



## STUDY OF PLATELET INDICES IN DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS OF THROMBOCYTOPENIA CASES IN TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL, MAHARASHTRA, INDIA

### Haematology

|                                          |                                                                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Dr. Swastika Patil</b>                | Junior Resident In Pathology , Shrimati Kashibai Navale Medical College And General Hospital, Pune , Maharashtra                        |
| <b>Dr. Aditya Nesargi</b>                | Associate Professor In Pathology , Shrimati Kashibai Navale Medical College And General Hospital, Pune , Maharashtra                    |
| <b>Dr Bageshri Gogate</b>                | Professor In Pathology, Shrimati Kashibai Navale Medical College And General Hospital, Pune, Maharashtra                                |
| <b>Dr. Siddhi G. Sinai Khandeparkar*</b> | Professor And Hod In Pathology, Shrimati Kashibai Navale Medical College And General Hospital, Pune , Maharashtra *Corresponding Author |

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Quantification of platelet indices in automated analyzers' has superiority over the manual estimation because it is quick, effortless and cost-effective method which also eliminates the observer bias and artefactual problems of manual method. We studied four platelet parameters i.e., MPV, PDW, LCR and PCT in cases of thrombocytopenia in order to interpret their importance, if any, behind the mechanism of low platelet count. **Methods:** An observational study was undertaken for a period of six months from September 2023 to February 2024 in the pathology laboratory at Shrimati Kashibai Navale Medical College And General Hospital, Pune , Maharashtra using 6 part (SYSMEX 350) automated hematology analyzer. **Result:** A total of 200 patients with thrombocytopenia ( $<1,50,000 / \mu\text{L}$ ) were included in the study. There were 64% males and 36% females in this study. In our study MPV & LCR increased as platelet count decreased. Thrombocytopenia cases were divided into two groups, namely group A which included thrombocytopenia due to Hyper destructive cause while group B included thrombocytopenia due to Impaired production of platelets. MPV, LCR, PCT and PDW platelet indices were higher Group A than Group B. **Conclusion:** Platelet parameters like PDW, LCR, MPV and PCT can be used to interpret the mechanism behind the low platelet count. In our conclusion, any alterations in platelet volume indices can give an initial hint about the possible mechanism of thrombocytopenia like hypo proliferative category and increased peripheral destruction categories.

### KEYWORDS

Thrombocytopenia, PDW, LCR, MPV and PCT

#### BACKGROUND:

Thrombocytopenia is the presence of subnormal number of platelets in circulating blood. It may be the result of inadequate production of platelets or their peripheral destruction. The former are known as hypo proliferative thrombocytopenia's, while the latter are categorized as destructive thrombocytopenia's. [1]Thrombocytopenia is one of the common findings among patients in a clinical setting. It is defined by platelet count below the normal values and has a significant role in both hospitalized and non-hospitalized patients. [2]The counts below  $1,50,000 / \mu\text{L}$  are considered as thrombocytopenia. [3]The clinical manifestation varies from mild symptoms such as easy bruising / mild bleeding to massive bleeding / hemorrhage that may result in death. [4] Platelets play a vital role in homeostasis and also have non-homeostatic role in angiogenesis, repairing of tissue and inflammation. [2] In order to evaluate the cause of low platelet count, it is necessary to relate whether it is due to hyper destruction or impaired production. Hyper destructive thrombocytopenia is a result of extramedullary destruction of platelets with a normal or an increased bone marrow production; causes comprise of malaria, dengue, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP), disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). The category of impaired production is a result of primary or secondary bone marrow diseases with a decreased bone marrow production. It includes causes such as bone marrow aplasia, hematological malignancies, post chemotherapy, post radiation, hypersplenism etc. [5,6]After evaluating the mechanism behind the low platelet count, which can be helpful to the clinician in providing proper treatment to the patient. [7]Bone marrow aspiration study is the gold standard for finding the cause for thrombocytopenia, but its use is restricted since it is an invasive procedure. There is also a high risk of bleeding complication associated with the procedure. [8]Automated cell counters are used widely these days which furnish us the valuable information of various blood cell parameters including red blood cells, white blood cells and platelets with platelet parameters. [9]Recently platelet parameters like mean platelet volume (MPV), platelet distribution width (PDW), platelet crit (PCT) and large cell ratio (LCR) have been investigated as important platelet activation markers. All these parameters are provided by automated blood cells analyzer's and these indices can be correlated with platelet counts. [10] Quantification of platelet indices in automated analyzers' has superiority over the manual estimation because it is quick, effortless and cost-effective method which also

eliminates the observer bias and artefactual problems of manual method. [11]The study of these platelet indices can help establish the mechanism behind thrombocytopenia. MPV and PDW are both derived from platelet distribution curve and related to change in platelet size and shape. [12]P-LCR can be used as an effective tool in distinguishing between hyper destruction and impaired production. [13] P-LCR is directly related to PDW and MPV, whereas it is inversely related to platelet count. [14]PCT is the volume occupied by the platelets in the blood and is expressed in terms of percentage and plays an important role in diagnosing platelet quantitative abnormalities. [2,15]Platelet indices can have a significant prognostic role as early evaluation of thrombocytopenia can help reduce morbidity and mortality of patients with low platelet count. This study aims to find the usefulness of these platelet indices in initial evaluation of possible pathogenesis of thrombocytopenia.

#### METHODS:

An observational study was undertaken for a period of six months from September 2023 to February 2024 in the pathology laboratory at SKNMC, Pune. All the blood samples of patients which were received in K3 EDTA anti-coagulated vacutainer in the laboratory were processed within one hour of collection using 6 part (SYSMEX 350) automated hematology analyzer. From the analyzer generated reports, platelets count, and platelet parameters that is mean platelets reports (MPV), platelet distribution width (PDW), platelet large cell ratio (P-LCR) and platelet crit (PCT) were recorded and platelet count was reassessed by peripheral blood smear examination on Leishman stained slides in all the cases. We studied 200 patients with thrombocytopenia (platelet count  $<1,50,000 / \mu\text{L}$ ) from new born to old age. Control group included 50 persons who had all the hemogram parameters within normal limits.

#### Inclusion Criteria:

We have included patients of thrombocytopenia (below  $1,50,000 / \mu\text{L}$ ) of all age groups including new born.

#### Exclusion Criteria:

Patients on anti-platelet drugs and drugs causing thrombocytopenia were not included in present study.

Data is collected and analyzed. Platelets parameters (LCR, PCT, MPV

PDW) were then assessed in both the groups and compared with those of the control group.

#### Data Analysis And Statistical Interpretation:

Data was entered into Microsoft Excel (Windows 7; Version 2007) and analyses were done using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows software (version 22.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago). Descriptive statistics such as mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables, frequencies and percentages were calculated for categorical Variables were determined. Association between Variables was analyzed by using Chi-Square test for categorical Variables. Normality of data was checked by using Shapiro-wilk test. Unpaired t test was used to compare mean of quantitative variables between 2 groups. Bar charts and Pie charts were used for visual representation of the analyzed data. Level of significance was set at 0.05.

#### RESULTS:

A total of 200 patients with thrombocytopenia ( $<1,50,000 / \mu\text{L}$ ) were included in the study. There were 64% males and 36% females in this study. Also control (50 cases) group with all age group were studied for comparison of platelet indices with normal platelet count according to the age.

TABLE 1: shows the distribution of the case study with thrombocytopenia according to age (years) and gender (sex). Our age group ranged from new born (0 day) to old age ( $>60$  years). Maximum number of cases in females were seen in 11-20 years of age group (21%) and in males  $>60$  years (34%).

**Table 1: Distribution Of Study Subjects According To The Age (years) And Sex (male And Female) (n=200)**

| Age (Years) | Female n (%)  | Male n (%)    | Total n (%)   |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| $\leq 10$   | 11 (15.3)     | 14 (10.9)     | 25 (12.5)     |
| 11-20       | 21 (29.2)     | 5 (3.9)       | 26 (13.0)     |
| 21-30       | 7 (9.7)       | 11 (8.6)      | 18 (9.0)      |
| 31-40       | 6 (8.3)       | 25 (19.5)     | 31 (15.5)     |
| 41-50       | 3 (4.2)       | 32 (25.0)     | 35 (17.5)     |
| 51-60       | 5 (6.9)       | 26 (20.3)     | 31 (15.5)     |
| $>60$       | 19 (26.4)     | 15 (11.7)     | 34 (17.0)     |
| Mean (SD)   | 34.23 (24.76) | 42.14 (19.47) | 39.29 (21.79) |

**Table 2: Comparison Of Platelet Indices With Severity Of Thrombocytopenia Among Cases (n=200)**

| Parameter | PLT $<50000 / \mu\text{L}$<br>Mean (SD) | 50000 / $\mu\text{L}$ -<br>100000 / $\mu\text{L}$<br>Mean (SD) | 100000 / $\mu\text{L}$ -<br>150000 / $\mu\text{L}$<br>Mean (SD) | P Value    |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| MPV       | 11.40 (0.67)                            | 10.54 (0.73)                                                   | 9.43 (0.85)                                                     | $<0.001^*$ |
| LCR       | 38.70 (11.40)                           | 32.10 (9.39)                                                   | 29.42 (10.71)                                                   | $<0.001^*$ |
| PDW       | 18.97 (2.09)                            | 19.03 (2.07)                                                   | 19.15 (2.27)                                                    | 0.930      |
| PCT       | 0.073 (0.095)                           | 0.060 (0.029)                                                  | 0.060 (0.030)                                                   | 0.479      |

PDW – Platelet Distribution Width, MPV – Mean Platelet Value, LCR – Large Cell Ratio, PCT– Platelet crit,\* The mean difference is significant at the level 0.05 level.

In TABLE 2 Comparison of the platelet indices with the severity of thrombocytopenia was also assessed. They were categorized into 3 categories: mild thrombocytopenia with platelet count  $\geq 100000 / \mu\text{L}$  to  $<1,50,000 / \mu\text{L}$ , moderate thrombocytopenia with platelet count between  $> 50,000 / \mu\text{L}$  and  $\leq 100000 / \mu\text{L}$  and severe thrombocytopenia with platelet count  $\leq 50000 / \mu\text{L}$ . Our study showed a significant difference in MPV & LCR platelet parameters i.e. in our study MPV & LCR increased as platelet count decreased which appeared statistically significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) while the rest of the parameters i.e. PDW & PCT didn't showed significant difference with platelet count. In Table 3, Thrombocytopenia cases were divided into two groups, namely group A which included thrombocytopenia due to Hyper destructive cause while group B included thrombocytopenia due to Impaired production of platelets.

**Table 3- Classification of thrombocytopenia cases in Groups A and B**

| GROUP A (126 CASES)<br>63.0%<br>(Hyper destructive causes) | GROUP B (74 CASES) 37%<br>(Impaired production of platelets) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| 46                                                         | International Journal of Scientific Research                 |

| Dengue (62 cases)              | Pancytopenia (23 cases)          |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Sepsis (14 cases)              | Liver disease (22 cases)         |
| Renal diseases (12 cases)      | Iron deficiency anemia (9 cases) |
| Viral infection (12 cases)     | Megaloblastic anemia (7 cases)   |
| DIC (11 cases)                 | DVT (4 cases)                    |
| ITP (3 cases)                  | ALL (4 cases)                    |
| Malaria (4 cases)              | TB (3 cases)                     |
| Burns (4 cases)                | Chikungunya (2 cases)            |
| Pregnancy (3 cases)            |                                  |
| Respiratory distress (3 cases) |                                  |
| Hemolytic anemia (1 case)      |                                  |
| Inborn errors (1 case)         |                                  |

**Table 4: Comparison of Lab parameters with Study Groups (N=200)**

| Parameter | Group A Mean (SD) | Group B Mean (SD) | Controls Mean (SD) | P Value    |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|
| PCT       | 0.0879 (0.0673)   | 0.0303 (0.0126)   | 0.229 (0.007)      | $<0.001^*$ |
| MPV       | 10.76 (1.13)      | 10.68 (0.92)      | 10.94 (0.60)       | 0.347      |
| LCR       | 39.63 (12.28)     | 36.06 (10.88)     | 34.58 (2.76)       | 0.257      |
| PDW       | 19.87 (1.74)      | 17.62 (1.85)      | 12.92 (0.54)       | $<0.001^*$ |

(PDW – Platelet Distribution Width, MPV – Mean Platelet Value, LCR – Large Cell Ratio, PCT– Platelet crit,\* The mean difference is significant at the level 0.05 level.)

**Table 5: Comparison Of Lab Parameters With Study Groups (n=200) Group A Vs Group B**

| Parameter | Group A Mean (SD) | Group B Mean (SD) | P Value    |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|
| LCR       | 39.63 (12.28)     | 36.06 (10.88)     | 0.400      |
| MPV       | 10.76 (1.13)      | 10.68 (0.92)      | 0.647      |
| PDW       | 19.87 (1.74)      | 17.62 (1.85)      | $<0.001^*$ |
| PCT       | 0.0879 (0.0673)   | 0.0303 (0.0126)   | $<0.001^*$ |

(PDW – Platelet Distribution Width, MPV – Mean Platelet Value, LCR – Large Cell Ratio, PCT– Platelet crit,\* The mean difference is significant at the level 0.05 level.)

Table 4 and 5 showed decreased in mean PCT, MPV in group A and group B as compared to control group and increase in PDW as compared to control group. Mean MPV was 10.76 fL in group A which was higher as compared to 10.68 fL in group B. Mean LCR in our study was higher in group A (39.63) as compared to group B (36.06). Mean PDW was higher in the cases of group A with the mean of 19.87 fL as compared to 17.62 fL in group B. Mean PCT was noted higher in group A (0.0879) as compared to Group B (0.0303). So, MPV, LCR, PCT and PDW platelet indices were higher Group A than Group B. PDW (which was associated with variability in platelet size) and PCT both were higher in the cases of group A than group B which was statistically significant ( $P < 0.001$ ).

#### DISCUSSION:

Thrombocytopenia is one of the common findings in many disease conditions. The major pathogenesis behind this is basically either due to decreased or impaired bone marrow production, peripheral accelerated destruction or due to increased splenic sequestration. Clinically, it is difficult to ascertain the reason behind the decrease in platelet count. Bone marrow studies, reticulated platelets and platelet associated IgG have been done in the past to evaluate the reason causing thrombocytopenia, but these are costly, invasive and not easily available procedures. Recently, many studies have taken the help of various platelet indices to assess the cause of thrombocytopenia. Platelet indices are easily available as most of the automated hematology analyzers calculate these values. We studied four platelet parameters i.e., MPV, PDW, LCR and PCT in cases of thrombocytopenia in order to interpret their importance, if any, behind the mechanism of low platelet count and also compared these values with those of the controls.

Our study included 200 patients of thrombocytopenia, who were predominantly females. Correlation of severity of thrombocytopenia was studied with the changes in the platelet count and the platelet parameters. It was found that as the platelet count decreases there was increase in the mean values of MPV and LCR which was noted statistically significant in our study. Studies by Elsewefy DA et al.[20] and Borkatky S et al. [5] showed significant difference in mean LCR

values of patients with hypo productive thrombocytopenia with those of the control group. Our Study also showed similar findings.

MPV is a known marker of megakaryocytic activity. A high value is seen when there is excessive platelet lysis as it leads to increased bone marrow production of immature platelets. On reviewing the literature, many studies have shown higher values of these parameters in hyper destructive group as compared to hypo productive group, similar finding was noted in our study. Mean MPV was 10.76 fL in group A was high as compared to 10.68 fL in group B, which was in accordance with studies like Vinholt PJ et al. [10], Borkatany S et al. [5] and Reddy RS et al. [21] Nakadate H et al. [22] and Baynes RD et al. [23].

Mean LCR in our study was higher in group A as compared to group B, which was consistent with other studies. [15, 24, 22]

In this study, PCT and PDW showed a noteworthy difference when group A and B i.e. cases of hyper destruction and hypoproduction of platelets when compared with the control group, with a high significance of  $P < 0.001$ . PCT was noted higher in group A as compared to Group B. Mala K.G et al. [9] showed similar findings. PCT value measures the total platelet mass, and is dependent on MPV. Its value varies with platelet count and we found significantly higher values in group A and lower in group B which was statistically significant ( $P$  value  $< 0.001$ )

PDW which was associated with variability in platelet size was higher in the cases of group A with the mean of 19.87 fL as compared to 17.62 fL in group B which was statistically significant ( $P < 0.001$ ). Other studies also similarly showed high PDW in hyper destruction thrombocytopenia attributing to the release of heterogeneous population of platelets with anisocytosis, the reason behind it.

## CONCLUSION:

Platelet parameters like PDW, LCR, MPV and PCT can be used to interpret the mechanism behind the low platelet count, where high values of indices indicate increased breakdown of platelets in the bloodstream and low values are possibly due to impaired production due to primary or secondary bone marrow disease. In our conclusion, any alterations in platelet volume indices can give an initial hint about the possible mechanism of thrombocytopenia like hypo proliferative category and increased peripheral destruction categories, which can possibly reduce the need for the costly and invasive procedures at least in routine cases to know possible pathogenesis of thrombocytopenia cases.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] Lee GR, Levine SP. Thrombocytopenia: pathophysiology and classification. In: Lee GR, Foerster J, Lukens J, Paraskevas F, Greer JP, Rodgers GM. (eds) Wintrobe's Clinical Hematology, 10th edn. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 1999; 1579-1582
- [2] Rodgers GM. Thrombocytopenia: pathophysiology and classification. In: Wintrobe's Clinical Hematology. 13th edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins 2014; 1058-60
- [3] Sekhon SS, Roy V. Thrombocytopenia in adults: a practical approach to evaluation and management. South Med J 2006; 99(5):491-533.
- [4] Khaleel KJ, Ahmed AA, Anwar MAA. Platelet indices and their relations to platelet count in hypoproduative and hyper-destructive thrombocytopenia. Karbala Journal of Medicine 2014; 7(2):1952-8
- [5] Borkatany S, Jain R, Gupta R, et al. Role of platelet volume indices in the differential diagnosis of thrombocytopenia: a simple and inexpensive method. Hematology 2009; 14(3):182-6. [5]
- [6] Katti TV, Mhetre SC, Annigeri C. How far are the platelet indices mirror image of mechanism of thrombocytopenia-mystery still remains? Int J of Adv in Med 2014; 1(3):200-5.
- [7] Parveen S, Vimal M. Role of platelet indices in differentiating hypoproduative and hyperdestructive thrombocytopenia. Annals of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine 2017; 4(3):288-91
- [8] Greer P, Arber DA, Glader B, et al. Rodgers in Williams's hematology. Chap. 49. Thrombocytopenia. 8th edn. MacGraw-Hill 2010; 1101-02
- [9] Mala KG, Bhandari BJ, Kittur SK. Paramountcy of platelet parameters in thrombocytopenia-our hospital experience. Indian J Pathol Oncol 2018; 5(4):558-62.
- [10] Vinholt PJ, Hvas AM, Nybo M. An overview of platelet indices and methods for evaluating platelet function in thrombocytopenic patients. Eur J Haematol 2014; 92(5):367-76. [11] Vidyadhar S. Diagnostic implication and utility of platelet indices in differentiating hypo productive and hyper destructive thrombocytopenia. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences 2019; 18(8):07-11
- [12] Park Y, Schoene N, Harris W. Mean platelet volume as an indicator of platelet activation: methodological issues. Platelets 2002; 13(5-6):301-6 [13] Baig MA. Platelet indices-evaluation of their diagnostic role in paediatric thrombocytopenia's (One year study). Int J Res Med Sci 2015; 3(9):2284-9.
- [13] Babu E, Basu D. Platelet large cell ratio in the differential diagnosis of abnormal platelet counts. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 2004; 47(2):202-5.
- [14] Giacomini A, Legovini P, Gessoni G, et al. Platelet count and parameters determined by the Bayer ADVIA 120 in reference subjects and patients. Clin Lab Haematol 2001; 23(3):181-6
- [15] Giacomini A, Legovini P, Gessoni G, et al. Platelet count and parameters determined by the Bayer ADVIA 120 in reference subjects and patients. Clin Lab Haematol 2001; 23(3):181-6.

- [16] ICMR. Informed consent process. Conditions for granting waiver of consent. National ethical guidelines for biomedical and health research involving human participants. Indian Council of Medical Research 2017.
- [17] Vani C. Plateletcrit as a screening tool for detection of platelet quantitative disorders. J Hematol 2013; 2(1):22-6.
- [18] Negash M, Tsegaye A, G/Medhin A. Diagnostic predictive value of platelet indices for discriminating hypo productive versus immune thrombocytopenia purpura in patients attending a tertiary care teaching hospital in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. BMC Hematol 2016; 16:18.
- [19] Kim MJ, Park PW, Seo YH, et al. Comparison of platelet parameters in thrombocytopenic patients associated with acute myeloid leukemia and primary immune thrombocytopenia. Blood 2014; 25(3):221-5. Coagul Fibrinolysis
- [20] Elsewefy DA, Farweez BA, Ibrahim RR. Platelet indices: consideration in thrombocytopenia. Egypt J Haematol 2014; 39(3):134-8.
- [21] Reddy RS, Phansalkar MD, Ramalakshmi PVB. Mean Platelet Volume (MPV) in thrombocytopenia. J Cont Med A Dent 2014; 2(2):45-50.
- [22] Nakadate H, Kaida M, Furukawa S, et al. Use of the platelet indices for differential diagnosis of pediatric immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP). Blood 2008; 112(11):4557.
- [23] Baynes RD, Lamparelli RD, Bezwoda WR, et al. Platelet parameters. Part II. Platelet volume-number relationships in various normal and disease states. S Afr Med J 1988; 73(1):39-43
- [24] Nelson RB, Kehl D. Electronically determined platelet indices in thrombopenic patients. Cancer 1981; 48(4):954-6.