



## ROLE OF SERUM-ASCITES ALBUMIN GRADIENT IN DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS OF ASCITES

### Pathology

**Dr. Amaresh Kumar Sudhanshu\***

M.B.B.S., M.D. (Pathology), Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Katihar Medical College, Katihar, Bihar. \*Corresponding Author

**Dr. [Prof.] Rajesh Kumar**

M.B.B.S., M.D. (Pathology), Professor, Department of Pathology, Katihar Medical College, Katihar, Bihar.

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** The classification of ascites as 'exudative' and 'transudative' based on ascitic fluid total protein (AFTP) has been challenged in many clinical conditions like cardiac ascites, patients on prolonged diuretic therapy and malignant ascites because it had poor diagnostic efficacy. These drawbacks have led to the development of another approach to classify ascites, which is based on Serum-Ascites Albumin Gradient (SAAG) to differentiate ascitic fluid into two categories: SAAG  $\geq 11$  g/L in ascites due to portal hypertension and SAAG  $< 11$  g/L in ascites unrelated to portal hypertension. Objective of this study was to compare the diagnostic efficacy of serum/ascites fluid albumin gradient and ascitic fluid total protein in patients having ascites. **Methods:** This Cross-sectional comparative study was conducted in the Department of Pathology, Katihar Medical College, Katihar, Bihar from December 2016 to November 2017. Ninety-three patients were included in the study by non probability convenience sampling. The patient grouped as: (Group I) 73 cases of liver cirrhosis, (Group II) 14 cases of hepatoma and 6 cases of tuberculous ascites. Ascitic fluid specimen and 3 ml blood were obtained for ascitic fluid estimation of ascitic fluid albumin, total proteins and serum albumin. Diagnostic efficacy of SAAG and AFTP was calculated by comparing the results with clinical, ultrasonographic, histopathological findings, ascitic fluid cell count/acid fast bacilli culture and other relevant investigations. **Results:** Seventy-three cases had liver cirrhosis (group I), 14 cases had hepatoma and 6 cases had tuberculous ascites (group II). Age ranged 25–80 years with mean age 56 years. Diagnostic accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive predictive value (PPV) and Negative predictive value (NPV) of SAAG were 96%, 97%, 95%, 98.6%, and 90% respectively, whereas those of AFTP were 56%, 53%, 70%, 86%, and 29% respectively. **Conclusion:** Differential diagnosis of ascites should be based on SAAG because diagnostic efficacy of SAAG was significantly higher than AFTP in work-up of ascites.

### KEYWORDS

Ascites, Serum/ascites albumin gradient, Ascitic fluid total protein

### INTRODUCTION

The term 'ascites' denotes the pathologic accumulation of fluid in the peritoneal cavity. The causes of ascites may be classified into two broad patho-physiologic categories, one which is associated with a normal peritoneum and second who occurs in a diseased peritoneum. The most common cause of ascites is portal hypertension secondary to chronic liver disease, which accounts for more than 80% cases. The most common causes of non-portal hypertensive ascites include infections and intraabdominal malignancy.

The traditional classification of ascites into 'exudative' and 'transudative' involves estimation of ascitic fluid total protein (AFTP), which is high ( $\geq 25$  g/L) in exudate and  $< 25$  g/L in transudate.<sup>2</sup> This classification has been challenged in different clinical conditions.<sup>3-7</sup> These drawbacks led to another approach to classify ascites, based on serum/ascites albumin gradient (SAAG), which is being used to differentiate ascitic fluid into two categories: first with gradient  $\geq 11$  g/L in ascites due to portal hypertension and second with gradient  $< 11$  g/L in ascites unrelated to portal hypertension. However approximately 4% patients have 'mixed ascites', i.e., underlying portal hypertension complicated by a second cause for ascites formation (such as malignancy or tuberculosis).<sup>1</sup>

Presently SAAG is not being used in clinical practice, so keeping in view the current clinical practice. This study was designed to compare the diagnostic efficacy of SAAG and AFTP in work-up of ascites.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

This was comparative cross-sectional study carried out at the Department of Pathology, Katihar Medical College, Katihar, Bihar from December 2016 to November 2017. Ninety-three cases of clinically detectable ascites irrespective of sex and age, reporting to AFIP for ascitic fluid analysis were included in the study by non-probability convenience sampling. Out of 93 patients, 73 (77%) had liver cirrhosis and placed in group I of study, 20 (27%) were unrelated to portal hypertension and placed in group II of the study (14 cases of hepatoma and 6 cases of tuberculous ascites).

Cases of mixed ascites and pregnant ladies were excluded.

After obtaining informed consent, history, clinical details,

ultrasound/histo-pathological findings, ascitic fluid cell count/acid fast bacilliculture and other relevant investigations were recorded. Three ml of blood was collected aseptically from ante-cubital vein in plain test tubes in lying posture simultaneously. Application of tourniquet was minimised to 60 seconds. Blood was allowed to clot and serum was separated. Albumin (g/L) was estimated on both serum and ascitic fluid by the Bromocresol green method<sup>8</sup> and AFTP (g/L) was estimated by Biuret method<sup>9</sup> on automated chemistry analyser. SAAG was calculated by following formula:

SAAG (g/L) = Serum Albumin – Ascitic fluid albumin  
Data analysis was done using SPSS-11.

Descriptive statistics were used to calculate frequency distribution of age, gender, SAAG and AFTP. Diagnostic Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV of SAAG and AFTP were calculated by comparing with clinical, ultrasonographic, histopathological findings, ascitic fluid cell count/acid fast bacilli culture and other relevant investigations as under. The statistical analysis was done using student's 't' test.

- Accuracy =  $\frac{TP + TN}{N} \times 100$
- Sensitivity% =  $\frac{TP}{TP + FN} \times 100$
- Specificity% =  $\frac{TN}{TN + FP} \times 100$
- PPV% =  $\frac{TP}{TP + FP} \times 100$
- NPV% =  $\frac{TN}{TN + FN} \times 100$

### RESULTS

Among 93 patients, 67 were males and 26 were females. Mean ages was 57 years. Age range was 25–80 years.

Out of 73 patients of group I, 71 (97%) were correctly identified by SAAG at  $\geq 11$  g/L while only 39 patients (53%) were identified as transudate at AFTP  $< 25$  g/L. Out of 20 patients of group II, 18 (90%) were correctly identified as by SAAG at  $< 11$  g/L and only 14 patients (70%) were identified as exudates at AFTP  $\geq 25$  g/L.

The Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV of SAAG at  $\geq 11$  g/L and AFTP at  $< 25$  g/L to predict portal hypertension was calculated by using  $2 \times 2$  tables. Calculated Accuracy, Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV of SAAG were 96%, 97%, 95%, 98.6%, 90%, and those for AFTP were 56%, 53%, 70%, 86%, and 29% respectively (Table-1).

**Table – 1 : Diagnostic value of SAAG and AFTP (n=93) in differentiating ascites**

| Variables  | Group I<br>Mean±SD | Group II<br>Mean±SD | p-value | Accuracy % | Sensitivity % | Specificity % | PPV % | NPV % |
|------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|------------|---------------|---------------|-------|-------|
| SAAG (g/L) | 19.0±4.3           | 8.9±2.3             | <0.001  | 96         | 97            | 95            | 98.6  | 90    |
| AFTP (g/L) | 22.4±7.4           | 35.7±14.3           | <0.001  | 56         | 53            | 70            | 86    | 29    |

## DISCUSSION

Diagnostic paracentesis with ascitic fluid analysis is critical to the accurate diagnosis and management of ascites. Older classification of exudates-transudate has been challenged. Recent advances have improved the evaluation of ascitic fluid; among them is the SAAG for discrimination of ascites. As albumin is the main contributor of oncotic pressure, so SAAG was measured as a reflection of portal hypertension in the genesis of ascites from different causes.

In our study mean value of SAAG (Group I) was 19 g/L which can be explained by finding that majority of patients belonged to older age (Mean age= 57 years) and because serum albumin is already low in decompensated liver disease and in old age; this leads to low ascitic fluid albumin concentrations and a higher degree of SAAG.<sup>10,11</sup>

SAAG ≥11g/L suggests presence of portal hypertension not only in patients of portal hypertension with a transudate type of ascites but also in cases with a high protein concentration.

SAAG <11 g/L would suggest absence of portal hypertension that was compatible with studies worldwide.<sup>12-15</sup>

Results of present study have shown that AFTP has poor diagnostic efficacy in both groups as it could identify only 53% cases in group I at cut-off level of <25 g/L, while 47% of patients showed high AFTP values (exudate) which could not be identified also. On the other hand AFTP at cut-off level of ≥25 g/L has correctly classified 70% cases in group II and 30% cases could not be identified as their AFTP levels were <25 g/L which is in agreement with studies conducted worldwide.<sup>16-18</sup>

Sensitivity (Se), Specificity (Sp), Ac (Accuracy), Positive Predictive Value (PPV), Negative Predictive Value (NPV).

The results of the present study reinforce the superiority of SAAG to the transudate-exudate concept in classifying ascites with efficacy ranging from 80–100%.

## CONCLUSION

Differential diagnosis of ascites should be based on SAAG because diagnostic efficacy of SAAG was significantly higher than AFTP in work-up of patients having ascites.

## REFERENCES

- Dufour DR. Liver disease. In: Burtis C, Ashwood RE, Burns DE, editors. Teitz text book of clinical chemistry and molecular diagnostics. 4th ed. New Delhi: Elsevier; 2007.p. 1777–847.
- Rovelstad RA, Bartholomew LG, Cain JC. The value of examination of ascitic fluid and blood for lipids and for proteins by electrophoresis. *Gastroenterology* 1958;34:436–50.
- Runyon BA. Cardiac ascites: A characterization. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1988;10:410–2.
- Runyon BA, Hoefs JC, Morgan TR. Ascitic fluid analysis in malignancy-related ascites. *J Hepatol* 1988;8:1104–9.
- Runyon BA. Low protein concentration ascitic fluid is predisposed to spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. *Gastroenterology* 1986;91:1343–6.
- Hegarty RE, Smith JR. Mechanism of ascites: A physiological appraisal. *Am J Med* 1954;16:434–8.
- Sampliner RF, Iber FL. High protein ascites in patients with uncomplicated hepatic cirrhosis. *Am J Med Sci* 1974;267:275–9.
- Engel H, Bac DJ, Brouwer R, Blijenberg BG, Lindemans J. Diagnostic analysis of total protein, albumin, white cell count and differential in ascitic fluid. *Eur J Clin Chem Clin Biochem* 1995;33:239–42.
- Silverman LM, Christensen RH. Amino acids and proteins. In: Burtis C, Ashwood RE, (Eds). Teitz text book of clinical chemistry. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 1994.p. 625–734.
- Demirel U, Karıncaoglu M, Harputluoglu M, Ates M, Seçkin Y, Yildirim B, et al. Two findings of portal hypertension: evaluation of correlation between serum-ascites albumin gradient and esophageal varices in non-alcoholic cirrhosis. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2003;14:219–22.
- Al-Knawy BA. Etiology of ascites and the diagnostic value of serum-ascites albumin gradient in non-alcohol liver disease. *Ann Saudi Med* 1997;17(1):26–8.
- Bjelakovic G, Nagorni A, Stamenkovic I, Stojanov DB, Brzacki V, Raicevic S, et al. The value of serum-ascites albumin gradient in differential diagnosis of ascites and proposal for the new cutoff value. *Acta Fac Med Naiss* 2003;20:209–12.
- Rana SV, Babu SGV, Kocchar R. Usefulness of ascitic fluid cholesterol as a marker for malignant ascites. *Med Sci Monit* 2005;11:136–42.

- Das BB, Purohit A, Acharya U, Treskova E. Serum-ascites albumin gradient: a predictor of esophageal varices with ascites. *Indian J Pediatr* 2001;68:511–4.
- Kajani MA, Yoo YK, Alexander JA, Gavalier JS, Stauber RE, Dindzans VJ. Serum-ascites albumin gradients in nonalcoholic liver disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 1990;35(1):33–7.
- Zhu XH, Liu B, Cheng ZY. Diagnostic value of serum ascites albumin gradient. *Hunan Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao* 2003;28:278–80.
- Runyon BA, Montano AA, Akriviadis EA, Antillon MR, Irving MA, McHutchison JG. The serum-ascites albumin gradient is superior to the exudate-transudate concept in the differential diagnosis of ascites. *Ann Intern Med* 1992;117:215–20.
- Hoefs JC. Serum protein concentration and portal pressure determine the ascitic fluid protein concentration in patients with chronic liver disease. *J Lab Clin Med* 1983;102:260–73.
- Laudanno OM, Bresciani P, Silva M. Diagnostic efficacy of albumin gradient in different causes of ascites. *Acta Gastroenterol Latinoam* 1995;25:285–90.
- Akriviadis EA, Kapnias D, Hadjigavriel M, Mitsiou A, Goulis J. Serum/ascites albumin gradient: its value as a rational approach to the differential diagnosis of ascites. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1996;31:814–7.
- Nadeem MA, Wasim T, Ahmed W, Mujib F, Raza MA, Khan AH. Usefulness of SAAG in evaluation of ascites. *Pak J Gastroenterol* 1999;13(1–2):22–8.
- Goyal AK, Goyal SK, Pokhrana DS, Sharma SK. Differential diagnosis of ascitic fluid: comparison of various biochemical criteria with a special reference to serum ascites albumin gradient and its relation to portal pressure. *Trop Gastroenterol* 1989;10:51–5.
- Beg M, Hussain S, Ahmed N, Akhtar N. Serum ascites albumin gradient in the differential diagnosis of ascites. *J Indian Acad Clin Med* 2001;2(1 & 2):51–4.
- Rana SV, Babu SGV, Kocchar R. Usefulness of ascitic fluid cholesterol as a marker for malignant ascites. *Med Sci Monit* 2005;11(3):136–42.
- Khan FY. Ascites in the state of Qatar: aetiology and diagnostic value of ascitic fluid analysis. *Singapore Med J* 2007;48:434–9.
- Sartori M, Andorno S, Gambaro M, Leone F, Molinari GL, Pontiroli L, et al. Diagnostic paracentesis. A two-step approach. *Ital J Gastroenterol* 1996;8:81–5.