups was statistically significant ($p>0.001$). In addition, the PCT level was lower in the hyperdestructive group than in the hypoproduative group ($p=0.039$). There was also no difference in PDW between the groups ($p=0.907$). Furthermore, levels of leukocytes and hemoglobin were markedly reduced in the hypoproduative group with respect to the hyperdestructive group ($p<0.001$).

DISCUSSION

Differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia is intricate since there are many factors playing a role in the platelet etiology. It is crucially vital to determine whether thrombocytopenia is developed owing to platelet shortage or surplus for the proper differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia. Inspection of bone marrow aspiration and various biochemical tests are used for differential diagnosis. Even though bone marrow aspiration is the gold standard in the discrimination of thrombocytopenia, it is invasive, time-consuming, expensive, demanding an experienced hematologist and detailed examination. In addition, this method is not recommended in the diagnosis of hyperdestructive thrombocytopenia (e.g., ITP). Studies indicate that bone marrow aspiration should not be performed on thrombocytopenic patients as the first-line method; therefore, a novel, easy and non-invasive method is required for the diagnosis of thrombocytopenic patients. Several studies assert that particular platelet parameters such as MPV, PDW, and PCT can be useful as the first-line method for managing thrombocytopenic patients. Besides, previous studies indicate that while levels of MPV and PDW are higher in the hyperdestructive group but lower in the hypoproduative group. Present results concerning the levels of MPV are consistent with the literature. Accordingly, current results showed that the levels of MPV were 10.7 fl in the hyperdestructive group and 7.4 fl in the hypoproduative group ($p>0.001$).

CONCLUSION

Our study suggest that the platelet parameters such as MPV and PCT can be used in the differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia as first-line methods. They are cheaper, easily available, reliable, require no additional blood sample, and can be performed in health centres in addition to the clinical and other laboratory tests of the patient. We think that although the platelet parameters alone are not considered to be thoroughly effective in uncovering the etiology of thrombocytopenia, they are useful as a first-line approach and can play a critical role in determining the direction of the etiological investigation for the diagnosis of thrombocytopenia.

REFERENCES

1. Francis R, Shetageri SN, Roopa AN, Parthiban SRR. A study to evaluate use of platelet indices in hyperdestructive thrombocytopenia: A two-year experience from tertiary care rural hospital. *Journal of Medical Sciences and Health* 2021;7(1):73-80 (doi:10.46347/jmsh.2021.v07i01.013).
2. Tang YT, He P, Li YZ, Chen HZ, Chang XL, Xie QD, Jiao XY. Diagnostic value of platelet indices and bone marrow megakaryocytic parameters in immune thrombocytopenic purpura. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis* . 2017 Jan ;28(1):83-90 (doi:10.1097/MBC.612).
3. Gulati I, Kumar H, Sheth J, Dey I. Diagnostic implication of mean platelet volume in thrombocytopenia. *Med J DY Patil Univ* 2017;10: 370-5 (doi: 10.4103 MJDRDYP. 306.16).
4. Lalita N, Wichan K, Ekarat R, Thanawat R, Chatree C, Adisak T. The use of mean platelet volume for distinguishing the causes of thrombocytopenia in adult patients. *Hematology Reports* 2019;11:7732 (doi: 10.4081/hr.2019.7732).
5. Islam S, Islam MS, Ahmed MU, Aziz MA, Begum M. Role of mean platelet volume (MPV), platelet distribution width (PDW) and platelet large cell ratio (P-LCR) value in the diagnosis of immune thrombocytopenic purpura. *Hematol Transfus Int J* 2016;2:29-31 (doi: 10.1506/htij.2016.03.00031).
6. Chandra H, Chandra S, Rawat A, Verma SK. Role of mean platelet volume as discriminating guide for bone marrow disease in patients with thrombocytopenia. *Int J Lab Hematol* 2010;32:498-505 (doi: 10.1111/j.1751-553X.2009.01212.x).
7. Reddy RS, Phansalkar MD, Ramalakshmi PV. Mean platelet volume (MPV) in thrombocytopenia. *J Contemp Med Dent* 2014;2:45-50 (doi:10.18049/jcmad/229).
8. Pritam SK, Sarika M, Asish P, Megha P. Role of mean platelet volume (MPV) in diagnosing categories of thrombocytopenia. *Indian Journal of Pathology and Oncology*, October-December 2016;3(4):606-10 (doi:10.5958/2394-6792.2016.00112.5).
9. Elsewefy DA, Farweez BA, Ibrahim RR. Platelet indices: Consideration in thrombocytopenia. *Egypt J Hematol* 2014;39:134-8 (doi: 10.4103/1110-1067.148240).
22. Bhalara SK, Shah S, Goswami H, Gonsai RN. Clinical and etiological profile of thrombocytopenia in adults: A tertiary care hospital based cross-sectional study. *Int J Med Sci Public Health* 2015;4:7-10 (doi: 10.5455/ijmsph.2015.060920141).