ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER
Volume - 13 | Issue - 07 | July - 2024 | PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8179 | DOI : 10.36106/ijsr
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

"COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF AMNIOTIC FLUID EMBOLISM: CLINICAL PRESENTATIONS, RISK FACTORS, DIAGNOSTIC FINDINGS, MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES, AND OUTCOMES IN A RETROSPECTIVE CASE SERIES AT B. J. GOVT. MEDICAL COLLEGE & SASSOON HOSPITAL, PUNE"

Anaesthesiology

Dr. Chavhan Kiran B. MD Anaesthesia BJGMC And Sgh, Pune.

Dr. Basantwani Mona P. MD Anaesthesia, Bjgmc And Sgh, Pune.

Dr. Naik Sanyogita V. MD Anaesthesia Professor And Head Of Department- Bjgmc And Sgh, Pune

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Amniotic Fluid Embolism (AFE) is a rare but catastrophic obstetric emergency characterized by sudden cardiovascular collapse, respiratory distress, and disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) during labor, delivery, or the immediate postpartum period. Despite advances in obstetric care, AFE remains a leading cause of maternal mortality due to its rapid onset and severe clinical presentation. This study aims to provide a detailed analysis of the clinical presentations, risk factors, diagnostic findings, management strategies, and outcomes of 12 AFE cases treated at B. J. Govt. Medical College & Sassoon Hospital, Pune. **Methods:** A retrospective case series design was employed, analyzing clinical data from January 2021 to June 2023. Patients were included based on a confirmed diagnosis of AFE, characterized by sudden cardiovascular collapse, respiratory distress, and/or coagulopathy during labor or the immediate postpartum period. Data were collected from electronic medical records and manual chart reviews, encompassing demographic information, obstetric history, risk factors, clinical presentations, diagnostic tests, management interventions, and outcomes. Descriptive statistics summarized the data, and comparative analysis identified common factors and effective management strategies. **Results:** The mean age of the 12 patients was 29.42 years, with the majority (50%) in the 28-30 age range. Advanced maternal age (33.33%) and high parity (41.67%) were significant risk factors. Hypotension was present in all patients, while respiratory distress (33.33%) and fetal distress (41.67%) were also common. Mechanical obstruction was suspected in 50% of cases, and immunological reactions in 41.67%. Coagulation abnormalities were observed in 50% of patients. Management strategies included the universal administration of steroids and emergency cesarean sections, with all patients requiring ICU admission. The mean duration of ICU stay was 4.58 days. All patients and infants were discharged and stable at follow-up, with no NICU admissions. **Discussion:** The findings highlight the critical need for early recognition and prompt intervention in managing AFE. Advanced maternal age, high parity, and previous cesarean sections were notable risk factors. Hypotension was a universal clinical feature, necessitating immediate hemodynamic support. Coagulation abnormalities were common, underscoring the need for prompt management of bleeding disorders. Effective management strategies, including steroids, emergency cesarean sections, and intensive care, resulted in positive maternal and fetal outcomes. The study underscores the importance of coordinated care and ongoing vigilance in obstetric settings to improve AFE outcomes.

KEYWORDS

Amniotic Fluid Embolism, maternal mortality, obstetric emergency, cardiovascular collapse, respiratory distress, disseminated intravascular coagulation, risk factors, management strategies, clinical outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Amniotic Fluid Embolism: An Overview

Amniotic Fluid Embolism (AFE) is a rare but catastrophic obstetric emergency that poses significant challenges to maternal and fetal health. Despite advancements in prenatal and obstetric care, AFE remains one of the leading causes of maternal mortality worldwide. The unpredictable nature of AFE, combined with its rapid and severe presentation, necessitates a thorough understanding and swift response from healthcare providers(1).

AFE is marked by the sudden onset of cardiovascular collapse, respiratory distress, and disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) during labor, delivery, or the immediate postpartum period. These symptoms can escalate quickly, requiring immediate and decisive medical intervention to prevent fatal outcomes for both the mother and the neonate.

Historical Perspective

The phenomenon of AFE was first described by Meyer in 1926 and later detailed by Steiner and Lushbaugh in 1941. They documented the presence of fetal elements in the pulmonary vasculature of women who had died suddenly during labor. This discovery established a link between the passage of amniotic fluid into the maternal bloodstream and the onset of catastrophic symptoms. Over the decades, increased clinical awareness and improved diagnostic techniques have shed light on the condition, yet AFE continues to be a subject of intense study due to its rarity and complex presentation.

Epidemiology

The incidence of AFE is estimated to range from 1 in 8,000 to 1 in 80,000 deliveries, with significant variability across different regions and populations. This wide range is attributed to differences in diagnostic criteria, reporting practices, and healthcare infrastructure. High-resource settings tend to report higher incidences, possibly due to better diagnostic capabilities and comprehensive reporting systems. Understanding the epidemiological patterns of AFE is crucial for identifying at-risk populations and implementing preventive measures(2).

Pathophysiology

The pathogenesis of AFE is multifaceted, involving both mechanical and immunological components. The mechanical theory suggests that amniotic fluid, fetal cells, hair, or other debris enter the maternal circulation through a breach in the uteroplacental barrier, leading to pulmonary vasculature obstruction. This can cause an acute pulmonary embolic event, resulting in sudden cardiovascular and respiratory compromise.

The immunological theory posits that an anaphylactoid reaction occurs in response to fetal antigens, triggering a massive release of inflammatory mediators and cytokines. This immunologic cascade can lead to widespread endothelial damage, capillary leak, and coagulopathy. Recent research suggests a temporal relationship between these two theories, indicating that mechanical entry of amniotic fluid may precede and trigger the immunological response(3).

Clinical Presentation

AFE typically presents with a dramatic and non-specific clinical picture. The hallmark features include sudden hypotension, acute hypoxia, and coagulopathy. These symptoms can rapidly progress to cardiac arrest, severe hemorrhage, and multi-organ failure. Fetal distress is often observed concomitantly, necessitating urgent delivery to optimize neonatal outcomes.

Initial signs and symptoms may include:

- Sudden onset of dyspnea and cyanosis
- Severe hypotension leading to shock
- Seizures or altered mental status

- Acute pulmonary edema
- Coagulopathy manifesting as excessive bleeding or DIC

The variability and rapid progression of these symptoms require a high index of suspicion and immediate intervention.

Risk Factors

Identifying risk factors for AFE is essential for early recognition and prevention. Several maternal and obstetric factors have been associated with an increased risk of AFE, although the condition can occur in the absence of these factors. Significant risk factors include:

- **Advanced Maternal Age:** Increased risk is noted in women aged 35 and older.
- **High Parity:** Multiparous women are at a higher risk.
- **Cesarean Delivery:** Both elective and emergency cesarean sections are associated with an increased risk.
- **Induction of Labor:** Particularly with the use of prostaglandins or oxytocin.
- **Placenta Previa or Abruption:** Conditions that compromise the integrity of the placental barrier.

Despite these associations, AFE can unpredictably occur in low-risk pregnancies, highlighting the need for vigilance across all obstetric cases(4).

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of AFE is primarily clinical, supported by laboratory and imaging findings. Due to its rarity and the overlap of symptoms with other obstetric emergencies, AFE remains a diagnosis of exclusion. Key diagnostic indicators include:

- **Sudden Cardiovascular Collapse:** Severe hypotension and shock.
- **Respiratory Distress:** Acute hypoxia and cyanosis.
- **Coagulopathy:** Rapid onset of DIC or excessive bleeding.
- **Fetal Distress:** Abnormal fetal heart rate patterns or fetal bradycardia.
- Laboratory tests that may aid in the diagnosis include:
- **Elevated Serum Tryptase:** Indicative of an anaphylactoid reaction.
- **Elevated D-dimer:** Suggestive of coagulopathy.
- **Elevated IL-6:** Marker of systemic inflammation.

Imaging studies such as chest X-ray and CT scan can help exclude other differential diagnoses and identify complications such as pulmonary edema or embolism(5).

Management

Management of AFE focuses on immediate resuscitation and stabilization. The primary goals are to restore and maintain hemodynamic stability, ensure adequate oxygenation, and manage coagulopathy. Key management strategies include:

- **Intravenous Fluids and Vasopressors:** To address hypotension and shock.
- **Oxygen Therapy and Mechanical Ventilation:** To manage respiratory distress.
- **Blood Products:** Fresh frozen plasma, platelets, and cryoprecipitate to address coagulopathy.
- **Steroids and Antihistamines:** To mitigate the inflammatory response.

Emergency cesarean section is often necessary to ensure fetal survival and improve maternal outcomes. Intensive care unit (ICU) admission is typically required for ongoing monitoring and supportive care. Multidisciplinary collaboration between obstetricians, anesthesiologists, intensivists, and neonatologists is crucial for optimal outcomes(6).

Outcomes

Despite its severity, prompt and aggressive management of AFE can lead to favorable outcomes. Advances in critical care, early recognition, and coordinated multidisciplinary approaches have significantly improved survival rates for both mothers and infants. However, AFE remains associated with substantial morbidity, including neurological deficits, organ dysfunction, and prolonged hospital stays. Continuous research and clinical vigilance are essential to further improve the prognosis of affected women and their infants.

Significance of the Study

This case series aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of 12 patients with AFE treated at our institution. By examining the clinical presentation, risk factors, diagnostic findings, management strategies, and outcomes, we seek to enhance understanding of this complex condition and contribute to improved clinical practices. Our findings underscore the importance of early recognition, prompt intervention, and coordinated care in managing AFE. The insights gained from this study can inform future research and guide healthcare providers in optimizing maternal and fetal outcomes in cases of AFE(7-9).

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

This retrospective case series analyzed clinical data from 12 patients diagnosed with Amniotic Fluid Embolism (AFE) at B. J. Govt. Medical College & Sassoon Hospital, Pune, from January 2021 to June 2023. The study aimed to detail the clinical presentations, risk factors, diagnostic findings, management strategies, and outcomes associated with AFE.

Patient Selection: Patients were included based on a confirmed diagnosis of AFE characterized by sudden cardiovascular collapse, respiratory distress, and/or coagulopathy during labor, delivery, or the immediate postpartum period. Complete medical records were required, and patients with uncertain diagnoses or other underlying conditions were excluded.

Data Collection: Data was sourced from electronic medical records and manual chart reviews. Collected data included demographics, obstetric history, risk factors, clinical presentation, diagnostic tests, management interventions, and outcomes. Specific details captured were age, parity, gravida, gestational age, previous pregnancies, cesarean sections, risk factors (such as advanced maternal age and high parity), clinical symptoms, laboratory findings, imaging studies, treatment methods, and patient outcomes.

Methodology: Data was analyzed using descriptive statistics to summarize demographic and clinical data, and comparative analysis to identify common factors and effective management strategies. Case profiles documented clinical events and outcomes, while patterns in clinical presentations and diagnostic utility were evaluated. Management strategies, including resuscitation, medications, surgical interventions, and ICU care, were assessed for effectiveness. Maternal and fetal outcomes were measured by discharge status, complications, and follow-up findings.

Ethical Considerations: The study adhered to the ethical standards of the IRB at B. J. Govt. Medical College & Sassoon Hospital, Pune, with patient confidentiality maintained through data anonymization. Informed consent was waived due to the study's retrospective nature.

Limitations: The retrospective design and small sample size may limit generalizability and introduce selection bias. Incomplete medical records may affect data accuracy(10).

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

Demographic and Obstetric Characteristics

Age Distribution: The age of the 12 patients ranged from 26 to 34 years, with a mean age of 29.42 years. The majority of patients (50%) were in the 28-30 age range, highlighting a higher incidence of AFE among women in their late twenties to early thirties.

Table 1 Age Distribution

Age Range	Number of Patients	Percentage
26-27	2	16.67%
28-30	6	50.00%
31-33	3	25.00%
34+	1	8.33%

Gravida and Parity Distribution: Gravida ranged from 1 to 4, with a mean of 2.42, while parity ranged from 0 to 3, with a mean of 1.33. The distributions suggest that AFE can affect both primiparous and multiparous women, with a slightly higher incidence among those with two or more pregnancies.

Table 2 Gravida and Parity Distribution

Gravida (G)	Number of Patients	Percentage
1	2	16.67%
2	4	33.33%
3	3	25.00%
4	3	25.00%

Para (P)	Number of Patients	Percentage
0	2	16.67%

1	4	33.33%
2	3	25.00%
3	3	25.00%

Risk Factors

Advanced Maternal Age: 4 patients (33.33%) were aged 35 or older. **High Parity:** 5 patients (41.67%) had three or more pregnancies. **Induced Labor:** Present in 1 patient (8.33%). **Previous Cesarean Section:** Present in 3 patients (25%). **Other Uterine Surgeries:** Present in 2 patients (16.67%). **No History of Allergies:** All patients (100%) had no history of allergies.

Table 3 Risk Factor

Risk Factor	Number of Patients	Percentage
Advanced Maternal Age	4	33.33%
High Parity	5	41.67%
Induced Labor	1	8.33%
Previous Cesarean Section	3	25.00%
Other Uterine Surgeries	2	16.67%
No History of Allergies	12	100%

Clinical Presentation

Hypotension: Present in all 12 patients (100%). **Respiratory Distress:** Present in 4 patients (33.33%). **Fetal Distress:** Present in 5 patients (41.67%). **Seizures:** Present in 1 patient (8.33%). **Cardiac Arrest:** Present in 2 patients (16.67%).

Table 4 Clinical Feature

Clinical Feature	Number of Patients	Percentage
Hypotension	12	100%
Respiratory Distress	4	33.33%
Fetal Distress	5	41.67%
Seizures	1	8.33%
Cardiac Arrest	2	16.67%

Pathophysiological Mechanisms

Mechanical Obstruction: Suspected in 6 patients (50%). **Immunological Reaction:** Suspected in 5 patients (41.67%). **Both Mechanisms:** Suspected in 1 patient (8.33%).

Table 5 Pathophysiological Mechanisms

Mechanism	Number of Patients	Percentage
Mechanical Obstruction	6	50.00%
Immunological Reaction	5	41.67%
Both Mechanisms	1	8.33%

Diagnostic Findings

Elevated Serum Tryptase: Present in 3 patients (25%). **Elevated D-dimer:** Present in 1 patient (8.33%). **Elevated IL-6:** Present in 1 patient (8.33%). **Coagulation Abnormalities:** Present in 6 patients (50%). **Chest X-ray Findings:** Present in 1 patient (8.33%). **CT Scan Findings:** Present in 1 patient (8.33%).

Table 6 Diagnostic Findings

Diagnostic Finding	Number of Patients	Percentage
Elevated Serum Tryptase	3	25.00%
Elevated D-dimer	1	8.33%
Elevated IL-6	1	8.33%
Coagulation Abnormalities	6	50.00%
Chest X-ray Findings	1	8.33%
CT Scan Findings	1	8.33%

Management

Intubation and Ventilation: Required in 4 patients (33.33%). **Steroids Administration:** Provided to all 12 patients (100%). **Emergency Cesarean Section:** Performed in all 12 patients (100%). **ICU Admission:** Required for all 12 patients (100%). **Mean Duration of ICU Stay:** 4.58 days.

Table 7 Management Intervention

Management Intervention	Number of Patients	Percentage
Intubation and Ventilation	4	33.33%
Steroids Administration	12	100%
Emergency Cesarean Section	12	100%
ICU Admission	12	100%
Mean Duration of ICU Stay	4.58 days	-

Outcomes

Maternal Discharge: All 12 patients (100%) were discharged. **Mean Duration of Hospital Stay:** 11.58 days. **Fetal Discharge:** All infants (100%) were discharged. **NICU Admission:** None of the infants required NICU admission (0%). **Stable Follow-Up:** All patients (100%) were stable at follow-up.

Table 8 Outcomes

Outcome	Number of Patients	Percentage
Maternal Discharge	12	100%
Mean Duration of Hospital Stay	11.58 days	-
Fetal Discharge	12	100%
NICU Admission	0	0%
Stable Follow-Up	12	100%

Analysis

The analysis reveals a comprehensive overview of AFE cases, highlighting significant patterns and insights. AFE predominantly affects women in their late twenties to early thirties, with a slightly higher incidence in multiparous women. Advanced maternal age and high parity are notable risk factors, though AFE can occur in the absence of these conditions.

Clinically, hypotension is a universal feature, underscoring the need for immediate hemodynamic support. Respiratory distress and fetal distress are also common, indicating the critical need for rapid respiratory and fetal monitoring and intervention. The pathophysiology often involves both mechanical obstruction and immunological reactions, with a significant portion of cases presenting with coagulation abnormalities.

Diagnostic findings such as elevated serum tryptase, D-dimer, and IL-6, though not universally present, provide valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms and help support the clinical diagnosis of AFE. Management strategies, including the universal use of steroids, emergency cesarean sections, and ICU care, are effective in stabilizing patients and improving outcomes.

The positive outcomes, with all patients and infants being discharged and stable at follow-up, highlight the importance of early recognition, prompt intervention, and coordinated care in managing AFE. This comprehensive approach to understanding and managing AFE can inform clinical practice and improve patient care, ultimately contributing to better maternal and fetal outcomes in this rare but serious condition.

DISCUSSION

The demographic analysis of the 12 patients reveals that Amniotic Fluid Embolism (AFE) primarily affects women in their late twenties to early thirties, with the highest incidence observed in the 28-30 age range. This finding is consistent with existing literature, which suggests that AFE can occur across a wide range of maternal ages but tends to be more common among women in older reproductive age groups due to increased exposure to risk factors such as high parity and more frequent medical interventions during labor and delivery. The gravida and parity distributions show that AFE can affect both primiparous and multiparous women, with a slightly higher incidence among those with two or more pregnancies. This supports the notion that repeated exposure to obstetric events may increase the risk of AFE, potentially due to cumulative uterine trauma or more frequent use of obstetric interventions.

Advanced maternal age and high parity were identified as significant risk factors in this study, aligning with previous research. Advanced maternal age was present in 33.33% of patients and is associated with a range of obstetric complications, including AFE. High parity was observed in 41.67% of patients, suggesting that repeated pregnancies may increase the likelihood of uterine and placental abnormalities, which could facilitate the entry of amniotic fluid into the maternal circulation. Additionally, the presence of previous cesarean sections in 25% of patients highlights the role of surgical interventions in increasing the risk of AFE. Surgical procedures may create pathways for amniotic fluid to enter the maternal bloodstream, either mechanically or by disrupting the uteroplacental barrier. Although induced labor was less common in this study (8.33%), it remains a recognized risk factor due to the use of pharmacological agents that may increase uterine contractility and disrupt the placental barrier.

Clinically, hypotension was a universal feature in all patients,

emphasizing its role as a hallmark sign of AFE that necessitates immediate hemodynamic support to prevent cardiovascular collapse. Respiratory distress and fetal distress were also common, observed in 33.33% and 41.67% of patients, respectively, underscoring the importance of rapid respiratory and fetal monitoring and intervention in suspected AFE cases. Seizures and cardiac arrest, though less frequent, highlight the potential for severe neurological and cardiovascular complications, requiring swift and comprehensive emergency response.

The pathophysiological mechanisms observed in this study support both mechanical and immunological theories of AFE. Mechanical obstruction was suspected in 50% of cases, likely resulting from the entry of amniotic fluid, fetal cells, or debris into the maternal circulation, causing acute pulmonary embolism. Immunological reactions were suspected in 41.67% of cases, involving a systemic inflammatory response to fetal antigens that leads to widespread endothelial damage and coagulopathy. One patient presented with both mechanisms, illustrating the complex interplay between mechanical and immunological factors in the pathogenesis of AFE.

Diagnostic findings such as elevated serum tryptase, observed in 25% of patients, indicate an anaphylactoid reaction and support the immunological theory. Elevated D-dimer and IL-6 levels, though less common, suggest significant coagulation and inflammatory responses. Coagulation abnormalities were the most frequent diagnostic finding, present in 50% of patients, highlighting the critical role of coagulopathy in AFE and the need for prompt management of bleeding and clotting disorders. Imaging studies, such as chest X-ray and CT scan, although less frequently positive, help rule out other differential diagnoses and identify complications such as pulmonary edema or embolism.

Management strategies in this study were consistent with established guidelines and demonstrated effectiveness in stabilizing patients. The universal administration of steroids and emergency cesarean sections reflects the critical need for rapid anti-inflammatory intervention and timely delivery to optimize maternal and fetal outcomes. Intubation and mechanical ventilation were required in 33.33% of cases, indicating the severity of respiratory compromise in some patients. ICU admission for all patients underscores the need for intensive monitoring and supportive care, with a mean ICU stay of 4.58 days reflecting the acute and severe nature of AFE.

The positive outcomes observed in this study, with all patients and infants being discharged and stable at follow-up, highlight the importance of early recognition, prompt intervention, and coordinated care in managing AFE. The mean duration of hospital stay was 11.58 days, indicating the need for prolonged inpatient care to ensure full recovery. The absence of NICU admissions among the infants suggests that timely cesarean sections and effective maternal management can significantly improve neonatal outcomes. The stable follow-up findings for all patients further support the effectiveness of the management strategies employed.

CONCLUSION

This detailed analysis of 12 AFE cases provides comprehensive insights into the demographic characteristics, risk factors, clinical presentations, pathophysiological mechanisms, diagnostic findings, management strategies, and outcomes associated with AFE. The study underscores the importance of early recognition and prompt intervention in improving maternal and fetal outcomes. Despite its rarity, AFE remains a significant cause of maternal morbidity and mortality, necessitating ongoing research and vigilance in obstetric care. The findings from this study contribute valuable knowledge to the understanding and management of AFE, ultimately aiming to enhance clinical practices and patient care in obstetric settings.

REFERENCES

1. Fitzpatrick KE, Tuffnell D, Kurinczuk JJ, Knight M. Incidence, risk factors, management, and outcomes of amniotic-fluid embolism: a population-based cohort and nested case-control study. **BJOG**. 2016;123(1):100–109. DOI: 10.1111/1471-0528.13506. PMID: 25683758.
2. Conde-Agudelo A, Romero R. Amniotic fluid embolism: an evidence-based review. **Am J Obstet Gynecol**. 2009;201(5):445.e1-13. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2009.04.047. PMID: 19879393.
3. Knight M, Nair M, Tuffnell D, Shakespeare J, Kenyon S, Kurinczuk JJ. Saving Lives, Improving Mothers' Care—Lessons learned to inform maternity care from the UK and Ireland Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths and Morbidity 2013–15. **National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford**. 2017.
4. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Maternal deaths in Australia 2012–2014. **AIHW**; 2017. Available at: https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/mothers-babies/maternal-deaths-in-australia-2012-2014
5. Bonnet MP, Zlotnik D, Saucedo M, Chassard D, Bouvier-Colle MH, Deneux-Tharaux C. Maternal Death Due to Amniotic Fluid Embolism: A National Study in France. **Anesth Analg**. 2018;126(1):175–182. DOI: 10.1213/ANE.0000000000002101. PMID: 28961562.
6. Knight M, Tuffnell D, Brocklehurst P, Spark P, Kurinczuk JJ, System UKOS. Incidence and risk factors for amniotic-fluid embolism. **Obstet Gynecol**. 2010;115(5):910–917. DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181da5a0d. PMID: 20410762.
7. Clark SL, Romero R, Dildy GA, Callaghan WM, Smiley RM, Bracey AW. Proposed diagnostic criteria for the case definition of amniotic fluid embolism in research studies. **Am J Obstet Gynecol**. 2016;215(4):408–412. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2016.06.037. PMID: 27372270.
8. Knight M, Brocklehurst P, Kramer M, Lewis G, Oats J, et al. Amniotic fluid embolism incidence, risk factors and outcomes: a review and recommendations. **BMC Pregnancy Childbirth**. 2012;12:7. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2393-12-7. PMID: 22325370.
9. Schaap T, Bloemenkamp K, Deneux-Tharaux C, Knight M, Langhoff-Roos J, Sullivan E. Defining definitions: a Delphi study to develop a core outcome set for conditions of severe maternal morbidity. **BJOG**. 2019;126(3):394–401. DOI: 10.1111/1471-0528.15361. PMID: 28755459.
10. Knight M, Inoss. The International Network of Obstetric Survey Systems (INOSS): benefits of multi-country studies of severe and uncommon maternal morbidities. **Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand**. 2014;93(2):127–131. DOI: 10.1111/aogs.12342. PMID: 24382256.