



COMPARISON OF ASTIGMATISM FOLLOWING MANUAL SMALL INCISION CATARACT SURGERY: SUPERIOR VERSUS TEMPORAL APPROACH

Ophthalmology

Dr Milli Arora

Dr Manoj Gupta

Dr Ruchi Singh

Dr Deepak Arora

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Now-a-days, all techniques of cataract extraction are meant for giving the best uncorrected visual acuity and early post-operative rehabilitation. **Purpose:** To compare astigmatism induced by the superior and temporal section in manual small incision cataract surgery (SICS) in the Indian population. **Materials and methods:** One hundred and twenty four eyes were taken. Eyes having a steeper vertical keratometry reading were assigned to the superior SICS group whereas eyes with a steeper horizontal keratometry reading were assigned to the temporal SICS group. Eyes with no astigmatism were randomly assigned to either of the two groups. Both the groups had 62 eyes each. Eyes in Group 1 underwent manual SICS with a superior tunnel and eyes in Group 2 underwent manual SICS with a temporal tunnel. The patients were examined on postoperative Day 1, 1 week, 45 days, and 3 months. Uncorrected and best-corrected visual acuity was recorded, slit-lamp examination, auto-refractometer and keratometry examinations were done. **Statistics:** All calculations were performed using surgically-induced astigmatism (SIA) Calculator version 1.0, a free software program. **Results:** The mean SIA in Group 1 was found to be 1.41 ± 0.75 and in Group 2 it was 0.79 ± 0.56 . The z score applied was found to be 5.66. This value was more than the standard value, i.e. 2.58. The p value accordingly was < 0.001 , which is highly significant. The SIA induced by the superior incision was 48.28 % more than by the temporal incision. **Conclusion:** SICS with the temporal approach provides a better stabilization of the refraction with a significantly less SIA than superior approach.

KEYWORDS

astigmatism, small incision cataract surgery, temporal section, SIA

INTRODUCTION:

Senile cataract is most important cause of reversible blindness in India and other developing countries¹. Now-a-days, all techniques of cataract extraction are being modified to give best uncorrected visual acuity and early rehabilitation². In the same race conventional Extra Capsular Cataract Extraction (ECCE) was improved to manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery (SICS) and then leading the path to Phacoemulsification. Thereby progressively reducing the size of the incision, hence earlier and better visual rehabilitation. However, due to machine dependency and being a relatively costlier procedure, SICS is still routinely performed in developing countries in India. Eventually, for developing countries like India, manual SICS was affordable and had encouraging results³. Since then various modifications have been tried. It has been seen that frown incision offers minimal astigmatism in SICS⁴. Various techniques of nucleus delivery have also been described like visco-expression, hydro-expression, sandwich technique; modified fish hook technique, use of ACM, irrigating cannula and 2 Sinsky hook method^{5,6,7}. In normal healthy eyes stiff upper tarsal plate causes pressure on cornea i.e. plus cylinder at 90°. With increasing age this pressure gradually decreases resulting in against the rule astigmatism⁸. There have been previous studies comparing phacoemulsification surgery and SICS⁹. Reddy et al studied comparison of astigmatism induced by superior and temporal section in SICS in Indian population but it had a smaller group of 64 patients only¹⁰. Gokhale et al compared astigmatism induced by superior, supero-temporal and temporal incision in manual SICS¹¹. This study was hence taken to evaluate results in a wider population group in Indian scenario.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

This was a prospective hospital based study conducted between March 2023 to October 2023. All surgeries were conducted at a tertiary eye care centre by two experienced surgeons. A total of 124 eyes were taken. Eyes having a steeper vertical keratometry reading were assigned to superior SICS group whereas the eyes with a steeper horizontal keratometry reading were assigned to temporal SICS group on the assumption that some flattening of the meridian occurs on which incision is given. Eyes with no astigmatism were randomly assigned to either of the two groups. Both the groups had 62 eyes each. Eyes in Group 1 underwent manual SICS with superior tunnel and eyes in Group 2 underwent manual SICS with temporal tunnel. Patients with significant cataract between 45-60 years of age, controlled for diabetes and hypertension and without any cardiovascular disease were taken. Patients with associated glaucoma, traumatic cataract, pseudo-exfoliation, corneal scarring or degeneration, macular degeneration, diabetic or hypertensive retinopathy, more than 5 diopters of ametropia

and patients having oblique astigmatism were excluded. All patients were properly examined with visual acuity recording by Snellen's E chart. Slit-lamp bio-microscopy, non-contact tonometry and fundus examination with 90 D or 78 D was done for all patients. Astigmatism was measured by autorefractometer and keratometer. IOL power was calculated with contact A-scan biometry using SRK II formula. Preoperative investigations like complete hemogram, random blood sugar, urine routine and microscopy was done. Topical moxifloxacin 0.3 % eye drops were instilled once in every 2 hours for 24 hours before surgery. Topical tropicamide 1 % and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory eye drops instilled every 15 min 1 hour before surgery. Patients were operated under peribulbar anesthesia with 4 cc of 3:1 mixture of injection Xylocaine 2 % and Injection bupivacaine through button hole dissection at lateral one third of lower conjunctiva. The superior rectus (bridge) suture was given in superior approach but none in temporal approach. Fornix based conjunctival flap was made and peritomy was performed. A 6.5 mm scleral tunnel 1.5-2.0 mm posterior to limbus was made. A three-plane incision was created with a 15 degree blade and crescent blade. The vertical incision was given in frown shape at sclera, posterior to limbus and a crescent blade was used to dissect 1 to 2 mm into clear cornea to form a tunnel. Side port was made and anterior chamber was filled with Viscoelastic solution (Hydroxy Propyl Methyl Cellulose 2 %), and capsulorrhexis performed with cystotome made from 26 gauge needle. Entry into the anterior chamber was made with a sharp 3.2mm keratome to create a self sealing corneal valve. The internal opening was slightly more than the external one (upto 1 mm more) and made by the same 3.2 mm keratome to facilitate the delivery of nucleus. For hard and large nucleus, the incision was enlarged to 7mm. Hydro-dissection and delineation was performed with ringer-lactate solution. Hydrodissection was continued until the upper pole of the nucleus prolapsed out of capsular bag.

Through the scleral tunnel, irrigating wire vectis was passed under the nucleus in the capsular bag, and the nucleus was delivered directly by pulling the vectis out slightly depressing the scleral side of incision. The cortical matter was aspirated with Simcoe two way irrigation and aspiration cannula. In the presence of a Viscoelastic solution, a rigid posterior chamber 5.5 mm to 6.5 mm PMMA intraocular lens (as per the size of corneal wound) was implanted in the posterior chamber with the leading haptic facing obliquely underneath the anterior capsular rim. The IOL was positioned in the capsular bag with the help of a dialer. The Visco solution was removed from anterior chamber by irrigation with ringerlactate using Simcoe cannula. Corneal stromal hydration was performed at the wound edges and the wound was left unstitched as the corneal tunnel was self sealing. Sub-conjunctival injection Gentamicin 20 mg mixed with Dexamethasone 4mg was

injected in the lower fornix. The eye was bandaged for 24 hours. Post-operative oral antibiotic and analgesic were given. Patients were examined on post-operative Day 1, 1 week, 1.5 months (45 days) and 3 months (90 days). Uncorrected and best corrected visual acuity was recorded, slit-lamp examination, autorefractometer and keratometry examination were done. All calculations were performed using surgically induced astigmatism (SIA) Calculator version 1.0, a free software program. Surgically induced astigmatism was calculated from pre and postoperative keratometric values as described by Holladay et al (1998). The suggested modifications of the rectangular coordinate method was programmed into a computerized spread sheet and used to calculate surgical astigmatism.

RESULTS:

Age Distribution

AGE	NO. OF PATIENTS	%(n=124)
40-50	32	25.81
51-60	27	21.78
61-70	37	29.84
71-80	28	22.59

Surgically induced astigmatism (SIA):

Incision Location	Surgically induced astigmatism (in diopter)	Number of patients
Superior	1.42	62
Temporal	0.73	62
Mean SIA	1.075	124

DISCUSSION:

Despite excellent facilities and skilled surgeons, people in the developing world are deprived of the visual benefits of the IOL because of inability to afford¹². The suture less manual small incision cataract surgery (sics) technique, the first choice alternative to Phacoemulsification, gives visual results equivalent to Phacoemulsification at lower expenses. Manual SICS is an alternative for phacoemulsification but the astigmatism is higher due to the larger size of incision. Burgansky et al have shown an increase in astigmatism with an increase in incision size¹². In their study by vector analysis, the mean induced astigmatism was $0.6 + 0.3$ D for 6 mm incision, $0.75 + 0.67$ D for a 6.5 mm incision and $1.36 + 0.77$ D for a 7 mm incision. Kimura et al have shown by vector analysis that surgically induced astigmatism is less with an oblique incision ($1.02 + 0.66$ D) than with a superior incision ($1.41 + 0.72$ D)¹³. Pre-existing astigmatism can be neutralized by changing site of incision. The temporal location is farthest from the visual axis and any flattening due to the wound is less likely to affect the corneal curvature at the visual axis. When the incision is located superiorly, both gravity and eyelid blink tend to create a drag on the incision these forces are neutralized better with temporally placed incisions because the incision is parallel to the vector of the forces. With the rule astigmatism induced by a temporal incision is advantageous because most elderly cataract patients have preoperative against the rule astigmatism. In study by Gokhale et al , SIA vector in superior group was 1.28D, 0.2D in superotemporal and 0.37D in temporal group¹¹. Our study also showed similar results with superior group having SIA vector of 1.42D and temporal group with SIA vector of 0.73D.

CONCLUSION:

SICS with temporal approach provides better stabilization of refraction with significantly less SIA than superior approach. The pre and postoperative complications are similar in both approaches.

REFERENCES:

- Mukesh BN, Le A, Dimitrov PN, Ahmed S, Taylor HR, McCarty CA. Development of cataract and associated risk factors: the Visual Impairment Project. Archives of ophthalmology. 2006 Jan 1;124(1):79-85.
- Alam MS, Bari KN. Small Incision Cataract Surgery (SICS) with Clear Corneal Incision and SICS with Scleral Incision—A Comparative Study. rehabilitation. 2014;2:22.
- Venkatesh R, Chang DF, Muralikrishnan R, Hemal K, Gogate P, Sengupta S. Manual small incision cataract surgery: a review. Asia-Pacific Journal of Ophthalmology. 2012 Mar 1;1(2):113-9.
- Siddhartha A. Comparative study of astigmatism in Conventional ecce and manual small Incision cataract surgery (Doctoral dissertation, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)).
- Gahana K. A Comparative Study to Assess Various Technique of Nucleus Delivery Among Patients Undergoing Manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery at a Tertiary Care Hospital (Doctoral dissertation, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences).
- Brierley L. Hydroexpression of the nucleus. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery. 1993 Sep 1;19(5):666.
- Bayramlar H, Çekiç O, Totan Y. Manual tunnel incision extracapsular cataract extraction using the sandwich technique. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery. 1999 Mar 1;25(3):312-5.
- Ho JD, Liou SW, Tsai RJ, Tsai CY. Effects of aging on anterior and posterior corneal

astigmatism. Cornea. 2010 Jun 1;29(6):632-7.

- Reddy B, Raj A, Singh VP. Site of incision and corneal astigmatism in conventional SICS versus phacoemulsification. Annals of Ophthalmology. 2007 Jul;39:209-16.
- Nizami S. A Study of Astigmatic Profile Following two Different Sites of Incisions in Manual Small Incision Cataract Surgery (Doctoral dissertation, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)).
- Gokhale NS, Sawhney S. Reduction in astigmatism in manual small incision cataract surgery through change of incision site. Indian journal of ophthalmology. 2005 Jul 1;53(3):201-3.
- Gupta SN, Goel R, Kumar S. Factors affecting surgically induced astigmatism in manual small-incision cataract surgery. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology. 2022 Nov 1;70(11):3779-84.
- Kimura, Yadav H, Rai V. A study of comparison astigmatism following manual small incision cataract surgery: Superior versus temporal approach. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences. 2014 Jun 9;3(23):6430-5.