



A HOSPITAL BASED RETROSPECTIVE COMPARATIVE STUDY OF OPEN CHOLECYSTECTOMY VERSUS LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY IN 320 PATIENTS AT A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE IN NORTH KASHMIR.

Surgery

Shiekh Mehmoood Rashid*

M.S. (General Surgery), Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Govt. Medical College, Baramulla, Kashmir (India) – 193101. *Corresponding Author

Sheikh Aamir Rashid

Undergraduate Scholar (ASCOMS Jammu), Department of Surgery and Anaesthesiology, Govt. Medical College Baramulla, Kashmir, India.

Shera Altaf Hussain

M.Ch. (Paediatric Surgery) Professor, Department of Surgery and Anaesthesiology, Govt. Medical College Baramulla, Kashmir, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: Gall stones are one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality all over the world and cholecystectomy has become a universal standard for treatment of symptomatic cholelithiasis. **Objective:** To compare the duration of surgery, complication rates, analgesic use and post-operative hospital stay in laparoscopic cholecystectomy vs open cholecystectomy. **Methods:** We retrospectively viewed medical records of 320 cholecystectomised patients (160 Group A patients having undergone laparoscopic cholecystectomy and 160 patients in Group B with open cholecystectomy) who had been operated during a 5 year period from January 2016 to December 2020 in the department of surgery, Govt Medical College Baramulla. The two groups were compared on the basis of duration of surgery, intra and post-operative complications, duration of analgesic use and hospital stay and conclusions were drawn. **Results:** Group A patients had a significantly less duration of surgery compared to Group B patients ($p < 0.0001$), a significantly lower rate of intra and post-operative complications ($p = 0.006$), lower first post-operative day drain output ($p < 0.001$) lesser analgesic requirement ($p < 0.001$) and lower post-operative hospital stay ($p < 0.0001$). **Conclusion:** Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is safer and efficacious compared to open cholecystectomy and should be an available option for all patients requiring elective cholecystectomy.

KEYWORDS

Open cholecystectomy, Laparoscopic cholecystectomy, bile duct injuries, cholecystitis.

INTRODUCTION

Cholelithiasis is the commonest biliary pathology affecting females predominantly all over the world^[1]. The prevalence of disease varies from 15-25% in U.K, U.S.A and Australia whereas it is rare in Africa involving less than 1% of population^[2]. Cholecystectomy, open or laparoscopic, is one of the common operations performed in surgery. Open cholecystectomy was the gold standard operation for past 100 years and now laparoscopic cholecystectomy is considered as the first option for cholelithiasis^[3]. Traditional biliary surgery has thus undergone changes from conventional open one since the introduction of laparoscopy into general practice in 1990 and elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy has almost replaced the open procedure^[4].

About 70-80% of cholecystectomies are done laparoscopically where as 20-30% are still completed by open cholecystectomy often performed in elderly patients, cardiopulmonary compromised patients and those with complicated gallstones where laparoscopic procedure is not feasible^[5]. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy may offer a better option because of the magnification and availability of newer instrument like Ligasure and ultrasonic shears^[6-7]. Clinically and financially laparoscopic cholecystectomy has advantages over open cholecystectomy and has become popular alternative to open procedure due to many advantages including shorter operative time, early recovery, short hospital stay, low morbidity, and low cost^[8].

This study compared various intra operative and postoperative parameters of the two procedures in 320 patients who have undergone cholecystectomy from January 2016 to December 2020 in the department of General Surgery, Govt. Medical college Baramulla, 160 patients by open cholecystectomy method and 160 by laparoscopic method, in order to assess the better surgical option for patients with cholelithiasis. The criteria to be assessed were intra operative and postoperative complications and duration of hospital stay in 2 groups.

METHODS

This retrospective study was conducted in Department of Surgery of Govt. Medical College Baramulla from January 2016 to December 2020. We retrospectively viewed medical records of those patients who underwent cholecystectomy during the study period. Among them patients who matched with our inclusion criteria were selected for our study and those having any of the exclusion criteria were rejected. Incomplete and missed data were also removed from analysis. The study population was then divided into two groups i.e Group A and Group B. Patients subjected to Laparoscopic

Cholecystectomy (LC) were grouped in Group A and those subjected to Open Cholecystectomy (OC) were in Group B. By this above mentioned method we selected 320 patients, of which 160 patients underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy and were placed in Group A, while remaining 160 patients underwent open cholecystectomy and were placed in Group B.

Inclusion Criteria

- Chronic cholecystitis.
- Cholelithiasis
- Mucocoele and empyema of gall bladder.

Exclusion Criteria

- Choledocholithiasis.
- Carcinoma of gall bladder.
- Perforated gall bladder.
- Gangrenous gall bladder.

Data collected for our study population were age, gender, diagnosis, duration of surgery, intra and post-operative complications, post-operative pain and analgesic use, drain output on 1st post-op day and duration of hospital stay.

Statistical Analysis

Data was presented as actual numbers and percentages, $p < 0.05$ was considered as significant.

RESULTS

The mean age of our study population was 43.42 ± 10.25 years with a range of 23 years to 70 years, median age being 42 years. Mean age of group A was 42.78 ± 12.90 , ranged from 23 years to 70 years, median 39 yrs; while mean age of group B was 42.02 ± 11.67 years with a range between 23 years to 69 years, median 40 years. In group A, 98 (61.25%) patients were in age group of 20 to 40 years, 48 patients (30%) in 41 to 60 years, 56 patients (35%) in 41 to 60 years age group and 18 (11.25%) patients were above 9 years.

Table 1: Time Taken For Surgery In Two Study Groups.

Duration of Surgery (minutes)	Group A number(%)	Group B number(%)
<60	48 (30.00)	4(2.50)
60-90	106(66.25)	20(12.50)
91-120	6 (3.75)	112 (70.00)

>120	0 (0.00)	24 (15.00)
Total	160(100.00)	160(200.00)

Table 01 shows that the mean duration of surgery in group A (69.25 ± 14.78 minutes) is significantly less than that of group B (106.18 ± 15.18 minutes).

Among the study population 100 patients (31.25%) were males and 220(68.75%) were females; in group A 52 (32.50%) were males and 108(67.50%) females and in Group B it was 48(30%) males and 112(70%) females.

Table 2: Intra Operative Complications In Two Study Groups.

Complication	Group A n(%)	Group B n(%)
Bleeding	6 (3.75)	12 (7.50)
CBD Injury	2 (1.25)	4 (2.50)
Gall Stone Spillage	10 (6.25)	8 (5.00)

In Table 02 we can see that intra operative complications were more in Group B (15%) compared to that in Group A (11.25%), but this is not statistically significant.

Table 3: Post-Operative Complications In Two Study Groups

Complication	Group A n(%)	Group B n(%)
Wound Injection	4	16
Chest Infection	2	8
Post operative ileus	4	12

In Table 03 we can see that post-operative complications are more in Group B (22.5%) compared to that in Group A(6.25%), which is statistically significant

Table 4: First Post-operative Day Drainage In Two Study Groups :

Group	Mean $\pm$ SB (ml)	Range (ml)	Median (ml)
A (n=160)	28.47 $\pm$ 22.40	0-100	20
B (n=160)	64.95 $\pm$ 44.55	0-150	50

Table 04 shows mean drain output on 1st post-operative day in Group A(28.47 $\pm$ 22.40ml) is less than that in Group B (64.95 $\pm$ 44.55ml) which is statistically significant.

Table 5: Pain Score In Two Study Groups

Pain Score	Group A	Group B
VAS (Grade 0-5)	Grade 2	Grade 4
Range	0-3	1-5

P value<0.001

Table 05 shows average pain score in Group B patients (Grade III) is more than in Group A patients (Grade II) which is statistically significant.

Table 6: Number Of Days Of Analgesic Use In Two Groups

Duration of Analgesic use	Group A n(%)	Group B n(%)
1-2 days	138 (86.25)	14 (8.75)
3-4 days	20(12.50)	96(60.00)
5-7 days	2(1.25)	40(25.00)
>7 days	0(0.00)	10(6.25)
Total	160(100.00)	160(100.00)

Table 06 shows mean duration of analgesic use after operation was much more in Group B(4.37 $\pm$ 1.76days) compared to that in Group A(1.63 $\pm$ 0.28 days) and this was found to be statistically significant.

Table 7: Duration Of Hospital Stay In Two Groups.

Post-operative hospital stay	Group A n(%)	Group B n(%)
1 to 3 days	130 (81.25)	0(0.00)
4 to 7 days	30 (18.75)	118(73.75)
More than 7 days	0 (0.00)	42(26.25)
Total	160(100.00)	160(100.00)

Table 07 shows that duration of hospital stay in maximum number (81.25%) of patients in Group A was between 1-3 days and that in majority (73.75%) of patients in Group B was between 4-7days which is a statistically significant difference.

DISCUSSION

Cholelithiasis is a common disease entity. Frequent occurrence and serious complications of cholelithiasis have made this one of the most important surgically correctable diseases^[9]. Laparoscopic

cholecystectomy has significantly changed the treatment of gallstone disease. Although this new technique has been adopted by many practising surgeons, concerns about the incidence of major complications still exists^[10]. The morbidity and mortality associated with laparoscopic cholecystectomy should be comparable to open cholecystectomy before it is accepted as the treatment of choice for gallstone disease. Several large published series have reported their experience with laparoscopic cholecystectomy^[11-14].

The main sufferers of gall bladder disease in our study were females as compared to males. Out of total 320 cases, 100 cases were males and 220 were females and our findings are similar to those observed by Frazee et al^[15] and U. Berggren et al^[16]. The reason for the high incidence of cholelithiasis among females could be that pregnancy and child birth have a definitive influence on biliary tract disease, acting by casual stasis as well as weight gain and consequent hypercholesterolemia. Another reason could be the effect of female hormones especially progesterone acting on the gallbladder and reducing motility, causing stasis and thereby promoting gallstone formation.

We also observed that gall bladder disease is more common in third and fourth decade with a mean age of 43.42 $\pm$ 10.25 years. Several authors in their studies have come across more or less with similar findings e.g. Dhaigude et al^[14] in their study found a mean age of 39.03 years in open and 33.13 years in laparoscopic cholecystectomy with a male: female ratio of 1:0.96 while study by Parambil SM et al^[13] revealed mean age as 44 $\pm$ 13.33 years and male to female ratio of 1:2.

Our study revealed a shorter mean duration of surgery in patients undergone laparoscopic cholecystectomy i.e Group A (69.25 ± 14.78 minutes) compared to patients who were subjected to open cholecystectomy i.e Group B (106.18 ± 15.18 minutes) and the difference was statistically significant. Study by Waldner H et al^[16] revealed that there was no significant difference in duration of surgery in laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy, while studies by Pramod Singh et al^[17] (44.7 versus 72.4 min), Pessaux P et al (103.3 min vs. 149.7 min) Doke A. et al^[19] and Jaswant Jain et al^[20] found a shorter duration of surgery in laparoscopic cholecystectomy compared to open cholecystectomy which was in agreement with our study. Contrarily studies by Porte RJ et al^[21] (75 min vs 55 min) and Lujan JA et al^[22] (88 min vs 77 min) have reported a longer duration for laparoscopic compared to open cholecystectomy. This was probably due to surgeons being more conversant with laparoscopic operations (by training, retraining and performing more numbers of laparoscopic surgeries on a daily basis) generally require less time for performing such operations as observed in former group.

Our study revealed that intra-operative and post-operative complications are both more in Group B (15% and 22.5%) compared to that in Group A (11.25% and 6.25%). While this association was found statistically significant ($p=0.006$) in case of post-operative complications, it was non-significant ($p=0.320$) in intra-operative group. In case of intra-operative complications, we found out of 18 patients having complication in Group A, 10 had gall stone spillage, 6 had bleeding and two patients had a CBD injury. Post-operative complications were noted in 10 patients of Group A of which 2 had chest infection, 4 had wound infection and 4 had post-operative ileus while 36 patients of Group B had post-operative complications of which 8 patients had chest infection, 16 patients had wound infection and 12 patients had post-operative ileus. These findings can be explained from the fact that a sub costal incision was used in open group presence of which with associated pain inhibits respiratory movements leading to atelectasis and pulmonary infection and hematoma associated with such incision can act as nidus for infection leading to wound infection.

Similar to our study several authors such as Ajay Ganji et al,^[23] and Lujan JA et al^[22] showed a higher rate of complication in open cholecystectomy group compared to laparoscopic group. Though Lujanja et al^[22] found that the association of lesser complications in laparoscopic cholecystectomy group was not statistically significant ($p=0.06$) but other authors such as Medeiros AC et al^[23] found a statistically significant ($p<0.05$) lower rate of complications in laparoscopic group compared to open group. Doke A et al^[19] showed in their study 28% complication rate in open group compared to 16% in laparoscopic group while Medeiros AC et al^[23] found 2.9% complication in laparoscopy group and 5.13% in open group.

In this study, the mean drain output on first post-operative day compared among both the groups i.e. Group A and Group B was found to be significantly more in Group B ($64.95 \pm 44055\text{ml}$) compared to that in Group A ($28.47 \pm 22.40\text{ml}$).

Our study revealed that the mean duration of analgesic use after operation was significantly more in Group B (4.37 ± 1.76 days) compared to that in Group A (1.63 ± 0.82 days). It is further to be noted that 86.25% patients in Group A needed analgesic for only 1 to 2 days. In our study we also found that post-operative hospital stay was significantly longer in Group B (5.91 ± 1.84 days) compared to that in Group A (2.46 ± 1.36 days) and 81.25% patients in Group A were discharged within 3 days.

Similar to our study results Hardy KJ et al^[23] in their study revealed a significantly longer mean hospital stay of 6.5 ± 0.3 days in open cholecystectomy group compared to laparoscopic cholecystectomy group (2 ± 0.2 days). Chan HS et al^[26] recorded that laparoscopic cholecystectomy patients require significantly less analgesia ($p < 0.01$) and significantly shorter mean post-operative hospital stay (3.5 days vs 5.9 days). Several other authors like Hendolin HI and Anmol N et al^[27-28] had similar observations.

CONCLUSION

The results of our study support the view that laparoscopic cholecystectomy is a safe and justified replacement for open cholecystectomy. There is a definite learning curve for surgeons who are newly exposed. The complication rates are reduced as the surgeons become more experienced in this procedure to a level comparable with that of open cholecystectomy. The study supports the view that laparoscopic cholecystectomy is safer and efficacious and offers definitive advantages over open cholecystectomy and should be an available option for all patients requiring elective cholecystectomy. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy can be considered the gold standard against which other procedures have to be compared.

Financial Support and Sponsorship – Nil

Conflicts of Interest – None

Consent taken for using the data for study - Yes

REFERENCES

- Shaffer EA, Romagnuolo J, Thomson ABR. The biliary system - the basis of disease and an approach to management; Fifth Edition. Janssen-Ortho; 460-90.
- Ragab E, Hegazy A, Morshed M, El-Awadi S, Khafagi W, Moatamed A. Interleukin 6 (IL6) as a predictor outcome in patients with compensated cirrhosis and symptomatic gall stones after cholecystectomy. Egyptian Journal of Medical Human Genetics. 2012 Jun;13(2):189-96.
- Khan MI, Khan H, Ghani A. Frequency of spilled gallstones and bile leak in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Pak J Surg. 2011;27(2):95-96.
- Candela G, Varriale S, Manetta F, Dilibero L, Civitello F; in treatment of cholelithiasis - our experience. G Chir 2007;28(1-2):35-38.
- Non-randomised patients in a cholecystectomy trial; characteristics, procedures and outcomes. BMC Surgery 2006.
- Puggioni A, Wong LL. A metanalysis of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients with cirrhosis. J Am Coll Surg. 2003;197:921-26.
- Yeh CN, Chen MF, Jan Y. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 226 cirrhotic patients, experience of a single center in Taiwan. Surg Endosc. 2002;16:1583-7.
- Cheng Y, Xiong XZ, Wu SJ, Lin YX, Cheng NS. Laparoscopic vs open cholecystectomy for cirrhotic patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hepatogastroenterology. 2012 Sep;118(1727):34.
- Russell RCG, Williams NS, Bulstrode CJK. Bailey and Love short practice of surgery. 24th edn. London: Hodder Arnold 2004.
- Oddsottir M, Pharm TH. Gall bladder and the extra hepatic biliary system. In: Brunicaardi FC, Andersen dk EDS. Schwartz's principles of surgery. 9th edn. USA: McGraw Hill Professional 2009:1151-1152.
- Goco IR, Chambers LG. Dollar and cents: minicholecystectomy and early discharge. South Med J 1988;81(2):161-163.
- Rajabi-Mashhadi MT, Abdollahi A, Tavassoli A, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a retrospective four year study. J Minim Invasive Surg Sci 2015;4(2):e25253.
- Shukla A, Seth S, Ranjan A. A comparative study between laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy in cases of cholecystitis with cholelithiasis: one year experience in tertiary care center. Int Surg J 2017;4(3):903-907.
- Dhaigude BD, Chaturvedi V, Chand A, et al. A comparative study of open versus laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Indian J Appl Res 2016;6(4):22-24.
- Parambil SM, Matad S, Soman KC. Epidemiological, demographic and risk factor profile in patients harbouring various types of gall bladder calculi: a cross sectional study from a south Indian tertiary care hospital. Int Surg J 2017;4(2):525-528.
- Waldner H, Mussack T, Drzezga W. Laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis. Arch Chir Suppl 1997;144:1177-1779.
- Singh P, Gupta SK, Kumar M. A comparative study of open cholecystectomy and laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients with cholelithiasis. Int Surg J 2018;5(1):253-256.
- Pessaux P, Regenet N, Tuech JJ et al. Laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy: a prospective comparative study in the elderly with acute cholecystitis. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2001;11(4):252-255.
- Doke A, Gadekar N, Gadekar J, et al. A comparative study between open versus laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Sch J App Med Sci 2016;4(1):57-61.
- Jain J, Gajbiye SK. A study to assess the different methods of surgically treating

- cholecystitis due to cholelithiasis. Indian Journal of Research 2019;8(9):18-19.
- Porte RJ, De Vries BC. Laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy: a prospective cohort study. HPB Surg 1996;9(2):71-75.
- Lujan JA, Parrilla P, Robles R, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy versus open cholecystectomy in treatment of acute cholecystitis: a prospective study. Arch Surg 1998;133(2):173-175.
- Gangji A, Porwal M, Gupta A, et al. Comparative study between laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy in respect of postoperative recovery and hospital stay. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2014;3(5):1089-1096.
- Medeiros AC, Araujo-Filho I, Carvalho MDF, et al. Laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy: complications and cost. Journal of Surgical and Clinical Research 2012;3(2):49-58.
- Hardy KJ, Miller H, Fletcher DR, et al. An evaluation of laparoscopic versus open cholecystectomy. Med J Aust 1994;160(2):58-62.
- Chan HS, Ha XF, Ooi PJ, et al. A prospective comparative study between conventional and laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Singapore Med J 1995;36(4):406-409.
- Hendolin HI, Paakkonen ME, Alhava EM, et al. Laparoscopic or open cholecystectomy: a prospective pain, pulmonary function, and stress response. Eur J Surg 2000;166(5):394-399.
- Anmol N, Lakshminaryan G, Manohar TM, et al. Outcome following open and laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2014;3(15):4061-4071.