ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

Volume - 14 | Issue - 10 | October - 2025 | PRINT ISSN No. 2277 - 8179 | DOI : 10.36106/ijsr

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING (MRI) SAFETY: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

Health Science

Swarn Jeet Singh PG Student (MIT), College of Paramedical Sciences, Adesh University, Bathinda, Punjab-151001, India

ABSTRACT

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) serves as a vital diagnostic and interventional imaging technique, providing exceptional soft-tissue contrast without the use of ionizing radiation. Although it is generally considered safe, MRI entails specific risks associated with strong static magnetic fields, rapidly changing gradient fields, radiofrequency (RF) energy absorption, and acoustic noise. The presence of implantable medical devices, ferromagnetic materials, and contrast agents adds complexity to safety management. This review consolidates existing knowledge regarding MRI safety principles, biological impacts, device interactions, screening techniques, best operational practices, emergency protocols, regulatory guidelines, and innovative technologies aimed at enhancing safety. It offers practical recommendations for radiology departments, MRI technologists, referring physicians, and device manufacturers to reduce risks and ensure the safety of both patients and staff.

KEYWORDS

MRI Safety, RF Heating, SAR, Implants, Screening, Gradient Fields, Ferromagnetic Projectile, Contrast Agents, Occupational Safety

INTRODUCTION

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has revolutionized medical diagnostics by providing high-resolution images of soft tissues, vascular structures, and functional processes. In contrast to imaging modalities that utilize ionizing radiation, MRI employs magnetic fields and radiofrequency pulses to create signals from hydrogen nuclei. Nevertheless, the principles that enable MRI also introduce specific safety risks for both patients and staff. It is crucial to comprehend these risks and to establish comprehensive safety protocols to avert injuries and adverse incidents.

This review addresses the main MRI hazards, their biophysical mechanisms, clinical ramifications, the screening and labeling of implants and devices, safe operational practices, the management of adverse events, and recommendations from regulatory and professional organizations. The objective of this paper is to serve as a practical guide for healthcare professionals engaged with MRI, presenting evidence-based strategies to ensure safety while preserving diagnostic effectiveness.

MRI Physics Relevant to Safety

A basic understanding of MRI hardware and electromagnetic interactions clarifies why specific hazards exist.

- **Static Magnetic Field (B0):** The strong, always-on main magnetic field (commonly 1.5 T or 3.0 T in clinical systems) exerts forces and torques on ferromagnetic objects and can affect orientation-sensitive implants. Spatial gradients in B0 produce translational forces that can accelerate loose ferromagnetic objects.
- **Gradient Magnetic Fields:** Time-varying gradients produce rapid changes in magnetic field strength to encode spatial information. These changing fields can induce electric currents in conductors, including neural tissue, potentially causing peripheral nerve stimulation (PNS) or cardiac stimulation at extreme levels.
- **Radiofrequency (RF) Fields:** RF pulses excite nuclear spins; however, RF energy can be absorbed by tissue, producing heating measured by specific absorption rate (SAR). Conductive implants or loops of conductive material can locally concentrate RF energy, increasing the risk of focal heating and burns.
- **Acoustic Noise:** Rapidly switching gradients generate mechanical vibrations leading to high-decibel acoustic noise, which can cause hearing damage if not mitigated.

Primary MRI Hazards and Mechanisms

1. Projectile (Missile) Hazard

Loose ferromagnetic objects introduced into the MRI environment can become projectiles due to the static field. High-profile incidents have caused severe injury or death. Prevention hinges on rigorous zone-based access control, metal screening, magnet-room policies, and staff training.

2. Heating and Burns

RF energy deposition can raise tissue temperature. The bulk heating effect is estimated by whole-body or partial-body SAR limits; however, the most dangerous scenario arises from local heating where conductive materials (implants, electrodes, monitoring leads, or even certain clothing) create concentrated current paths. Contact points between the patient and conductive surfaces (e.g., bore, coil housings) can become sites of focal heating.

3. Peripheral Nerve Stimulation (PNS)

Rapid gradient switching may induce voltages in peripheral nerves leading to twitching or discomfort. Modern scanners are designed to operate below established PNS thresholds for typical clinical sequences, but high-performance gradient systems and certain advanced sequences (e.g., ultra-fast imaging, diffusion gradients) increase the risk.

4. Acoustic Injury

Noise levels in MRI suites, particularly during echo-planar imaging or high-performance sequences, can exceed 100 dB. Without hearing protection, patients and staff may sustain temporary or permanent hearing loss. Ear protection and sound-dampening measures are mandatory.

5. Device and Implant Interactions

A rapidly expanding challenge is imaging patients with implanted devices (e.g., pacemakers, neurostimulators, cochlear implants, aneurysm clips, metallic orthopedic hardware). Interactions include:

- Displacement and torque due to magnetic forces.
- Device malfunctions from gradients or RF interference.
- Heating of device leads causing tissue injury.

Device labeling standards and testing (e.g., MRI-conditional labeling) guide safe imaging of patients with implants.

6. Contrast Agent Risks

Gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) improve lesion detection and characterization but carry risks such as allergic reactions and, in rare cases, nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) in patients with severe renal impairment. The balance of diagnostic benefit versus risk must be assessed, and renal function considered prior to GBCA administration.

7. Claustrophobia and Physiological Stress

Confined space, noise, and anxiety can precipitate claustrophobia or vasovagal responses. Pre-scan counseling, anxiolytics when necessary, and use of open magnets or wide-bore systems can reduce adverse events.

Screening and Risk Assessment

Effective MRI safety begins well before the patient enters the magnet room.

- Pre-scan questionnaires should elicit history of implants, prior surgeries, occupational exposures (e.g., bullet fragments), tattoos, and metal fragments (particularly for personnel with ocular metal exposure from welding).
- Physical screening and use of handheld metal detectors or ferromagnetic detection systems can detect concealed metallic objects. These tools complement, but do not replace, comprehensive screening interviews.
- Device verification: For implants, obtain device identification (model and manufacturer) and manufacturer-provided MR-conditional testing information. If device details are unknown, a risk–benefit discussion with the referring clinician and, when appropriate, consultation with cardiology, neurosurgery, or device specialists is advised.

Device Labeling and Terminology

Standardized terminology simplifies clinical decision-making:

- **MR Safe:** The item poses no known hazards in all MRI environments.
- **MR Conditional:** The item is safe in specified MRI conditions (e.g., static field strength, spatial gradient limits, and SAR limits). Conditions must be followed exactly.
- **MR Unsafe:** The item is known to pose hazards and must not enter the MRI environment.

Clinics must maintain searchable databases of common implants and their labeling, along with contact details for manufacturers.

Operational Safety Practices

Zoning and Access Control

MRI facilities commonly implement a four-zone model:

- **Zone I:** General public area.
- **Zone II:** Interface between public and controlled areas; initial screening occurs here.
- **Zone III:** Restricted area with potential hazards; access limited to screened patients and trained personnel.
- **Zone IV:** The MRI scanner room itself.

Strict control of access and clear signage reduce unauthorized entry and projectile incidents.

Staff Training and Competencies

All staff with access to Zones III/IV require documented MRI safety training tailored to their roles (screening, patient monitoring, emergency response). Annual refresher training and drills reinforce competence.

Patient Preparation and Positioning

Remove all removable metallic objects (jewelry, watches, credit cards, some clothing). Verify that monitoring leads, IV tubing, and oxygen delivery systems are MRI-compatible or are positioned to minimize loops and avoid contact with the patient's skin.

Monitoring and Communication

Continuous visual and auditory contact between technologist and patient is essential. Use of MRI-compatible monitoring devices (ECG, pulse oximetry) is necessary for sedated or high-risk patients; however, staff should be aware of possible interference and artefacts caused by monitoring equipment.

Emergency Procedures

Magnet quench, cardiac arrest, or fire in the magnet room requires pre-defined response plans. Importantly, accelerating a magnet quench is rarely the first-line response due to safety, cost, and environmental considerations (helium release). Staff should be trained in safe patient removal and basic life support within the constraints of the magnetic environment.

Special Populations and Considerations

Patients with Cardiac Implantable Electronic Devices (CIEDs)

Historically, pacemakers and defibrillators were absolute contraindications. Advances have produced MR-conditional CIEDs and protocols enabling MRI under strict conditions. Imaging should follow device-specific instructions, involve the device team pre- and post-scan, and ensure reprogramming if required.

Pregnancy

MRI during pregnancy is generally considered safe when clinically justified, especially after the first trimester. GBCAs are typically avoided unless absolutely necessary due to potential fetal exposure; when used, lowest effective dose should be administered and maternal renal function considered.

Pediatric Patients

Children may require sedation or anesthesia for MRI. Sedation increases risk and necessitates MRI-compatible monitoring and personnel trained in pediatric anesthesia. Strategies to avoid or minimize sedation include faster sequences, audiovisual distraction, and child-friendly preparatory programs.

Regulatory Standards and Professional Guidelines

Multiple organizations publish MRI safety guidance, including international electrotechnical standards and professional societies' practice guidelines. These standards address SAR limits, device testing, labeling, acoustic noise limits, and facility design for safety (e.g., controlled access and emergency planning). MRI units should align local policies with these authoritative recommendations.

Emerging Technologies and Practices to Improve Safety

- MR-conditional implants and device testing standards have expanded the pool of patients who can be safely scanned.
- Advanced RF coil designs and SAR monitoring reduce whole-body and local heating.
- Active ferromagnetic detection systems provide an added layer of precaution to mitigate projectile risk.
- Acoustic noise reduction technologies and silent MRI sequences lower sound pressure levels.
- Artificial intelligence is being explored to predict and flag sequences with high PNS risk or to optimize sequences for lower SAR while maintaining image quality.

Recommended Protocols and Practical Checklist

A concise pre-scan checklist improves safety compliance:

1. Confirm clinical indication and weigh benefits vs. risks.
2. Complete detailed MRI safety questionnaire and verify with the patient.
3. Review known implants, obtain device identification, and verify MR-conditional status.
4. Remove all removable metallic objects; screen clothing for hidden metals.
5. Position patient to avoid loops of monitoring lines and minimize skin-to-skin contact across conductors.
6. Provide hearing protection and explain noise expectations.
7. Ensure MRI-compatible monitoring and emergency equipment are ready if required.
8. Document device programming changes and post-scan verification when applicable.

Case Examples (Illustrative)

- **Projectile Near-miss:** A small oxygen cylinder not intended for MRI entered the magnet room causing rapid attraction to the bore; no injury occurred due to quick intervention. This underscores the need for strict equipment policies and staff vigilance.
- **Local Burn From Monitoring Leads:** A patient with standard ECG leads developed focal erythema after a torso scan; later investigation revealed looped leads and skin contact points that concentrated RF energy.
- **Imaging an MR-conditional Pacemaker:** Successful imaging was performed following device interrogation, temporary reprogramming, adherence to scanner-specific limits, and post-scan re-evaluation by cardiology.

DISCUSSION

MRI safety is multifactorial — technology, human factors, institutional processes, and regulatory frameworks all play roles. While modern equipment and MR-conditional device labeling have reduced some risks, the increasing complexity of implanted devices and the push for higher-field systems and faster gradient performance create ongoing challenges. Continuous education, robust screening, and adherence to consensus guidelines are foundational to risk mitigation.

Healthcare facilities should cultivate a culture of safety where technologists, radiologists, referring clinicians, biomedical engineering, and device manufacturers collaborate. Incident reporting and root-cause analysis of adverse events enable system improvements.

CONCLUSION

MRI is still a strong, non-ionizing imaging method that has a good safety record when proper precautions are taken. Grasping the physics of MRI-related risks, implementing consistent screening and labeling methods, ensuring staffs are skilled, and adhering to operational procedures greatly reduce the chance of harm. As MRI technology and the systems of implanted devices develop, continuous attention, research, and revisions to practice guidelines will be crucial for maintaining and enhancing safety.

REFERENCES

1. American College of Radiology. ACR Guidance Document on MR Safe Practices.
2. International Electrotechnical Commission. IEC 60601-2-33: Medical electrical equipment — Particular requirements for the basic safety and essential performance of

magnetic resonance equipment for medical diagnosis.
3. Shellock FG. Magnetic Resonance Procedures: Health Effects and Safety.
4. Kanal E. MRI Safety: Updates and Controversies.
5. FDA. Medical Device Safety Communications and Guidance relevant to MRI.