



CT IMAGING THEORY: FROM FUNDAMENTAL X-RAY INTERACTIONS TO ADVANCED RECONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES

Radio-Diagnosis

Damini Kashyap

Scientific Assistant B, Department of Radiodiagnosis, Homi Bhabha Cancer Hospital And Mahamana Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya Cancer Centre, Varanasi (A Unit Of Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai)

Karan Gupta

Scientific Officer - SB, Department of Radiodiagnosis, Homi Bhabha Cancer Hospital And Mahamana Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya Cancer Centre, Varanasi (A Unit Of Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai)

Dr. Satyendra Narayan Singh

Prof. and Head of the Department of Radiodiagnosis, Homi Bhabha Cancer Hospital And Mahamana Pandit Madan Mohan Malaviya Cancer Centre, Varanasi (A Unit Of Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai)

ABSTRACT

The development of X-ray computed tomography (CT) has its roots in the discovery of X-rays and has since transformed the field of medical imaging. While traditional X-ray imaging provides flat, two-dimensional views of the body, CT creates detailed three-dimensional cross-sectional images by measuring how different tissues absorb X-rays. These absorption patterns reflect the density of tissues, helping to distinguish between structures inside the body. This article explores the core principles behind CT imaging, including the physics of image formation, how tomographic (slice-like) images are produced, and the algorithms used to reconstruct these images from raw data. It also highlights the progression of CT technology, from the early days of single-detector systems that relied on basic rotation and translation movements, to today's advanced multi-detector spiral CT scanners that offer faster scans and clearer images. In addition, we examine newer innovations such as cone-beam CT, commonly used in dental and orthopedic imaging for its high-resolution capabilities; dual-energy CT, which provides better tissue differentiation by using two X-ray energy levels; and quantitative CT, which allows for accurate measurement of tissue properties. With continuous advancements, CT has established itself as an essential diagnostic tool, widely used in areas such as tumor detection and cardiovascular assessment, and it continues to evolve with promising future innovations.

KEYWORDS

Hounsfield Units, X-ray Tube, Collimators, Image Windowing

INTRODUCTION

Tomographic images of the areas of the body produced by the technique of computed tomography in which data processor x-ray beam are used. It is a digital imaging technique that is sectional and creates images unlike conventional radiographic images in some ways that shows cross-sectional digital images throughout the patient's body. This capability represents the most obvious advantage. Such images are often referred to as trans axial images, because they refer to planar sections that are perpendicular to the long axis of the patient. As CT uses a computer to process and reconstruct x-ray transmission data collected from the patient, it is known as a multidisciplinary subject and it is one that is based on physics, mathematics, engineering, and computer science. Several multidetector CT parameters can be changed to reduce radiation dose, also these parameters include detector configuration, tube current, kVp, reconstruction algorithm & pitch. Several dose indications that are for assessing patient irradiation have been defined. These indications take into the account of CT acquisition geometry and principle. Allan M. Cormack, an American physicist of South African origin, published the mathematical computation technique in the early 1960s that allowed the calculation of internal distributions cross-sectional images using attenuation data projection because X-rays penetrated the body at different angles depending on the X-ray source's rotation plus the electronic detector around a three-dimensional object. In 1972, British engineer Godfrey N. Hounsfield developed the first X-ray-based computed tomography scanner. Shortly after, the first units began appearing in hospitals: a head scanner went into service that same year at the Atkinson Morley Hospital in London, while a whole-body model was brought online at Georgetown University Medical Centre in the U.S. four years later, in 1976.

Basic Physical Principles Of Computed Tomography Attenuation Of X-ray Through An Object

Some of the X-ray photons are absorbed as they travel through the sample, while others are sent to the detector. The weakening of an x-ray beam as it passes through material is known as attenuation. A number of variables, including beam energy and the absorber's atomic number, can influence the reduction, which can be brought about by either absorption or deflection (scattering) of photons from the beam. An attenuation coefficient quantifies the quantity of radiation attenuated by a particular absorber thickness. An x-ray beam's quality or

penetrating capabilities are usually described by its half-value layer (HVL). Another parameter used to describe the penetrating ability of a beam is the homogeneity coefficient. Among other things, use of added filtration reduces the intensity of the x-ray beam, increases the HVL, decreases patient exposure, and improves image quality for a given radiation dose.

Image Data Acquisition

A CT image is obtained by placing an patient on a table. The object is rotated around by an X-ray source (within a CT scanner's gantry), and X-rays that pass through it are picked up on the other side. The detections obtained from various perspectives are transmitted to the data acquisition system (DAS). These give tomographic pictures projection data. Basic requirements for CT image acquisition are as follows: 1. One tomographic image must be reconstructed from X-ray projection data of the object obtained at different angles for general 360° (or 180°) rotations, and 2. Patient must be still during the scan and included in each projection dataset.

Image Reconstruction

CT image reconstruction can be accomplished using a variety of techniques, including 3D filtered back projection techniques in spiral CT, matrix inversion, iterative, back projection, analytical, 2D Fourier transformation, and filtered back projection techniques in conventional computed tomography.

CT numbers/Hounsfield Units

In Computed Tomography (CT) imaging, CT numbers—also referred to as Hounsfield Units (HU)—are numerical values that indicate the relative densities of bodily tissues and materials. On a CT scan, these values are essential for differentiating between various tissue types.

What Is A Hounsfield Unit (HU)?

A dimensionless unit used to measure radiodensity is called a Hounsfield Unit. named in honor of the CT scanner's creator, Sir Godfrey Hounsfield.

Tissue/Material	Hounsfield Unit (HU)
Air	-1000
Lung	-500 to -700
Fat	-100 to -50
Water	0

Soft Tissue (e.g. muscle)	+30 to +60
Blood	+30 to +70
Bone (Cortical)	+700 to +3000
Metal (e.g. implants)	> +2000

Formula:

Hounsfield unit formula

$$HU = \left(\frac{\mu_{material} - \mu_{water}}{\mu_{water}} \right) \times 1000$$

μ = CT linear attenuation coefficient

μ_{tissue} = linear attenuation coefficient of the tissue
 μ_{water} = linear attenuation coefficient of water

Water is the reference point (0 HU), and air is assigned -1000 HU.

Why HU Matters in CT Imaging

- Helps distinguish between different types of tissues.
- Aids in diagnosis (e.g., detecting tumors, hemorrhages, lung nodules).
- Useful in planning radiation therapy.
- in identifying calcifications, cysts, or fat-containing lesions.

Components & Developments Of Computed Tomography Scanner

Components of CT Scanner:

X-Ray tube

- Generates X-rays that go through the body of the patient.
- Rotates to take cross-sectional images of the patient from various perspectives

Collimators

- To decrease scatter radiation and increase picture resolution, the X-ray beam should be shaped and narrowed.

Detectors

- After X-rays go through the body, capture them and transform them into electrical signals.
- Solid-state detectors are used in modern CT scanners because of their high speed and efficiency.

Gantry

- Portion in the shape of a doughnut that contains the detectors and X-ray tube.
- Quickly revolves around the patient during scanning

Patient Table (Couch)

- The patient is moved through the gantry by a motorized table.
- Carefully managed to comply with scanning protocols

Computer System (processor for images)

- Uses mathematical methods to reconstruct raw data into images.
- Offers multiplanar reformatted (MPR), 2D, or 3D images.

Control Console

- Interface where technologists input scan parameters and monitor the scan.

Major Developments in CT Technology

Generation	Key Features	Development Highlights
1st Generation	Translate-rotate, 1 detector	Very slow, head scans only
2nd Generation	Multiple detectors, faster	Still limited speed
3rd Generation	Curved array of detectors, rotate-rotate	Most common today
4th Generation	Fixed ring of detectors, rotating X-ray tube	Higher speed, increased cost
5th Generation	Electron beam CT (EBCT)	Very fast, used for cardiac imaging
6th Generation	Helical (spiral) CT	Continuous scanning while patient moves
7th Generation	Multislice/multidetector CT (MDCT)	Multiple slices per rotation (4, 16, 64, 128, 256, 320)

Recent Advances	Dual-source CT, spectral CT, photon-counting CT	Faster, more accurate, lower dose
-----------------	---	-----------------------------------

Emerging Innovations

- **Artificial Intelligence (AI):** For faster image reconstruction and automated diagnosis.
- **Photon-Counting Detectors:** Better resolution, lower radiation dose.
- **Dual-Energy CT (DECT):** Differentiates materials based on their X-ray absorption at two energy levels.
- **Portable CT Scanners:** For ICU, emergency, and intraoperative use.

Clinical Applications Of Computed Tomography Ct Scanning Parameters:

The parameters that determine the features and capabilities of X-ray CT scanners are diverse and closely related to one another. In general, the length of time a CT scan requires depends on the type of examination and also the CT scanner's performance criteria. To minimize artifacts ascribed to movements of the patient that are physiological like the digestive system, peristaltic, respiratory system, as well as others, short scan durations become necessary. The gantry rotation time for a helical CT is the duration needed for the X-ray tube and detector to complete one full rotation (360°) around the target. The advances in technology have shortened the gantry rotation time to values as low as approximately 0.3 seconds.

Computed Tomography Image Noise:

Numerous technical factors affect image quality, which is made up of many different parts. As undesired variations in pixel values in a homogeneous image, noise in CT makes low contrast objects less visible. The way noise affects contrast resolution relies on a number of factors, including operating mode, image display, slice thickness, patient size, reconstruction method, mAs, and kVp. The amount of noise present in CT images affects image reconstruction techniques. Good edge definition is produced via an edge-enhancing algorithm, although noise levels are considerable. Although high resolution algorithms produce more noise, they offer superior spatial resolution. Despite having a reduced noise level, a smoothing method has worse edge definition. With less noise, soft algorithms offer improved contrast detectability. For routine studies, a standard algorithm is normally recommended. Image noise is inversely related to the standard deviation of the beam thickness. Thinner slices increase image noise; however, the visibility of small lesions is improved. Thicker slices generate less noise and better contrast detectability for soft tissues. Thinner slices generate better spatial resolution for bony structures. Therefore, a balance between contrast detectability and the spatial resolution for the diagnostic content should be considered as a function of slice thickness.

Computed Tomography Image Reformating:

The most common application of X-ray CT refers to cross-sectional (axial) images, which are used for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes in various medical fields. The techniques of multiplanar reconstruction (MPR), maximum intensity projection (MIP), minimum intensity projection (MinIP), cine (movie) mode, shaded surface display (SSD, also known as surface rendering), 3D volume rendering, and virtual endoscopy (VE), including angioscopy, bronchoscopy, and colonoscopy, are among the many reformatted image navigation and visualization tools that are specifically built into modern CT workstations. The MPR technique involves the image processing tasks needed to convert thin-slice data from volumetric CT in the axial plane from another plane (such as coronal, sagittal, or oblique) to control the window level (WW) and center the image to view whichever structures needed with the aid of various software and a workstation. The MIP technique is a method for 3D data that projects the voxels with the maximum intensity (highest Hounsfield number) that fall in the way of parallel rays traced from the viewpoint to the plane of projection in the 2D viewing plane to identify all the hyperdense structures (bone or contrast material-filled structures) in a volume. The MinIP technique is a 2D visualization method that enables detection of low-density structures in a specific volume. The MinIP images, mainly used to diagnose lung diseases, are multiplanar slab images produced by displaying only the lowest attenuation value encountered along a ray cast through an object toward the viewing plane. The surface shaded display represents a visualization technique, which is well established for 3D imaging of sectional image data by using edge detection image processing algorithms. A SSD provides a realistic 3D view of the

surface of a structure of interest within the specified volume by selecting attenuation thresholds. The volume rendering is a type of data visualization technique, which creates a 2D projection from 3D imaging of sectional image data by means of a specified software. In volume rendering, transparency, color, and shading are used to allow a better visualization of the volume to be shown in a single image.

Image Windowing:

Computed Tomography (CT) imaging relies on Hounsfield Units (HU) to represent the relative radiodensity of tissues. These values typically range from approximately -1000 HU, corresponding to air, to over +3000 HU for very dense materials such as bone or metal. However, standard medical display systems are limited to 8-bit grayscale, which allows for the representation of only 256 distinct shades of gray at a time. To overcome this limitation, a technique known as windowing is employed. Windowing involves selecting a specific range of HU values—defined by a window width (WW) and a window level (WL)—to map onto the available grayscale spectrum. This approach enhances the visibility and contrast of tissues within the selected HU range, thereby improving diagnostic accuracy for particular anatomical structures or pathological findings.

Radiation Dose In Computed Tomography:

The radiation dose received from CT examinations depends on the type of the CT scanner and examination, the size of the body part examined, and the image acquisition parameters (such as kVp, mA, exposure time, X-ray beam collimation, scan pitch, dose reduction options, etc.)

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the evolution of X-ray computed tomography (CT) has fundamentally changed the way we approach medical imaging, moving from traditional 2D radiographs to detailed 3D views of the human body. What started in the 1960s with Allan Cormack's mathematical foundations and culminated in Sir Godfrey Hounsfield's ground breaking CT scanner, has progressed in leaps and bounds. These advances have been powered by innovations in physics, engineering, and computer science, which have collectively enhanced the precision, speed, and overall effectiveness of CT imaging. The core principles behind CT, such as X-ray attenuation, image reconstruction, and the use of Hounsfield Units, have enabled doctors to accurately distinguish between different tissues and materials within the body. As the technology evolved—from the early, slow, single-detector systems to today's multi-detector and spiral CT scanners—image quality, speed, and diagnostic efficiency have all seen significant improvements. These developments have made it possible for clinicians to visualize the body in unprecedented detail, ultimately speeding up diagnosis and treatment planning. Looking ahead, emerging technologies like dual-energy CT, photon-counting detectors, and artificial intelligence promise even better image quality, reduced radiation exposure, and enhanced diagnostic capabilities. Furthermore, innovations such as portable CT scanners and advanced imaging techniques like 3D volume rendering and multiplanar reconstruction (MPR) are giving doctors new, more versatile tools for understanding complex medical conditions. That said, the journey isn't without its challenges. Reducing radiation doses while managing image noise remains a priority. But as new algorithms and scanning methods continue to develop, these issues will likely be mitigated, ensuring that CT remains at the forefront of modern medicine. Additionally, CT's role is expanding beyond diagnosis—it's becoming increasingly integral to treatment planning, radiation therapy, and even certain interventional procedures. Overall, the story of CT technology is one of continual innovation. With each new advancement, we get closer to more accurate, faster, and patient-friendly imaging that will undoubtedly continue to shape the future of healthcare.

REFERENCES

- Seeram, E. (2010). Computed tomography: physical principles and recent technical advances. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences*, 41(2), 87-109.
- Ohnesorge, B., Flohr, T., Schaller, S., Klingenberg-Regn, K., Becker, C., Schöpf, U. J., ... & Reiser, M. F. (1999). The technical bases and uses of multi-slice CT. *Der Radiologe*, 39(11), 923-931.
- McKetty, M. H. (1998). The AAPM/RSNA physics tutorial for residents. X-ray attenuation. *Radiographics*, 18(1), 151-163.
- Jung, H. (2021). Basic physical principles and clinical applications of computed tomography. *Progress in Medical Physics*, 32(1), 1-17.
- Pfeiffer, D., Pfeiffer, F., & Rummeny, E. (2020). Advanced X-ray imaging technology. *Molecular Imaging in Oncology*, 3-30.
- Wang, G., Yu, H., & De Man, B. (2008). An outlook on x-ray CT research and development. *Medical physics*, 35(3), 1051-1064.
- Beister, M., Kolditz, D., & Kalender, W. A. (2012). Iterative reconstruction methods in X-ray CT. *Physica medica*, 28(2), 94-108.

- Jung, H. (2021). Basic physical principles and clinical applications of computed tomography. *Progress in Medical Physics*, 32(1), 1-17.
- Hsieh, J. (2003). Computed tomography: principles, design, artifacts, and recent advances.
- Hermanek, P., Rathore, J. S., Aloisi, V., & Carmignato, S. (2017). Principles of X-ray computed tomography. In *Industrial X-ray computed tomography* (pp. 25-67). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Kalender, W. A. (2011). Computed tomography: fundamentals, system technology, image quality, applications. John Wiley & Sons.
- Rockett, P., & Wang, G. (2009). The principles of X-ray computed tomography. ABOUT THE EDITOR, 267.
- Buzug, T. M. (2011). Computed tomography. In *Springer handbook of medical technology* (pp. 311-342). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Thanh, D., & Surya, P. (2019). A review on CT and X-ray images denoising methods. *Informatica*, 43(2).
- So, A., & Nicolaou, S. (2020). Spectral computed tomography: fundamental principles and recent developments. *Korean journal of radiology*, 22(1), 86.
- Liu, Y. (2014). Image reconstruction theory and implementation for low-dose X-ray computed tomography (Doctoral dissertation, State University of New York at Stony Brook).
- Hsieh, J., & Flohr, T. (2021). Computed tomography recent history and future perspectives. *Journal of Medical Imaging*, 8(5), 052109-052109.
- Willemink, M. J., & Noël, P. B. (2019). The evolution of image reconstruction for CT—from filtered back projection to artificial intelligence. *European radiology*, 29(5), 2185-2195.
- Wildenschild, D., Vaz, C. M. P., Rivers, M. L., Rikard, D., & Christensen, B. S. B. (2002). Using X-ray computed tomography in hydrology: systems, resolutions, and limitations. *Journal of Hydrology*, 267(3-4), 285-297.
- Sun, W., Symes, D. R., Brenner, C. M., Böhnel, M., Brown, S., Mavrogordato, M. N., ... & Salamon, M. (2022). Review of high energy x-ray computed tomography for non-destructive dimensional metrology of large metallic advanced manufactured components. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 85(1), 016102.
- Danielsson, M., Persson, M., & Sjölin, M. (2021). Photon-counting x-ray detectors for CT. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, 66(3), 03TR01.