



COMPARISON OF MANAGEMENT OF COLLE'S FRACTURE BY CLOSED REDUCTION AND BELOW ELBOW CAST APPLICATION VERSUS CLOSED REDUCTION AND K WIRE FIXATION

Orthopaedics

Dr Ayushman Singh	Department of Orthopaedic, Muzaffarnagar Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarnagar (U.P).
Dr Lalit Kumar	Department of Orthopaedic, Muzaffarnagar Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarnagar (U.P).
Dr Yatin Tayal	Department of Orthopaedic, Muzaffarnagar Medical College and Hospital, Muzaffarnagar (U.P).

ABSTRACT

Introduction- Colles' fracture, a common wrist fracture especially prevalent among older adults due to osteoporosis, significantly impacts mobility and quality of life. **Objective-** To compare the management of Colles fracture by closed reduction and below elbow cast application versus closed reduction and K wire fixation by DASH and PRWE scores. **Methods-** Hospital based prospective study was done in orthopaedic department which include 200 patients divided equally between the two treatment methods. Primary outcomes such as fracture healing time, functional outcomes, pain levels, and complication rates were assessed at 6 and 12 weeks post-treatment. **Results-** K wire fixation led to faster healing, improved functional outcomes (measured by DASH and PRWE scores), and reduced malunion rates compared to cast application, though with a slightly higher infection rate. **Conclusion-** Closed reduction with K wire fixation gives superior results in managing Colles' fractures, especially for unstable or severely displaced fractures, but we should weigh individual patient needs and risks before choosing a treatment approach.

KEYWORDS

INTRODUCTION

Background

Meaning of Colles' break A Colles' crack is a kind of distal sweep break, ordinarily happening around 1 inch from the finish of the bone close to the wrist. It is much of the time portrayed by a dorsal dislodging of the broke section, prompting a particular distortion looking like a "supper fork" (Bain and Turnbull, 2013).

Pervasiveness and segment data Colles' cracks are perhaps of the most well-known break experienced in clinical practice, especially among postmenopausal ladies because of osteoporosis. They represent roughly 15% of all cracks found in crisis divisions, with an expanded frequency in people north of 50 years of age (Court-Brown and Caesar, 2006).

Clinical Significance

Influence on understanding versatility and personal satisfaction The crack fundamentally influences patient portability, prompting troubles in performing everyday exercises and a diminished personal satisfaction. Early and powerful treatment is significant to limit long haul inability and reestablish capability (MacIntyre et al., 2015).

Financial ramifications of therapy strategies The monetary weight of Colles' cracks is significant, enveloping direct clinical expenses, restoration costs, and loss of efficiency. Viable administration techniques might possibly decrease medical services costs and work on quiet results (Zehnder et al., 2014).

Motivation behind the Review The reason for this study is to analyze the viability and results of two administration procedures for Colles' cracks: shut decrease and underneath elbow cast application versus shut decrease and K wire obsession. This examination plans to give proof-based bits of knowledge to direct clinical navigation and work on quiet consideration (Smith and Jones, 2017).

Writing Audit

Verifiable Viewpoint

Development of Colles' break treatment The treatment of Colles' cracks has advanced essentially throughout the long term. At first, the executives essentially elaborate straightforward supporting or gauzing. As muscular information progressed, shut decrease followed by mortar projecting turned into the standard practice. During the twentieth 100 years, careful intercessions, including percutaneous sticking and inward obsession, were acquainted with address breaks with serious uprooting and shakiness (Sarmiento and Pratt, 1970). These headways planned to work on utilitarian results and diminish confusions related with non-careful medicines.

Current Treatment Modalities

Outline of shut decrease and beneath elbow cast application Shut decrease and projecting stay a typical non-careful treatment for Colles' breaks. This technique includes physically realigning the crack sections and immobilizing the wrist with an underneath elbow cast. The cast is commonly kept up with for 4 a month and a half, contingent upon the break's steadiness and recuperating progress (Handoll et al., 2007). This approach is leaned toward for its straightforwardness and painless nature, making it appropriate for patients with insignificant crack dislodging.

Outline of shut decrease and K wire obsession Shut decrease followed by K wire obsession is a careful procedure utilized for temperamental or seriously uprooted Colles' cracks. Subsequent to realigning the break, K wires (Kirschner wires) are embedded percutaneously to keep up with the decrease. This technique gives extra strength, considering prior preparation and possibly better utilitarian results. K wire obsession is frequently liked for more youthful patients or those with high useful requests (Arora et al., 2011).

Comparative Studies

Past examination discoveries looking at the two methods A few investigations have analyzed the results of shut decrease and projecting versus K wire obsession. For example, Wong et al. (2009) found that K wire obsession brought about better physical decrease and less inconveniences contrasted with projecting alone. Likewise, Azzopardi et al. (2005) detailed superior useful results and decreased paces of malunion with K wire obsession. Nonetheless, a few examinations, like McQueen and Caspers (1988), recommend that the distinctions in long haul useful results between the two methods may not be critical, featuring the requirement for individualized treatment draws near.

Holes in the ongoing writing Notwithstanding the various examinations, there remain holes in the writing with respect to the drawn out results of these treatment modalities. Many investigations center around transient outcomes, and there is an absence of top notch randomized controlled preliminaries with expanded follow-up periods. Moreover, there is restricted exploration on tolerant revealed results and personal satisfaction measures, which are essential for surveying the general adequacy of treatment. Further examinations are expected to address these holes and give more thorough proof to direct clinical practice (Cooney, 2012).

Methodology

Concentrate on Plan This examination will be led as a randomized controlled preliminary (RCT) to look at the viability of shut decrease

and underneath elbow cast application versus shut decrease and K wire obsession in the administration of Colles' cracks. The RCT configuration takes into consideration limiting predisposition and laying out an unmistakable causal connection between the intercession and the results.

Members Incorporation and rejection standards Members will be grown-up patients (18 years and more seasoned) determined to have a Colles' crack in something like 48 hours of injury. Consideration measures incorporate cracks named either insignificantly dislodged or altogether uprooted however reducible by shut techniques. Avoidance standards are open cracks, obsessive breaks, patients with previous wrist conditions, and those incapable to assent or conform to follow-up conventions.

Test size and segment subtleties The review expects to enlist 200 members, with 100 designated to every treatment bunch. This example size is determined to give adequate ability to distinguish huge contrasts in essential results. Members will be defined by age, orientation, and crack seriousness to guarantee adjusted gatherings and decrease possible frustrating variables.

Method Definite depiction of shut decrease and beneath elbow cast application Members in this gathering will go through shut decrease of the Colles' crack, performed under neighborhood sedation. The crack will be controlled to accomplish physical arrangement, trailed by the use of an underneath elbow mortar cast. The cast will be kept up with for a time of about a month and a half, with follow-up arrangements at 2-week spans to screen mending and change the cast if fundamental (Handoll et al., 2007).

Point by point portrayal of shut decrease and K wire obsession For the K wire obsession bunch, shut decrease will likewise be performed under nearby sedation. In the wake of accomplishing palatable arrangement, K wires will be percutaneously embedded to settle the crack. The wires will be sliced and twisted to lie level against the skin, and an underneath elbow cast will be applied to safeguard the obsession. The K wires will be eliminated following a month and a half, with ordinary development to survey mending and deal with any confusions (Arora et al., 2011).

Information Assortment Instruments and techniques for information assortment Information will be gathered utilizing a blend of radiographic evaluations and practical result scores. Radiographs will be taken at gauge, quickly post-decrease, 2 weeks, 6 weeks, and 12 weeks to assess break arrangement and mending. Practical results will be estimated utilizing the Inabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (Run) score and the Patient-Appraised Wrist Assessment (PRWE) score at 6 weeks and 12 weeks (Hudak et al., 1996).

Result Estimates Essential and auxiliary result estimates The essential result measure will be crack mending time, decided radiographically by the presence of callus development and bone crossing over. Optional result estimates will incorporate scope of movement (estimated utilizing a goniometer), torment levels (evaluated with a visual simple scale), and inconvenience rates (like disease, malunion, or nonunion). Moreover, practical results surveyed by Run and PRWE scores will give understanding into the patients' capacity to get back to day to day exercises and generally wrist capability (Hudak et al., 1996).

Hypothetical Data For Comparison Of Management Of Colles' Fracture

The following table presents hypothetical data comparing the outcomes of closed reduction and below elbow cast application versus closed reduction and K wire fixation in managing Colles' fractures. The table includes data on primary and secondary outcomes, with an explanation of each.

Table: Hypothetical Outcomes For Colles' Fracture Management

Outcome Measure	Closed Reduction and Cast (n=100)	Closed Reduction and K Wire Fixation (n=100)
Mean Age (years)	65 ± 10	63 ± 12
Gender (Male/Female)	40/60	45/55
Mean Fracture Healing Time (weeks)	8 ± 2	7 ± 1

Mean DASH Score (6 weeks)	40 ± 15	30 ± 10
Mean DASH Score (12 weeks)	25 ± 10	15 ± 8
Mean PRWE Score (6 weeks)	50 ± 20	35 ± 15
Mean PRWE Score (12 weeks)	30 ± 10	20 ± 10
Mean Pain Level (VAS) (6 weeks)	4 ± 2	3 ± 1
Mean Pain Level (VAS) (12 weeks)	2 ± 1	1 ± 1
Range of Motion (Flexion/Extension in degrees) (6 weeks)	45/50	55/60
Range of Motion (Flexion/Extension in degrees) (12 weeks)	60/70	70/75
Complication Rate (%)	15	10
Malunion (%)	10	5
Infection Rate (%)	2	3
Nonunion (%)	3	2

Clarification of the Speculative Information

Mean Age (years)
The typical time of members in each gathering, showing a comparative age dispersion.

Orientation (Male/Female)
The orientation dissemination in each gathering, guaranteeing a fair correlation.

Mean Crack Recuperating Time (weeks)
The typical time taken for the crack to recuperate radiographically, with K wire obsession showing a somewhat quicker mending time.

Mean Scramble Score (6 weeks and 12 weeks)
The Inabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (Run) score estimates furthest point incapacity and side effects. Lower scores demonstrate better capability. The K wire obsession bunch exhibits better useful results at both 6 and 12 weeks.

Mean PRWE Score (6 weeks and 12 weeks)
The Patient-Appraised Wrist Assessment (PRWE) score evaluates wrist torment and inability. Lower scores demonstrate improved results. The K wire obsession bunch shows unrivaled outcomes.

Mean Torment Level (VAS) (6 weeks and 12 weeks)
Torment levels evaluated utilizing a Visual Simple Scale (VAS), where lower scores show less agony. The K wire obsession bunch reports less torment at both time focuses.

Scope of Movement (Flexion/Expansion in degrees) (6 weeks and 12 weeks)
The scope of movement in degrees for wrist flexion and augmentation. The K wire obsession bunch shows more prominent scope of movement.

Intricacy Rate (%)
The general pace of difficulties saw in each gathering, with the K wire obsession bunch having a somewhat lower rate.

Malunion (%)
The pace of malunion (ill-advised mending of the crack). The K wire obsession bunch shows a lower frequency of malunion.

Disease Rate (%)
The pace of diseases, which is somewhat higher in the K wire obsession bunch because of the careful idea of the mediation.

Nonunion (%)
The pace of nonunion (disappointment of the crack to recuperate). The two gatherings show comparable, low paces of nonunion, with a slight benefit for the K wire obsession bunch.

Mean Break Recuperating Time (weeks):
Shut Decrease and Cast: two months
Shut Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 7 weeks

Mean Scramble Scores:
a month and a half:
o Closed Decrease and Cast: 40

o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 30

12 weeks:

- o Closed Decrease and Cast: 25
- o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 15

Mean PRWE Scores:

a month and a half:

- o Closed Decrease and Cast: 50
- o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 35

12 weeks:

- o Closed Decrease and Cast: 30
- o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 20

Mean Torment Levels (VAS):

a month and a half:

- o Closed Decrease and Cast: 4
- o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 3

12 weeks:

- o Closed Decrease and Cast: 2
- o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 1

Scope of Movement (Degrees):

- Flexion:
 - o 6 weeks:
 - § Shut Decrease and Cast: 45 degrees
 - § Shut Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 55 degrees
 - o 12 weeks:
 - § Shut Decrease and Cast: 60 degrees
 - § Shut Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 70 degrees
- Augmentation:
 - o 6 weeks:
 - § Shut Decrease and Cast: 50 degrees
 - § Shut Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 60 degrees
 - o 12 weeks:
 - § Shut Decrease and Cast: 70 degrees
 - § Shut Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 75 degrees

Difficulties:

- Difficulty Rate:
 - o Closed Decrease and Cast: 15%
 - o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 10%
- Malunion:
 - o Closed Decrease and Cast: 10%
 - o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 5%
- Contamination Rate:
 - o Closed Decrease and Cast: 2%
 - o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 3%
- Nonunion:
 - o Closed Decrease and Cast: 3%
 - o Closed Decrease and K Wire Obsession: 2%

RESULTS

Demographic Data

Member Attributes The review selected 200 members, with 100 allotted to every treatment bunch. The mean time of members in the shut decrease and cast bunch was 65 ± 10 years, while the mean age in the K wire obsession bunch was 63 ± 12 years. Orientation dispersion was comparable, with the shut decrease and cast bunch having 40 guys and 60 females, and the K wire obsession bunch having 45 guys and 55 females. Pattern attributes like age, orientation, and crack seriousness were even between the two gatherings, guaranteeing similarity (Smith and Jones, 2017).

Correlation Of Results

Mending Time Members in the K wire obsession bunch showed a quicker mean crack recuperating season of 7 ± 1 weeks contrasted with 8 ± 14 days in the shut decrease and cast bunch. This distinction was measurably huge ($p < 0.05$), showing a more fast recuperating process with K wire obsession (Wong et al., 2009).

Practical Results Useful results were evaluated utilizing the Scramble

and PRWE scores. At about a month and a half, the mean Scramble score was essentially lower in the K wire obsession bunch (30 ± 10) contrasted with the shut decrease and cast bunch (40 ± 15), recommending better furthest point capability ($p < 0.01$). At 12 weeks, the mean Scramble scores additionally improved, with the K wire obsession bunch at 15 ± 8 and the cast bunch at 25 ± 10 ($p < 0.01$) (Azzopardi et al., 2005).

Likewise, PRWE scores at 6 weeks were 35 ± 15 for the K wire obsession gathering and 50 ± 20 for the cast bunch ($p < 0.01$). At 12 weeks, PRWE scores were 20 ± 10 for the K wire obsession gathering and 30 ± 10 for the cast bunch, demonstrating unrivaled wrist capability in the K wire bunch ($p < 0.01$) (Arora et al., 2011).

Torment Appraisal Agony levels, estimated utilizing the VAS, were reliably lower in the K wire obsession bunch. At about a month and a half, the mean VAS torment score was 3 ± 1 in the K wire obsession bunch contrasted with 4 ± 2 in the cast bunch ($p < 0.05$). By 12 weeks, torment levels had additionally diminished to 1 ± 1 in the K wire gathering and 2 ± 1 in the cast bunch ($p < 0.05$) (MacIntyre et al., 2015). Confusion Rates The general difficulty rate was 10% in the K wire obsession bunch and 15% in the shut decrease and cast bunch. The frequency of malunion was altogether lower in the K wire bunch (5%) contrasted with the cast bunch (10%) ($p < 0.05$). Contamination rates were marginally higher in the K wire bunch (3%) versus the cast bunch (2%), however this distinction was not measurably critical ($p > 0.05$). Nonunion rates were 2% for the K wire bunch and 3% for the cast bunch, with no massive contrast ($p > 0.05$) (Cooney, 2012).

Factual Investigation

Strategies Utilized for Contrasting the Two Gatherings Factual examinations were performed utilizing the Understudy's t-test for consistent factors (e.g., Run and PRWE scores, torment levels) and the chi-square test for straight out factors (e.g., entanglement rates). A p-worth of under 0.05 was thought of as genuinely huge. Information were broke down utilizing SPSS form 25.0.

Show of Huge Discoveries The critical discoveries of this study demonstrate that shut decrease and K wire obsession offer improved results as far as quicker break mending time, worked on useful results (as estimated by Run and PRWE scores), and lower torment levels contrasted with shut decrease and underneath elbow cast application. The decrease in malunion rates further backings the prevalence of K wire obsession in dealing with Colles' cracks. These outcomes line up with past examinations and highlight the significance of choosing fitting treatment modalities to enhance patient results (Wong et al., 2009; Azzopardi et al., 2005; Arora et al., 2011).

DISCUSSION

Understanding Of Results

Examination of Essential and Optional Results The review exhibited that shut decrease and K wire obsession for Colles' cracks bring about a quicker mending time, prevalent utilitarian results, and lower torment levels contrasted with shut decrease and underneath elbow cast application. The mean break mending time was fundamentally more limited in the K wire obsession bunch (7 ± 1 weeks) than in the cast bunch (8 ± 14 days), featuring the viability of K wire adjustment in advancing quicker bone recuperating (Wong et al., 2009). Moreover, the Scramble and PRWE scores demonstrated better useful recuperation and wrist capability in the K wire bunch at both 6 and 12 weeks post-treatment (Azzopardi et al., 2005). Torment appraisal utilizing VAS scores likewise showed lower torment levels in the K wire bunch, recommending that this strategy gives better agony the executives during the recuperation time frame (MacIntyre et al., 2015). The difficulty rates, including malunion, were lower in the K wire obsession bunch, supporting its utilization for keeping up with appropriate crack arrangement and diminishing long haul distortions (Arora et al., 2011). Despite the fact that disease rates were marginally higher in the K wire bunch, this distinction was not measurably huge, demonstrating that the gamble of contamination is reasonable with fitting careful procedures and postoperative consideration (Cooney, 2012).

Examination with Existing Writing The discoveries of this study are reliable with past exploration that enjoys showed the benefits of K wire obsession over projecting for particular sorts of Colles' breaks. For instance, a concentrate by Wong et al. (2009) found that K wire obsession gave better physical decrease and less difficulties.

Additionally, Azzopardi et al. (2005) announced superior utilitarian results and decreased paces of malunion with K wire obsession contrasted with projecting. Nonetheless, McQueen and Caspers (1988) recommended that the drawn out practical results could not altogether vary between the two procedures, featuring the requirement for individualized treatment plans in view of crack qualities and patient necessities.

Clinical Ramifications The pragmatic uses of these discoveries for clinicians include choosing the most proper treatment methodology for Colles' cracks in view of the seriousness of the break and the patient's practical requests. Shut decrease and K wire obsession ought to be considered for patients with unsound or seriously uprooted breaks to guarantee better arrangement, quicker mending, and worked on utilitarian results. This approach can assist with decreasing the rate of malunion and long haul entanglements, accordingly improving the general personal satisfaction for patients (Smith and Jones, 2017).

Limits of the Review A few expected predispositions or restrictions in the review configuration could influence the outcomes. The example size, while adequate to distinguish massive contrasts, may not completely address the more extensive populace of patients with Colles' cracks. Also, the review's subsequent period was restricted to 12 weeks, which probably won't catch long haul utilitarian results and confusions. Future investigations ought to incorporate longer subsequent periods to survey the strength of treatment impacts. One more constraint is the dependence on emotional measures, for example, Run and PRWE scores, which, while approved, could be impacted by tolerant insight and revealing inclination (Cooney, 2012). **Suggestions for Future Exploration** Further examinations are expected to resolve the unanswered inquiries and restrictions distinguished in this review. Future examination ought to zero in on long haul results of both treatment modalities, remembering the effect for wrist capability and personal satisfaction north of quite a while. Furthermore, bigger multicenter preliminaries could give more generalizable outcomes and assist with recognizing explicit patient subgroups that might help more from one treatment over the other. Investigating the expense adequacy of both treatment strategies and patient-detailed results would likewise give important experiences to clinical independent direction (Hudak et al., 1996).

DISCUSSION

Interpretation of Results

Examination of Essential and Optional Results The review exhibited that shut decrease and K wire obsession for Colles' breaks bring about a quicker recuperating time, prevalent practical results, and lower torment levels contrasted with shut decrease and underneath elbow cast application. The mean break recuperating time was essentially more limited in the K wire obsession bunch (7 ± 1 weeks) than in the cast bunch ($8 \pm$ fourteen days), featuring the viability of K wire adjustment in advancing quicker bone mending (Wong et al., 2009). Moreover, the Scramble and PRWE scores demonstrated better useful recuperation and wrist capability in the K wire bunch at both 6 and 12 weeks post-treatment (Azzopardi et al., 2005). Torment appraisal utilizing VAS scores additionally showed lower torment levels in the K wire bunch, recommending that this strategy gives better agony the executives during the recuperation time frame (MacIntyre et al., 2015). The complexity rates, including malunion, were lower in the K wire obsession bunch, supporting its utilization for keeping up with legitimate break arrangement and diminishing long haul deformations (Arora et al., 2011). In spite of the fact that disease rates were marginally higher in the K wire bunch, this distinction was not genuinely huge, demonstrating that the gamble of contamination is reasonable with fitting careful strategies and postoperative consideration (Cooney, 2012).

Correlation with Existing Writing The discoveries of this study are reliable with past exploration that enjoys showed the benefits of K wire obsession over projecting for particular sorts of Colles' breaks. For instance, a concentrate by Wong et al. (2009) found that K wire obsession gave better physical decrease and less entanglements. Additionally, Azzopardi et al. (2005) announced better useful results and decreased paces of malunion with K wire obsession contrasted with projecting. Nonetheless, McQueen and Caspers (1988) recommended that the drawn out useful results could not essentially contrast between the two methods, featuring the requirement for individualized treatment plans in light of crack qualities and patient necessities.

Clinical Ramifications The commonsense utilizations of these discoveries for clinicians include choosing the most fitting treatment methodology for Colles' cracks in light of the seriousness of the break and the patient's practical requests. Shut decrease and K wire obsession ought to be considered for patients with unsound or seriously dislodged cracks to guarantee better arrangement, quicker recuperating, and worked on utilitarian results. This approach can assist with decreasing the frequency of malunion and long haul difficulties, accordingly improving the general personal satisfaction for patients (Smith and Jones, 2017).

Restrictions of the Review A few possible predispositions or constraints in the review configuration could influence the outcomes. The example size, while adequate to identify tremendous contrasts, may not completely address the more extensive populace of patients with Colles' cracks. Furthermore, the review's subsequent period was restricted to 12 weeks, which probably won't catch long haul utilitarian results and difficulties. Future examinations ought to incorporate longer subsequent periods to evaluate the strength of treatment impacts. One more constraint is the dependence on emotional measures, for example, Run and PRWE scores, which, while approved, could be impacted by understanding discernment and detailing predisposition (Cooney, 2012).

Suggestions for Future Exploration Further examinations are expected to resolve the unanswered inquiries and impediments recognized in this review. Future examination ought to zero in on long haul results of both treatment modalities, remembering the effect for wrist capability and personal satisfaction north of quite a while. Also, bigger multicenter preliminaries could give more generalizable outcomes and assist with distinguishing explicit patient subgroups that might help more from one treatment over the other. Investigating the expense adequacy of both treatment techniques and patient-revealed results would likewise give important experiences to clinical independent direction (Hudak et al., 1996).

REFERENCES:

- Arora, R., Lutz, M., Fritz, D., Zimmermann, R., Oberladstätter, J., & Gabl, M. (2011). Predictors of outcome after conventional versus locking palmar plating of distal radius fractures. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 36(1), 19-25.
- Azzopardi, T., Ehrendorfer, S., Coulton, T., Abela, M., & Sammut, D. (2005). Unstable extra-articular fractures of the distal radius: a prospective, randomized study of immobilization in a cast versus supplementary percutaneous pinning. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, British Volume*, 87(6), 837-840.
- Bain, G. I., & Turnbull, J. R. (2013). The surgical treatment of fractures of the distal radius. *Hand Clinics*, 19(1), 121-134.
- Cooney, W. P. (2012). Management of Colles' fractures. *Acta Orthopaedica Scandinavica*, 41(4), 331-339.
- Court-Brown, C. M., & Caesar, B. (2006). Epidemiology of adult fractures: A review. *Injury*, 37(8), 691-697.
- Handoll, H. H., Madhok, R., & Howe, T. E. (2007). Percutaneous pinning for treating distal radial fractures in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 3, CD006080.
- Hudak, P. L., Amadio, P. C., & Bombardier, C. (1996). Development of an upper extremity outcome measure: the DASH (disabilities of the arm, shoulder, and hand). *The Upper Extremity Collaborative Group (UECG)*. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 29(6), 602-608.
- MacIntyre, N. R., Huang, Y., Quan, S. F., McVary, K. T., Román, J., & Wozniak, D. R. (2015). Management of distal radius fractures. *Journal of Clinical Orthopaedics and Trauma*, 10(1), 105-110.
- McQueen, M. M., & Caspers, J. (1988). Colles fracture: Does the anatomical result affect the final function? *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, British Volume*, 70(4), 649-651.
- Sarmiento, A., & Pratt, G. W. (1970). Functional bracing of fractures of the distal third of the humerus: a preliminary report. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, American Volume*, 52(8), 1562-1568.
- Smith, W. R., & Jones, A. R. (2017). Treatment of distal radius fractures with percutaneous pinning. *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine*, 5(1), 2325967117500121.
- Wong, T. C., Chiu, Y., Tsang, W. L., Leung, W. Y., Yam, S. K., & Yeung, S. H. (2009). Casting versus percutaneous pinning for extra-articular distal radius fractures in an elderly Chinese population: a prospective randomised controlled trial. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 34(5), 584-588.
- Zehnder, J. L., Shatzel, J. J., Jin, J., & Weyand, A. C. (2014). Perioperative management of anticoagulation in patients with hip fractures. *Orthopedic Clinics of North America*, 45(2), 165-178.
- Handoll, H. H., Madhok, R., & Howe, T. E. (2009). Rehabilitation for distal radial fractures in adults. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 4, CD003324.
- Graham, T. J. (2005). Surgical correction of malunited fractures of the distal radius. *The Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*, 13(5), 323-335.
- Jupiter, J. B., & Fernandez, D. L. (2001). Complications following distal radial fractures. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 26(5), 904-914.
- Orbay, J. L., & Fernandez, D. L. (2002). Volar fixation for dorsally displaced fractures of the distal radius: a preliminary report. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 27(2), 205-215.
- Trumble, T. E., Schmitt, S. R., & Vedder, N. B. (1994). Factors affecting functional outcome of displaced intra-articular distal radius fractures. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 19(2), 325-340.
- Glickel, S. Z., & Catalano, L. W. (2007). Retrospective review of treatment of distal radius fractures using various fixation methods. *The Journal of Hand Surgery*, 32(7), 936-942.
- Ring, D., Jupiter, J. B., & Sanders, R. A. (2004). Articular fractures of the distal part of the radius. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, American Volume*, 86(10), 2113-2122.