



“STUDY OF CLINICAL PROFILE AND OUTCOME OF PATIENTS WITH IMMUNE THROMBOCYTOPENIA.”

Internal Medicine

Dr. Ram Shinde	Ex-Post Graduate Resident, Department of Medicine, LTMMC, SION MUMBAI
Dr. Sanket Charpe	Post Graduate Resident, Department of Medicine, LTMMC, SION, MUMBAI
Dr. Kunal Bawankar*	Post Graduate Resident, Department of Medicine, LTMMC, SION, MUMBAI *Corresponding Author
Dr. Vrushali Badhan	Post Graduate Resident, Department of Medicine, LTMMC, SION, MUMBAI
Dr. Pratik Chhajed	Post Graduate Resident, Department of Medicine, LTMMC, SION, MUMBAI
Dr. Lalana Kalekar	Associate Professor, Department of Medicine, LTMMC, SION, MUMBAI
Dr. Amruta Digole	Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, LTMMC, SION, MUMBAI

ABSTRACT

Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura (ITP) is a relatively uncommon condition that is always diagnosed through exclusion. The demographics of ITP can vary greatly, and there is no clear correlation between platelet count and bleeding manifestations or response to therapy. The aim of this study was to investigate the clinical profile of ITP and analyze the relationship between platelet levels, clinical manifestations, and response to treatment in the tertiary care centre in Mumbai. To conduct this prospective study, we enrolled 100 patients from haematology OPD and patient were studied for clinical profile and treatment outcome.

KEYWORDS

Idiopathic Thrombocytopenic Purpura (ITP), Bleeding ,platelet

INTRODUCTION

The American Society of Haematology defines immune thrombocytopenia purpura (ITP) as isolated thrombocytopenia (platelet count $<100,000/\mu\text{L}$) with normal white blood cells and normal hemoglobin in the setting of a generalized purpuric rash. ITP without a secondary cause or underlying disorder is known as primary ITP. Secondary ITP is defined as ITP with an underlying cause or disorder, which includes drug-induced or systemic illness-induced (eg. SLE, HIV, CVID, etc).^[1]

The etiology of ITP is autoantibody-mediated, usually, immunoglobulin G mediated. Patients with ITP develop auto antibodies against platelet membrane proteins, specifically glycoprotein (GP) IIb/IIIa complex, GP Ib/IIa, and GP VI. The antibody-coated platelets are then cleared by tissue macrophages, specifically in the spleen, at an accelerated rate, leading to a shortened half-life of the platelet.^[2] These same antibodies also inhibit platelet destruction, leading to thrombocytopenia.^[2] However, an alternative mechanism has also been proposed involving T-cell mediated cytotoxicity.^[3] In this mechanism, cytotoxic T cells attack megakaryocytes in the bone marrow. However, the mechanism is not well understood. Treatment should be restricted to those patients with moderate or severe thrombocytopenia who are bleeding or at risk of bleeding. It should be limited in duration unless demonstrated that symptomatic thrombocytopenia persists. Patients with mild, asymptomatic thrombocytopenia, discovered incidentally on a routine blood count, should not be treated.^[8]

The present study was conducted at a tertiary healthcare center to assess the prevalence of primary and secondary ITP, hence to study the laboratory response of ITP to conventional treatment in tertiary care Centre after every 4 months

AIM AND OBJECTIVES

- To find out prevalence of primary and secondary ITP in tertiary care centre
- To study signs, symptoms and laboratory profile of ITP
- To study bone marrow pattern in primary and secondary ITP
- To study laboratory response of ITP to conventional treatment in tertiary care Centre after every 4 months

Methodology

This is a prospective observational study conducted over 18 months on 100 patients from Haematology OPD attending the tertiary care hospital. All relevant data including history, examination, laboratory

parameter was noted. Laboratory parameters include: CBC, detailed LFT, PT-INR, DCT-ICT, ANA, USG and Bone marrow studies. Every 4 monthly lab parameters were repeated to study the treatment outcome.

Inclusion Criteria:

- All patients, age >18 years, having primary ITP (without trigger)
- All patients, age >18 years, having secondary ITP (autoimmune disease, viruses)

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients with thrombocytopenia secondary due AFI
- Age <18 year
- Patients with pregnancy associated thrombocytopenia
- Patients with decreased platelet production (BM suppression)
- Patient / relatives not willing to participate in the study. myelofibrosis, myelodysplastic syndrome, nutritional deficiency)

Statistical Analysis

Data entry and data analysis carried out using MS Excel and epi info version 7. Proper statistical analysis is applied with help of Biostatistician using Mann Whitney U test, paired/unpaired t test or chi square test.

Age distribution

In the current study we assessed Age distribution among study subjects. We observed that majority of the study subjects belonged to the age group of 26 to 35 years (41.86%), followed by less than 25 years (23.26%), 36 to 45 years (20.93%). The mean age of the study subjects was 33.79 ± 11.93 years.

Gender distribution

In the current study we assessed Gender distribution among study subjects. We observed that female preponderance was seen in the current study (76.74%), whereas 23.26% subjects were males. The female:male ratio in this study was 3.3:1.

Diagnosis

In the current study we assessed Diagnosis among study subjects. We observed that Primary ITP was diagnosed among 44.19% study subjects, Among Secondary ITP, SLE was diagnosed among 32.56% study subjects, APLA was diagnosed among 9.3% study subjects, Drug induced was diagnosed among 4.65% study subjects, FSGS with ITP was diagnosed among 2.33% study subjects, HIV with ITP was

diagnosed among 2.33% study subjects, HCV with ITP was diagnosed among 2.33% study subjects, Sjogren's syndrome was diagnosed among 2.33% study subjects.

Table 1: Diagnosis

Types of ITP		Number of subjects	Percentage
Primary ITP	19		
Secondary ITP	SLE	14	32.56
	APLA	4	9.30
	Drug induced	2	4.65
	FSGS with ITP	1	2.33
	HCV	1	2.33
	HIV	1	2.33
	Sjogren's syndrome	1	2.33
Total		43	100

Clinical presentation

In the current study we assessed Clinical presentation among study subjects. We observed that Fever was reported among 46.51% study subjects, Fatigue was reported among 62.79% study subjects, Petechiae was reported among 86.05% study subjects, Bleeding from mucosal membranes was reported among 55.81% study subjects, Urogenital bleeding was reported among 30.23% study subjects, Increased bleed after small trauma was reported among 9.3% study subjects, Arthralgia was reported among 53.49% study subject, Dry Mouth was reported among 9.3% study subjects, Mouth ulcer was reported among 44.19% study subjects, Breathlessness was reported among 4.65% study subjects, Oliguria was reported among 4.65% study subjects, Oedema was reported among 16.28% study subjects.

Table 2: Clinical presentation

Clinical presentation	Number of subjects	Percentage
Fever	20	46.51
Fatigue	27	62.79
Petechiae	37	86.05
Bleeding from mucosal membranes	24	55.81
Urogenital bleeding	13	30.23
Increased bleed after small trauma	4	9.30
Arthralgia	23	53.49
Dry Mouth	4	9.30
Mouth ulcer	19	44.19
Breathlessness	2	4.65
Oliguria	2	4.65
Oedema	7	16.28

Laboratory Investigations

In the current study we assessed LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS findings among study subjects. The mean levels are as mentioned in the given table:

Table 3: Laboratory Investigations

LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS	screening	4 month	8 month	12 month
Hemoglobin	9.41	10.45	10.48	12.52
TLC	7789.77	6816.28	10846.51	6930.23
Platelets	43439.53	129625.58	139527.91	154488.37
BUN	10.98	11.25	11.93	11.83
Serum creatinine	1.07	1.10	1.03	1.09
Sr Na	136.70	136.53	139.51	138.79
Sr K	3.92	4.03	4.26	3.81
Total protein	7.16	7.62	6.88	7.10
Sr Albumin	3.76	3.64	3.55	3.87
Total bilirubin	0.65	0.61	0.56	0.55
SGOT	46.05	29.09	28.16	38.78
SGPT	38.74	26.56	33.22	43.81
ALP	94.00	80.29	75.81	83.00
RBS	135.49	124.63	115.74	109.58
PT	11.95	13.33	13.47	14.05
INR	1.23	1.33	1.30	1.36
Calcium	9.04	9.10	8.93	8.88
Retic count	1.91	0.87	0.87	0.90

On screening mean platelet count was , while at the end of 12 months

the count was 154488.37. The improvement in platelet count was found to be statistically significant. (p-value<0.0001)

Specific investigations

In the current study we assessed Specific investigations findings among study subjects. We observed ANA among 53.49% study subjects, Anti ds DNA among 25.58% study subjects, DCT among 16.28% study subjects, RA factor among 2.33% study subjects, ICT among 6.98% study subjects, Anti cardiolipin antibody among 4.65% study subjects, B2GP among 4.65% study subjects, Lupus anticoagulant among 6.98% study subjects. Low C3 level was observed among 20.93%, low C4 level was seen among 6.98% study subjects. 2.33% cases each of HIV and HCV were also reported.

Urine routine examination

In the urine routine examination, we observed urine protein among 23.26% subjects, while urine RBCs were observed among 23.26% study subjects.

IPF value

In the current study we observed mean IPF value of 15 ± 10.77 (median: 12) among subjects with primary ITP, and 19.95 ± 12.76 (median: 14) in subjects with secondary ITP. Though the mean IPF was comparatively greater in secondary ITP, we could not get statistical significance between the observations.

Peripheral smear and Bone marrow examination

In the current study on peripheral smear examination, we observed reduced platelets among all the study subjects. In Bone marrow examination findings among study subjects. We observed Normocellular bone marrow among 46.51% study subjects, Hypocellular bone marrow among 6.98% study subjects, Hypercellular bone marrow among 4.65% study subjects, Megakaryocytes among 11.63% study subjects.

USG findings

In the current study we assessed USG findings among study subjects. We observed Normal USG findings among 67.44% study subjects, Hepatomegaly among 2.33% study subjects, altered liver echo texture among 2.33% study subjects, mild ascites among 23.26% study subjects, Hepatosplenomegaly among 2.33% study subjects.

Clinical comparison between primary and secondary ITP

In this study we compared the clinical presentation between primary and secondary ITP. We observed that Fatigue (68.42%), Petechiae (78.95%), and Bleeding from mucosal membranes (84.21%) were the commonest presentation in primary ITP, whereas Fever (66.67%), Fatigue (58.33%), Petechiae (91.67%), Arthralgia (58.33%), Mouth ulcer (62.5%) was the commonest presentation among secondary ITP subjects. Fever and mouth ulcer were significantly associated with secondary ITP (p-value<0.05). And **Bleeding from mucosal membranes** was significantly associated with primary ITP (p-value<0.05)

Table 4: Clinical comparison between primary and secondary ITP

Clinical presentation	Primary ITP		Secondary ITP		OR	P-value
	Number of subjects	Percentage	Number of subjects	Percentage		
Fever	4	21.05	16	66.67	7.5	0.0027
Fatigue	13	68.42	14	58.33	1.54	0.4967
Petechiae	15	78.95	22	91.67	2.93	0.23
Bleeding from mucosal membranes	16	84.21	8	33.33	10.66	0.00084
Urogenital bleeding	5	26.32	8	33.33	1.4	0.618
Increased bleed after small trauma	4	21.05	0	0.00	NA	NA
Arthralgia	9	47.37	14	58.33	1.5	0.47
Dry Mouth	0	0.00	4	16.67	NA	NA
Mouth ulcer	3	15.79	15	62.50	8.88	0.002
Breathlessness	1	5.26	1	4.17	1.27	>0.05
Oliguria	1	5.26	1	4.17	1.27	>0.05

Oedema	1	5.26	6	25.00	6	0.081
Alopecia	0	0.00	7	29.17	NA	NA

Comparison of investigations findings among primary and secondary ITP

In the current study we observed that mean ESR (p-value: 0.0082), ANA positivity (p-value: 0.00001), and presence of normocellular bone marrow (p-value : 0.0122) was significantly higher in secondary ITP subjects as compared to primary ITP subjects. The mean platelet count at screening in primary ITP was comparatively lesser (37573.68), as compared to secondary ITP (48083.33). However the findings are not statistically significant. (P-value: 0.132)

Table 5: Comparison of investigations findings among primary and secondary ITP

Clinical comparison between primary and secondary ITP			Primary ITP		Secondary ITP		P -value
			N	%	N	%	
Mean age			37.68 years		30.70 years		0.027
Gender	Male	6	31.58	4	16.67	0.25	
	Females	13	68.42	20	83.33		
Hematological investigations	Mean hemoglobin on screening	9.62		9.25		0.31	
	Mean IPF	19.94		15.002		0.087	
	Mean platelet count at screening	37573.68		48083.33		0.132	
	Mean ESR	21.78		34.16		0.0082	
	ANA positivity	3	15.79	20	83.33	0.00001	
	ds-DNA positivity	0	0.00	11	45.83	NA	
XRAY finding	Consolidation	3	15.79	1	4.17	0.19	
	Effusion	0	0.00	5	20.83	NA	
USG findings	Ascites	1	5.26	10	41.67	0.0065	
	Other findings	0	0.00	3	12.50	NA	
Bone marrow examination	Megakaryocytes increased	2	10.53	3	12.50	>0.05	
	Normocellular	2	10.53	11	45.83	0.0122	
	HYPOCELLULAR/DILUTE	2	10.53	1	4.17	>0.05	
	HYPERCELLULAR	0	0.00	2	8.33	NA	
	NOT AVAILABLE	2	10.53	7	29.17	NA	

Outcome

We observed that complete response was seen among 70% study subjects, Some Response was seen among 25% study subjects, No response was seen among 5% study subjects. We compared the observations between primary and secondary ITP. We observed increased total response among subjects with secondary ITP as compared to primary ITP, however the statistical significance could not be observed. (The chi-square statistic is 3.7841. The p-value is .051743. Not significant at $p < 0.05$).

Table 6 : Outcome

Outcome	Primary ITP		Secondary ITP	
	Number of subjects	Percentage	Number of subjects	Percentage
No response	4	21.05	0	0.00
Some Response	2	10.53	2	8.33
Total response	13	68.42	22	91.67
Total	19	100.00	24	100.00
Significance	The chi-square statistic is 3.7841. The p-value is .051743. Not significant at $p < .05$.			

Overall treatment

In the current study majority of the study subjects were managed with Tab Prednisolone (51.16%), followed by Tab Prednisolone + Azathioprine (27.91%), Prednisolone + MMF (11.63%), Drug was stopped among drug induced ITP (4.65). HAART was given among 2.33%, and DAA therapy was administered among 2.33% study subjects.

Drug response

In the current study we assessed Drug response among study subjects. We observed that among primary ITP cases, majority received Tab Prednisolone + Azathioprine (26.32%), 73.68% received prednisolone.

Among SLE cases, majority received **Tab Prednisolone + Azathioprine** (50%), among drug induced ITP, respective drugs were stopped, among HIV subjects HAART was administered, cases with HCV, DAA therapy was administered. Among other types of ITP such as APLA, Evans, Sjogrens syndrome, prednisolone was administered. The subjects with primary ITP achieved complete response among 52.63% cases with prednisolone only, while 15.78% required Prednisolone + Azathioprine. Whereas in secondary ITP, in order to achieve complete response Prednisolone + Azathioprine (27.16%), Prednisolone + MMF (20.83%), and prednisolone (25%) regimens were administered.

DISCUSSION

ITP is usually diagnosed after precluding other potential causes of thrombocytopenia. A diagnosis is performed in patients with a low platelet count ($<100 \times 10^9/L$) with no evidence or history of an underlying condition, which can lead to thrombocytopenia, including a physical examination, evaluation of blood counts and visual examination of blood smears.

Demographic characteristics

In our study subjects belonged to the age group of 26 to 35 years (41.86%), followed by less than 25 years (23.26%), 36 to 45 years (20.93%). The mean age of the study subjects was 33.79 ± 11.93 years. And female preponderance was seen in the current study (76.74%), whereas 23.26% subjects were males. The female: male ratio in this study was 3.3:1.

Diagnosis

Primary ITP was diagnosed among 44.19% study subjects, Among Secondary ITP, SLE was diagnosed among 32.56% study subjects, and APLA was diagnosed among 9.3% study subjects.

Clinical presentation

Fever was reported among 46.51% study subjects, Fatigue (62.79%) Petechiae -86.05%, mucosal bleeding -55.81%, Urogenital bleeding-30.23% subjects, Increased bleed after small trauma -9.3%, Arthralgia -53.49% study subject, Dry Mouth -9.3%, Mouth ulcer - 44.19%, Breathlessness - 4.65%, Oliguria- 4.65%, Edema 16.28%.

Forsthye and colleagues⁶⁵ reported a severe bleeding episode that required rescue medication (intravenous immunoglobulin, corticosteroid injections or platelet transfusions) in 10, 2% of adult ITP patients within 6 months after starting therapy with thrombopoietin receptor agonists (TRO- RA). **In a retrospective evaluation** of the McMaster ITP registry, Arnold et al. found that 56% of ITP patients experience clinically significant bleeding at some point during their disease course and 2.2% had ICH.⁶⁶

Cohen et al⁶³ identified 49 cases of fatal hemorrhage in 1718 patients from pooled ITP case series. **Cortelazzo et al⁶⁴** observed an overall incidence of hemorrhagic events of 3.2% per patient-year in patients with ITP. Hemorrhagic events at similar platelet counts occurred in 10.4% of patients > 60 years, compared to 0.4 percent in patients under age 40. A previous history of hemorrhage also predicted bleeding (relative risk 27.5). **Michel et al** compared the incidence of bleeding and other outcomes in 55 ITP patients older than 70 years (mean age 77.8 ± 6.1 years) with those of a younger cohort (mean age 40.3 ± 14.9 years). The median platelet count at diagnosis did not differ between the two groups, though bleeding symptoms were more frequent in the older (82%) versus the younger (62%).

Clinical comparison between primary and secondary ITP

We observed that Fatigue (68.42%), Petechiae (78.95%), and Bleeding from mucosal membranes (84.21%) were the commonest presentation in primary ITP, whereas Fever (66.67%), Fatigue (58.33%), Petechiae (91.67%), Arthralgia (58.33%), Mouth ulcer (62.5%) was the commonest presentation among secondary ITP subjects. Fever and mouth ulcer were significantly associated with secondary ITP (p-value<0.05). And Bleeding from mucosal membranes was significantly associated with primary ITP (p-value<0.05).

Sadia Sultan et al in their study also reported similar findings. They

found increased incidence of bleeding from mucosal membranes significantly more in primary ITP cases, as compared to secondary ITP.¹⁴⁹

Management and drug response

The subjects with primary ITP achieved complete response among 52.63% cases with prednisolone only, while 15.78% required Prednisolone + Azathioprine. Whereas in secondary ITP, in order to achieve complete response Prednisolone + Azathioprine (27.16%), Prednisolone + MMF (20.83%), and prednisolone (25%) regimens were administered.

CONCLUSION

ITP has a significant negative impact on the daily life of patients, even if it is not necessarily fatal. Petechiae ,fatigue and mucosal bleed are common and persistent symptom associated with ITP, and there is no consistent response to medications. Unfortunately, clinical practice lags behind the available information on the diagnosis and treatment of ITP. The wide variety of diagnostic methods for ITP leads to unnecessary tests, which can increase costs and lead to the neglect of some recommended studies. To address these issues, more research is needed about the use and effectiveness of different treatments in the general population, and local guidelines need to be developed to facilitate research and improve patient care.

Conflict Of Interest

The Authors have no conflicts of interest that are directly relevant to the content of this clinic-pathological case

Financial Resources

There are no financial resources to fund this study.

Informed Consent

Informed Consent was obtained from the patient.

Author's Contribution

All the authors contributed equally to the case report.

Data And Materials Availability

All data associated with this study done at tertiary care centre hospital are present in the paper

REFERENCES

1. Rodeghiero F, Stasi R, Gernsheimer T, Michel M et al. Standardization of terminology, definitions and outcome criteria in immune thrombocytopenic purpura of adults and children: report from an international working group. *Blood*. 2009 Mar 12;113(11):2386-93.
2. Zufferey A, Kapur R, Semple JW. Pathogenesis and Therapeutic Mechanisms in Immune Thrombocytopenia (ITP). *J Clin Med*. 2017 Feb 09;6(2)
3. Cines DB, Blanchette VS. Immune thrombocytopenic purpura. *N Engl J Med*. 2002 Mar 28;346(13):995-1008.
4. Cines DB, Bussel JB, Liebman HA, Luning Prak ET. The ITP syndrome: pathogenic and clinical diversity. *Blood*. 2009 Jun 25;113(26):6511-21.
5. Terrell DR, Beebe LA et al . The incidence of immune thrombocytopenic purpura in children and adults: A critical review of published reports. *Am J Hematol*. 2010 Mar;85(3):174-80.
6. Frederiksen H, Schmidt K. The incidence of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura in adults increases with age. *Blood*. 1999 Aug 01;94(3):909-13.
7. Schoonen WM, Kucera G, Coalson J, Li L, Rutstein M, Mowat F, Fryzek J, Kaye JA. Epidemiology of immune thrombocytopenic purpura in the General Practice Research Database. *Br J Haematol*. 2009 Apr;145(2):235-44.
8. Kühne T, Buchanan GR, Zimmerman S, Michaels LA, Kohan R, Berchtold W, Imbach P., Intercontinental Childhood ITP Study Group. Intercontinental Childhood ITP Study Group. A prospective comparative study of 2540 infants and children with newly diagnosed idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) from the Intercontinental Childhood ITP Study Group. *J Pediatr*. 2003 Nov;143(5):605-8.
9. O'Leary ST, Glanz JM, McClure DL, Akhtar A, Daley MF, Nakasato C, Baxter R, Davis RL, Izurieta HS, Lieu TA, Ball R. The risk of immune thrombocytopenic purpura after vaccination in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2012 Feb;129(2):248-55.
10. Neunert C, Noroozi N, Norman G, Buchanan GR, Goy J, Nazi I, Kelton JG, Arnold DM. Severe bleeding events in adults and children with primary immune thrombocytopenia: a systematic review. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2015 Mar;13(3):457-64
11. Cines DB, McMillan R. Management of adult idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. *Annu Rev Med*. 2005;56:425-42. 3.
12. Coopamah MD, Garvey MB, Freedman J, Semple JW. Cellular immune mechanisms in autoimmune thrombocytopenic purpura: An update. *Transfus Med Rev*. 2003;17:69-80. 4. (Post Graduate Resident, Department of Medicine, LTMMC, SION, MUMBAI)
13. Schwartz RS. Immune thrombocytopenic purpura - From agony to agonist. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;357:2299-301. 5.
14. Semple JW, Freedman J. Increased antiplatelet T helper lymphocyte reactivity in patients with autoimmune thrombocytopenia. *Blood*. 1991;78:2619-25. 6.