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## INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY:

The modern history of fast food is connected with the history of the hamburger, as the earliest fast- food outlets sold hamburger as their primary product. The American company white castle is generally credited with opening the fast- food outlet in 1921, selling hamburgers for five cents a piece. White castle was successful from its inception and spawned numerous competitors.

McDonald's largest fast -food chain in the world and the brand most associated with the term "fast-food", did not appear until 1948 when a hot dog stand under the McDonald's brand name opened in San Diego. Ray Kroc, the distributor of an industrial milkshake mixer that the original McDonald's used, joined the founders of McDonald's in 1954 and began expanding the franchise. Wendy's, founded in 1972, is credited with pioneering the use of the "drive thru" window to allow consumers to purchase fast food without having to park or exit their cars.

## THE "FAST" IN FAST FOOD:

Fast food outlets are take- away or take-out providers, often with a "drive thru" service which allows customers to order and pick up food from theirs cars; but most also have a seating area in which customers can eat the food on the premises.

Finger food comprises most fast food and can be eaten without cutlery. Fast-food includes fish and chips, sandwiches, pizzas, hamburgers, fried chicken, French fries, chicken nuggets, tacos etc...

## FOOD PREPARATION:

Fast food is often highly processed and prepared in an industrial fashion, i.e. with standard ingredients and methodical and standardized cooking and production methods. It is usually rapidly served in cartons or bags or in a plastic wrapping in a fashion with minimum cost.

## INTRODUCTION TO THE VARIABLES:

A Fast food outlet generally refers to the type of restaurants that sell quick, inexpensive take-out food. Fast-food is often laden with extra calories, saturated fat and sodium. It is possible, however, for quick meals to be nutritious,

A Fast food restaurant is a restaurant characterized both by food which is supplied quickly after ordering and by minimal service. Food purchased may or may not be eaten quickly as well. Often this food is referred to as fast-food. In response to increase backlash against " fast-food", the industry has been trying to move the public away from that term over the past five years, shifting to the term quick service restaurant (QSR). Consumers still refer to restaurant despite the industry efforts to change them.

## NEED FOR THE STUDY:

There has been a rise in the consumption of fast food and
also there is an expected rise in the growth of the fast food industry in the coming years. This study has been carried out so that the actual needs and preferences of the public are found out through the questionnaires provided to them and suggestions can be made to the owners of the fast food outlets for their improvement.

This study might help the owners of the fast food outlets to increase the customer satisfaction in the future. The findings of the study as well as the suggestions given by the public if implemented are sure to benefit the fast food industry and also satisfy the customers.

## OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:

To analyze the customers opinion towards fast foods.
To analyze the customer service rendered at the fast food outlets.
To know the customers awareness about the various fast food and fast food outlets in the city
To offer suggestions for the improvement of the fast food outlets and the fast food industry as a whole.
To know the attitude of the customers towards fast food consumption and their expectations from the outlets.

## SCOPE OF THE STUDY:

Marketers must study their target customer's wants, perceptions, preferences and buying behavior. Such study will provide clues for developing new varieties, features, prices, channels, messages, and other marketing mix elements in the fast food industry.

An analysis of consumer's attitude is a pre-requisite for the decision makers of a planned economy because it enables them to have an understanding of the current and future demand to match and supply with changing pattern of demand.

## LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY :

The following are the limitations of the study:
The respondent of this study belongs to Coimbatore city. The limitation of convenience sampling method is applied to this study.
The time period of the study was limited

## METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY:

## i. Area of the study:

The area of the study refers to Coimbatore city.

## ii. Reason for the area of study:

The city of "COIMBATORE" is called as "MANCHESTER OF SOUTH INDIA" with a salubrious climate. The city is endowed with a large number of educational institutions, textile mills, foundries and agro based industries. So it is easy to reach Coimbatore. It is the third largest city in Tamilnadu.

## iii. Source of data:

The study used only primary data. The data was collected
from 150 respondents by using questionnaire method. The questionnaire had been prepared in such a way that the respondents were able to answer in useful manner.

## iv. Sampling design:

For the purpose of the study, 150 respondents were selected.Convienence sampling method was administered in this study.
v. Tools for analysis:

The following statistical tools were used in the study.
a) Percentage analysis
b) Chi- square analysis
c) Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

## ANALYSIS AND INTER PRETATION:

TABLE: 1
Table showing age distribution of respondents

| S.NO | AGE | NO OF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $18-20$ | 38 | 25.3 |
| 2 | $20-25$ | 45 | 30 |
| 3 | $25-35$ | 36 | 24 |
| 4 | $35 \& A B O V E$ | 31 | 20.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were in the age group of 2025 years (30\%)

TABLE2
Table showing gender distribution of respondents

| S.NO | GENDER | NO OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | FEMALE | 52 | 34.7 |
| 2 | MALE | 98 | 65.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were males (65.3\%)
TABLE 3
Table showing occupational distribution of respondents

| S.NO | OCCUPTION | NO OF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | STUDENT | 57 | 38 |
| 2 | EMPLOYED | 28 | 18.7 |
| 3 | BUSINESS | 38 | 25.3 |
| 4 | HOUSEWIFE | 27 | 18 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were students (38\%)
TABLE 4
Table showing marital status of respondents

| S.NO | MARITAL <br> STATUS | NOOF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | MARRIED | 61 | 40.7 |
| 2 | UNMARRIED | 89 | 59.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were unmarried (59.3\%)
TABLE 5
Table showing monthly income of respondents

| S.NO | MONTHLY <br> INCOME | NOOF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BELOW 5000 | 12 | 8 |
| 2 | $5000-10000$ | 47 | 31.3 |
| 3 | $10000-20000$ | 46 | 30.7 |
| 4 | 20000\&ABOVE | 45 | 30 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were in the income group of 5000-10000(31.3\%)

TABLE6
Table showing the source of awareness of the Respondents about fast food outlets

| S.NO | SOURCE | NO OF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | FRIENDS | 99 | 66 |
| 2 | RELATIVES | 23 | 15.3 |
| 3 | OTHERS | 28 | 18.7 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were aware of the outlets through friends (66\%)

TABLE 7
Table showing the source of awareness of the Respondents About fast food outlets through media

| $\mathrm{S} .$ | SOURCE OF AWARENESS | NO OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PRINT MEDIA | 40 | 26.7 |
| 2 | OUTDOR MEDIA | 39 | 26 |
| 3 | BROADCAST MEDIA | 36 | 24 |
| 4 | DISPLAY MEDIA | 26 | 17.3 |
| 5 | INTERNET | 9 | 6 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were aware of the outlets through print media (26.7\%)

TABLE 8
Table showing awareness of the Respondents About fast food outlets of respondents

| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \mathrm{S} . \\ \mathrm{NO} \end{array}$ | NAME OF THE OUTLET | NO OF RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOMINOS | 10 | 6.67 |
| 2 | NILGIRIS | 24 | 16 |
| 3 | BLUE BELLS | 20 | 13.33 |
| 4 | HOT CHIPS | 18 | 12 |
| 5 | CARAMEL | 22 | 14.67 |
| 6 | MARRY BROWN | 16 | 10.67 |
| 7 | ADAYAR ANANDA BAAVAN | 26 | 17.33 |
| 8 | PIZZA HUT | 11 | 7.33 |
| 9 | RICH FOODS | 3 | 2 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were aware of adayar anandha bhavan (17.33\%)

TABLE 9
Table showing respondent's preference towards fast food outlets

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} . \\ & \mathrm{NO} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | NAME OF THE OUTLET | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NO} \mathrm{OF} \\ & \text { RESPONDENTS } \end{aligned}$ | PERCENTAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOMINOS | 12 | 8 |
| 2 | NILGIRIS | 29 | 19.3 |
| 3 | BLUE BELLS | 20 | 13.3 |
| 4 | HOT CHIPS | 11 | 7.3 |
| 5 | CARAMEL | 22 | 14.7 |
| 6 | MARRY BROWN | 9 | 6 |
| 7 | ADAYAR ANANDA BAAVAN | 31 | 20.7 |
| 8 | PIZZA HUT | 10 | 6.7 |
| 9 | RICH FOODS | 6 | 4 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were prefer of adayar anandha bhavan (20.7\%)

TABLE 10
Table showing factors influencing the choice of particular outlets

| S.NO | FACTORS | NO OF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PLACE OF <br> OUTLET | 17 | 11.33 |
| 2 | POPULARITY | 16 | 10.67 |
| 3 | QUALITY | 18 | 12 |
| 4 | QUANTITY | 13 | 8.67 |
| 5 | QUICK SERVICE | 15 | 10 |
| 6 | VARIETY | 20 | 13.33 |
| 7 | TASTE | 21 | 14 |
| 8 | AMBIENCE | 11 | 7.33 |
| 9 | HYGIENCE | 19 | 12.67 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were choose taste as the factor influencing the choice of a particular outlet (14\%)

TABLE11
Table showing the amount spent on fast food every month

| S. <br> NO | AMOUNT | NO OF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BELOW 200 | 52 | 34.7 |
| 2 | $200-300$ | 41 | 27.3 |
| 3 | $300-500$ | 39 | 26 |
| 4 | $500 \& A B O V E$ | 18 | 12 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were spend below Rs. 200 on fast foods per month (34.7\%)

TABLE 12
Table showing the reasons for visiting any fast food outlets

| S.NO | REASON | NO OF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | TASTE | 124 | 82.7 |
| 2 | SERVICE | 20 | 13.3 |
| 3 | PRESTIGE | 6 | 4 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents visit the fast food outlet for taste (82.7\%)

TABLE 13
Table showing favorite fast food items of the respondents

| S. | FAST FOOD ITEM | NO OF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PIZZA | 24 | 16 |
| 2 | BURGER | 10 | 6.67 |
| 3 | SANDWICH | 20 | 13.33 |
| 4 | CHATS | 30 | 20 |
| 5 | CUTLETS | 26 | 17.33 |
| 6 | SPRING ROLLS | 18 | 12 |
| 7 | FRENCH FRIES | 22 | 14.67 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents prefer chat items (20\%)

## TABLE 14

Table showing the reason for choosing the favorite fast food items of respondents

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} \\ & \mathrm{NO} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | REASON | NO OF | PERCENTAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | COST | 20 | 13.33 |
| 2 | TASTE | 32 | 21.33 |
| 3 | FLAVOUR | 25 | 16.67 |


| 4 | LESS <br> PREPARATION <br> TIME | 23 | 15.33 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | FAMILIARITY | 10 | 6.67 |
| 6 | QUALITY | 21 | 14 |
| 7 | QUANTITY | 19 | 12.67 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents choose taste as reason for the choice of favorite fast food item ( $21.33 \%$ )

TABLE 15
Table showing the unfavorable factors experienced By the respondents of respondents

| S.NO | FACTOR | NO OF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | NOT HYGIENIC | 25 | 34.7 |
| 2 | VERY COSTLY | 47 | 31.3 |
| 3 | BAD TASTE | 19 | 12.7 |
| 4 | PROBLEM IN <br> PRESERVING | 32 | 21.3 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents choose non hygiene as the unfavorable factor (34.7\%)

TABLE 16
Table showing the satisfaction level of the service rendered of respondents

| S. | FACTOR | NOOF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | SATISFIED | 81 | 54 |
| 2 | HIGHLY SATISFIED | 15 | 10 |
| 3 | NEUTRAL | 2 | 1.3 |
| 4 | DISSATISFIED | 1 | .7 |
| 5 | HIGHLYSATISFIED | 51 | 34 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were satisfied with the service rendered in fast food outlets (54\%)

TABLE 17
Table showing the aspects to be improved regarding the fast food

| S. <br> NO | FACTOR | NO OF <br> RESPONDENTS | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | HYGIENE | 42 | 28 |
| 2 | TASTE | 18 | 12 |
| 3 | QUALITY | 33 | 22 |
| 4 | QUANTITY | 10 | 6.67 |
| 5 | ADVERTISEMENT | 9 | 6 |
| 6 | REASONABLE <br> COST | 38 | 25.33 |
|  | TOTAL | 150 | 100 |

The majority of the respondents were satisfied with the service rendered in fast food outlets (54\%)

TABLE 18
Table showing an opinion about age and
Reasons for choosing the favorite fast food items

| S. | REASON | $18-20$ | $20-25$ | $25-35$ | $35 \&$ <br> ABOVE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | COST | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 20 |
| 2 | TASTE | 13 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 32 |
| 3 | FLAVOUR | 10 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 25 |
| 4 | LESS |  |  |  |  |  |
| PREPARATION | 5 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 23 |  |
| 5 | TIME |  |  |  |  |  |

The majority of the respondents belonging to the age group between $20-25$ years (30\%) prefer taste as a reason for choosing the favorite fast food item

TABLE 19
Table showing an opinion about gender and
Reasons for choosing the favorite fast food items

| S.NO | REASON | FEMALE | MALE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | COST | 9 | 11 | 20 |
| 2 | TASTE | 10 | 22 | 32 |
| 3 | FLAVOUR | 10 | 15 | 25 |
| 4 | LESS PREPARATION | 8 | 15 | 23 |
| 5 | TIME | FAMILIARITY | 6 | 4 |
| 6 | QUALITY | 5 | 16 | 21 |
| 7 | QUANTITY | 4 | 15 | 19 |
|  | TOTAL | 52 | 98 | 150 |

The majority of the male respondents ( $65.3 \%$ ) prefer taste as a reason for choosing the favorite fast food item

TABLE 20
Table showing an opinion about monthly income and Reasons for choosing the favorite fast food items

| S. <br> NO | REASON | BELOW <br> 5000 | $5000-$ <br> 10000 | $10000-$ <br> 20000 | $20000 \&$ <br> ABOVE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$|$| 1 | COST | 2 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | TASTE | 3 | 10 | 11 | 8 |
| 3 | FLAVOUR | 2 | 6 | 10 | 7 |
| 4 | LESS <br> PREPARATION <br> TIME | 1 | 4 | 10 | 8 |
| 5 | FAMILIARITY | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 6 | QUALITY | 1 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| 7 | QUANTITY | 1 | 7 | 4 | 7 |
|  | TOTAL | 12 | 47 | 46 | 45 |

The majority of the respondents belonging to the income group between 5000-10000(31.3\%) prefer taste as a reason for choosing the favorite fast food item

TABLE 21
Table showing an opinion about age and
Service rendered in the fast food outlets

| S. | SERVICVE | $18-20$ | $20-25$ | $25-35$ |  <br> ABOVE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | SATISFIED | 20 | 22 | 20 | 19 | 81 |
| 2 | HIGHLY | SATISFIED | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| 3 | NEUTRAL | 13 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 51 |
| 4 | DISSATISFIED | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| 5 | HIGHLY <br> DISSATISFIED | - | - | - | 1 | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 38 | 45 | 36 | 31 | 150 |

The majority of the respondents belonging to the age group between $20-25$ years $(30 \%)$ are satisfied with the service rendered in fast food outlets

## TABLE 22

Table showing an opinion about gender and
Service rendered in the fast food outlets

| S. | SERVICVE | FEMALE | MALE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | SATISFIED | 12 | 69 | 81 |
| 2 | HIGHLY SATISFIED | 6 | 9 | 15 |
| 3 | NEUTRAL | 33 | 18 | 51 |
| 4 | DISSATISFIED | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | HIGHLY DISSATISFIED | 1 | - | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 52 | 98 | 150 |

The majority of the male respondents (65.3\%) are satisfied with the service rendered in fast food outlets.

TABLE 23
Table showing an opinion about monthly income and Service rendered in the fast food outlets

| S. <br> NO | SERVICVE | BELOW <br> 5000 | $5000-$ <br> 10000 | $10000-$ <br> 20000 | $20000 \% \&$ <br> ABOVE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | SATISFIED | 8 | 29 | 26 | 18 | 81 |
| 2 | HIGHLY <br> SATISFIED | - | 2 | 4 | 9 | 15 |
| 3 | NEUTRAL | 4 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 51 |
| 4 | DISSATISFIED | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| 5 | HIGHLY <br> DISSATISFIED | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
|  | TOTAL | 12 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 150 |

The majority of the respondents belonging to the income group 5000-10000(31.3\%) are satisfied with the service rendered in fast food outlets

TABLE 24
Table showing an opinion about monthly income and
The amount spent on fast food every month

| S. <br> NO | AMOUNT <br> SPENT | BELOW <br> 5000 | $5000-$ <br> 10000 | $10000-$ <br> 20000 | $20000 \&$ <br> ABOVE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BELOW 200 | 2 | 21 | 16 | 13 | 81 |
| 2 | $200-300$ | 5 | 15 | 14 | 7 | 15 |
| 3 | $300-500$ | 5 | 10 | 7 | 17 | 51 |
| 4 | $500 \& A B O V E$ | - | 1 | 9 | 8 | 2 |
|  | TOTAL | 12 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 150 |

The majority of the respondents belonging to the income group 5000-10000(31.3\%) spend below Rs. 200 on fast foods every month (34.7\%)

TABLE 25
Table showing an opinion about gender and The amount spent on fast food every month

| S. <br> NO | AMOUNT SPENT | FEMALE | MALE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BELOW 200 | 23 | 29 | 52 |
| 2 | $200-300$ | 11 | 30 | 41 |
| 3 | $300-500$ | 10 | 29 | 39 |
| 4 | $500 \& A B O V E$ | 8 | 10 | 18 |
|  | TOTAL | 52 | 98 | 150 |

The majority of the male respondents (65.3\%) spend below Rs. 200 on fast foods every month (34.7\%)

TABLE 26
Table showing an opinion about occupation and The amount spent on fast food every month

| $\mathrm{S} .$ | AMOUNT SPENT | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\underset{\sim}{u}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{5} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \stackrel{\infty}{\mathrm{L}} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BELOW 200 | 20 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 52 |
| 2 | 200-300 | 17 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 41 |
| 3 | 300-500 | 13 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 39 |
| 4 | 500\&ABOVE | 7 | - | 8 | 3 | 18 |
|  | TOTAL | 57 | 28 | 38 | 27 | 150 |

The majority of the respondents belonging to the category of students (38\%) spend below Rs. 200 on fast foods every month

TABLE 27
Table showing an opinion about occupation and Factors influencing the choice of particular outlets

| S. <br> NO | FACTOR | Stu- <br> dent | em- <br> ployed | busi- <br> ness | House <br> wife | percent- <br> age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PLACE OF <br> OUTLET | 6 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 17 |
| 2 | POPULARITY | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 16 |
| 3 | QUALITY | 7 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 18 |
| 4 | QUANTITY | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 13 |
| 5 | QUICK <br> SERVICE | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 15 |
| 6 | VARIETY | 10 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 20 |
| 7 | TASTE | 5 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 21 |
| 8 | AMBIENCE | 5 | 4 | 2 | - | 11 |
| 9 | HYGIENCE | 9 | 3 | 7 | - | 19 |
|  | TOTAL | 27 | 28 | 38 | 27 | 150 |

The majority of the respondents belonging to the category of students (38\%) prefer taste as a factor influencing choice of particular outlet

## TABLE 28

Table showing an opinion about gender and Factors influencing the choice of particular outlets

| S. | FACTOR | FEMALE | MALE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PLACE OF OUTLET | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| 2 | POPULARITY | 5 | 11 | 16 |
| 3 | QUALITY | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| 4 | QUANTITY | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| 5 | QUICK SERVICE | 5 | 10 | 15 |
| 6 | VARIETY | 4 | 16 | 20 |
| 7 | TASTE | 6 | 15 | 21 |
| 8 | AMBIENCE | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| 9 | HYGIENCE | 7 | 12 | 19 |
|  | TOTAL | 52 | 98 | 150 |

The majority of the male respondents ( $65.3 \%$ ) prefer taste as a factor influencing choice of particular outlet

TABLE 29
Table showing an opinion about monthly income and Factors influencing the choice of particular outlets

| S. | FACTOR | BELOW <br> 5000 | $5000-$ <br> 10000 | $10000-$ <br> 20000 | $20000 \&$ <br> ABOVE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PLACEOF | 2 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 17 |
| 2 | PUTLET | 2 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 16 |
| 3 | QUALITY | 1 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 18 |
| 4 | QUANTITY | 2 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 13 |
| 5 | QUICK | 3 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 15 |
|  | SERVICE | 3 | 1 | 7 |  |  |
| 6 | VARIETY | 1 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 20 |
| 7 | TASTE | 1 | 8 | 12 | - | 21 |
| 8 | AMBIENCE | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 11 |
| 9 | HYGIENCE | - | 5 | 1 | 13 | 19 |
|  | TOTAL | 12 | 47 | 46 | 45 | 150 |

The majority of the respondents belonging to the income group 5000-10000(31.3\%) prefer taste as a factor influencing choice of particular outlet.

## CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS:

1. General opinion about reason for choosing the favorite fast food item.

## Hypothesis:

The personal factors of the respondents have no significant
influence over the factors about the reason for choosing the favorite fast food item.

The factors are classified as
> Cost
$>$ Taste
> Flavor
> Less preparation time
> Familiarity
> Quantity
> Quality
Personal factor \& general opinion about reason for choosing the favorite fast food item.

| S. <br> NO | PERSONAL <br> FACTOR | CALCULATED <br> VALUE | TABLE <br> VALUE | SIGNIFICANT/ <br> INSIGNIFICANT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | AGE | 30.07 | 28.9 | SIGNIFICANT |
| 2 | GENDER | 6.49 | 12.6 | INSIGNIFICANT |
| 3 | INCOME | 10.33 | 28.9 | INSIGNIFICANT |

## Interpretation:

It is revealed from the table that the above formulated hypothesis the personal factor age group was rejected. In other cases of the personal factors (income and gender) the hypothesis was accepted. Thus the age group of the sample respondents shall influence over the factors about the reason for choosing the favorite fast food item
2. General opinion about the satisfaction of service rendered in fast food outlets.

## Hypothesis:

The personal factors of the respondents have no significant influence over the factors about the satisfaction of service rendered in fast food outlets

The factors are classified as
> Satisfied
> Highly satisfied
> Neutral
> Dissatisfied
> Highly Dissatisfied
Personal factor \& general opinion about the satisfaction of service rendered in fast food outlets.

| S. | PERSONAL | CALCULATED | TABLE <br> VALE | SIGNIFICANT/ <br> VACTOR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VALUE |  |  |  |  |

## Interpretation:

It is revealed from the table that the above formulated hypothesis the personal factor gender was rejected. In other cases of the personal factors (income and age) the hypothesis was accepted. Thus the gender of the sample respondents shall influence the satisfaction of service rendered in fast food outlets

## 3. General opinion about the amount spent on fast food

 item per month.
## Hypothesis:

The personal factors of the respondents have no significant influence over the factors about the amount spent on fast food item per month.

The factors are classified as
> Below 200
> 200-300
> 300-500
> 500 \& above
Personal factor \& general opinion about the amount spent on
fast food item per month.

| S. <br> NO | PERSONAL <br> FACTOR | CALCULATED <br> VALUE | TABLE <br> VALUE | SIGNIFICANT/ <br> INSIGNIFICANT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | GENDER | 5.88 | 7.81 | INSIGNIFICANT |
| 2 | INCOME | 22.93 | 16.9 | SIGNIFICANT |
| 3 | OCCUPATION | 15.99 | 16.9 | INSIGNIFICANT |

## Interpretation:

It is revealed from the table that the above formulated hypothesis the personal factor income was rejected. In other cases of the personal factors (occupation and gender) the hypothesis was accepted. Thus the income of the sample respondents shall influence the amount spent on fast food item every month.
4. General opinion about the factors influencing choice of particular outlet.

## Hypothesis:

The personal factors of the respondents have no significant influence over the factors influencing choice of particular outlet

## The factors are classified as

> Place of outlet
> Popularity
> Quality
> Quantity
> Quick service
> Variety
> Taste
> Ambience
> Hygiene factor
Personal factor \& general opinion about the factors influencing choice of particular outlet

| S. <br> NO | PERSONAL | CALCULATED <br> FACTOR | TABLE <br> VALUE | SIGNIFICANT/ <br> INSIGNIFICANT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | occupation | 27.09 | 36.4 | INSIGNIFICANT |
| 2 | GENDER | 5.86 | 15.5 | INSIGNIFICANT |
| 3 | INCOME | 45.16 | 36.4 | SIGNIFICANT |

## Interpretation:

It is revealed from the table that the above formulated hypothesis the personal factor income was rejected. In other cases of the personal factors (occupation and gender) the hypothesis was accepted. Thus the age group of the sample respondents shall influence over the factors about the reason for choosing the favorite fast food item

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE :( TWO-WAY ANOVA)

1. Table showing an opinion about age and

Reasons for choosing the favorite fast food items
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { S. } \\ \text { NO }\end{array} & \text { REASON } & 18-20 & 20-25 & 25-35 & \begin{array}{l}35 \& \\ \text { ABOVE }\end{array} & \text { TOTAL } \\ \hline 1 & \text { COST } & 3 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 20 \\ \hline 2 & \text { TASTE } & 13 & 10 & 8 & 1 & 32 \\ \hline 3 & \text { FLAVOUR } & 10 & 9 & 4 & 2 & 25 \\ \hline 4 & \begin{array}{l}\text { LESS } \\ \\ \hline\end{array} \text { TREPARATION }\end{array}\right) 5$

Table showing an opinion about reasons for choosing the favorite fast food items with the age groups

| SOURCE OF <br> VARIATION | SUM OF <br> SQUARE | DEGREE OF <br> FREEDOM | MEAN <br> SQUARE | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BETWEEN <br> COLUMNS | 13 | 3 | 4.33 | .649 |
| BETWEEN <br> ROWS | 65 | 6 | 11 | 1.649 |
| RESIDUAL | 120 | 18 | 6.67 |  |
| TOTAL | 198 | 27 |  |  |

## Interpretation:

## Between columns:

Degree of freedom $(3,18)$
Table value of $\mathrm{F}=3.1599$
Calculated value of $\mathrm{F}=.649$ which is less than the table value
Therefore we accept the hypothesis that there is no significant difference between the age groups.

## Between rows:

Degree of freedom $(6,18)$
Table value of $\mathrm{F}=2.6613$
Calculated value of $\mathrm{F}=1.649$ which is less than the table value

Therefore we accept the hypothesis that there is no significant difference between the reasons for choosing the favorite fast food items.
2. Table showing an opinion about gender and The amount spent on fast food every month

| S.NO | AMOUNT <br> SPENT | FEMALE | MALE | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BELOW 200 | 23 | 29 | 52 |
| 2 | $200-300$ | 11 | 30 | 41 |
| 3 | $300-500$ | 10 | 29 | 39 |
| 4 | 500\&ABOVE | 8 | 10 | 18 |
|  | TOTAL | 52 | 98 | 150 |

Table showing an opinion about gender and the amount spent on fast food Every month

| SOURCE OF <br> VARIATION | SUM OF <br> SQUARE | DEGREE OF <br> FREEDOM | MEAN <br> SQUARE | F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BETWEEN <br> COLUMNS | 265 | 1 | 265 | 2.5 |
| BETWEEN <br> ROWS | 101 | 3 | 33.67 | .3176 |
| RESIDUAL | 318 | 3 | 106 |  |
| TOTAL | 684 | 7 |  |  |

## Interpretation:

## Between columns:

Degree of freedom (1,3)
Table value of $\mathrm{F}=10.128$
Calculated value of $\mathrm{F}=2.5$ which is less than the table value
Therefore we accept the hypothesis that there is no significant difference between the genders

## Between rows:

Degree of freedom (3, 3)
Table value of $\mathrm{F}=9.276$

Calculated value of $\mathrm{F}=.3176$ which is less than the table value

Therefore we accept the hypothesis that there is no significant difference between the amounts spent on fast food items every month

## FINDINGS:

* The majority of the respondents were in the age group of 20-25 years (30\%)
* The majority of the respondents were males (65.3\%)
* The majority of the respondents were students (38\%)
* The majority of the respondents were unmarried (59.3\%)
* The majority of the respondents were in the income group of 5000-10000(31.3\%)
* The majority of the respondents were aware of the outlets through friends (66\%)
* The majority of the respondents were aware of the outlets through print media(26.7)
* The majority of the respondents were aware of adayar anandha bhavan (17.33\%)
* The majority of the respondents were prefer of adayar anandha bhavan (20.7\%)
* The majority of the respondents were choose taste as the factor influencing the choice of a particular outlet.(14\%)
* The majority of the respondents were spend below Rs. 200 on fast foods per month (34.7\%)
* The majority of the respondents visit the fast food outlet for taste (82.7\%)
* The majority of the respondents prefer chat items (20\%)
* The majority of the respondents choose taste as reason for the choice of favorite fast food item (21.33\%)
* The majority of the respondents choose non hygiene as the unfavorable factor ( $34.7 \%$ )
* The majority of the respondents were satisfied with the service rendered in fast food outlets (54\%)
* The majority of the respondents choose hygiene as the factor to be improved (28\%)
* The majority of the respondents belonging to the age group between 20 - 25 years(30\%) prefer taste as a reason for choosing the favorite fast food item
* The majority of the male respondents (65.3\%) prefer taste as a reason for choosing the favorite fast food item
* The majority of the respondents belonging to the income group between 5000-10000(31.3\%) prefer taste as a reason for choosing the favorite fast food item
* The majority of the respondents belonging to the age group between $20-25$ years (30\%) are satisfied with the service rendered in fast food outlets.
* The majority of the male respondents (65.3\%) are satisfied with the service rendered in fast food outlets.
* The majority of the respondents belonging to the income group 5000-10000(31.3\%) are satisfied with the service rendered in fast food outlets.
* The majority of the respondents belonging to the income group 5000-10000(31.3\%) spend below Rs. 200 on fast foods every month (34.7\%)
* The majority of the male respondents (65.3\%) spend below Rs. 200 on fast foods every month (34.7\%)
* The majority of the respondents belonging to the category of students(38\%) spend below Rs. 200 on fast foods every month
* The majority of the respondents belonging to the category of students ( $38 \%$ ) prefer taste as a factor influencing choice of particular outlet.
* The majority of the male respondents (65.3\%) prefer taste as a factor influencing choice of particular outlet
* The majority of the respondents belonging to the income group 5000-10000(31.3\%) prefer taste as a factor influencing choice of particular outlet.


## SUGGESTIONS:

The fast food industry depends on their customers for their existence. They should therefore aim at satisfying their customers. To do so they should understand what the customers needs and fulfill their expectations, some of the suggestions based on the study.

* The awareness of certain outlets such as dominos and rich foods is less compared to the other outlets
* Advertisements through display and internet may be improved to attract more customers.
* The fast food outlets must be more hygienic.
* The cost of the fast food items may be made reasonable.
* The preservation of food items may be improved


## Conclusion:

Any customer survey is a means of getting valuable inputs from the customer and interpreting then to provide valuable feedback to the users of the information. This study is done with that objective only.

From the study it can be concluded that the overall level of satisfaction of the respondents with regard to the service rendered at the fast food outlets is satisfactory. The study has brought out the customer preference of fast food and fast food outlets and also the factors that need to be improved.

