# **Research Paper**





# Empirical Study on Consumers Satisfaction Towards Pasteurized Milk - With Special Reference to Dindugal District, Tamilnadu

| Dr. M. S.<br>Ranjithkumar | Associate professor, Department of commerce (CA), Dr.N.G.P.Arts and Science College, Coimbatore.    |
|---------------------------|---|
| Dr. S. Namasivayam        | Assistant professor, Department of commerce (CA), Dr.N.G.P.Arts and Science College COIMBATORE - 48 |
| Ms. N. Renugadevi         | Assistant professor, Department of commerce (CA), Dr.N.G.P.Arts and Science College.                |

**BSTRACT** 

The market scenario is changing fast. A rise in household incomes has led to a metamorphosis of rural and smaller markets. With globalization and entry of big players in the organized sector, the challenges before the Indian dairy industry is to manage the growth in production, processing and marketing without harming harbinger of this growth the small farmer who is the most competitive milk producer in the world. In rural parts of our country, dairy is the second important occupation after farming. Two key elements of marketing strategy are focus on satisfaction and milk products mix expansion to include UHT milk, cheese, ice creams. Milk is accepted as an essential part of a balanced diet. It is a nutritive and a protective food. Any person from children to old aged people can consume milk safely. Milk is produced by small farmers living in rural areas. Milk produces farmers mostly depend on traditional milk vendors for marketing the milk produced.

## **KEYWORDS**

dairy industry, nutritive, protective food, UHT milk, cheese, rural areas, loan, subsides, consumers' satisfaction and Pasteurized Milk

#### INTRODUCTION

India is ranked second in the world after the United States of America in milk production. The future of India dairy industry will have to be built on quantity and quality alone. "Milk is an article of food for mankind antedates the earliest recorded history. Over 150 references to Cows and milk are found in the Old Testament and the Promised Land was described as a land flowing with milk and honey". Milk is accepted as an essential part of a balanced diet. It is a nutritive and a protective food. Any person from children to old aged people can consume milk safely. A balanced diet for an adult Indian should include 10 ounces of milk per day as per the suggestion of the Indian council of medical research. Milk production and agriculture become inseparable parts of life in rural areas, efforts are taken by individuals and co-operatives to increase the production of milk dairying provides continuous employment to the farmers huge product of milk and milk products results in white revolution.

### Important of the Dairy Industry

Milk and dairy products rank as the largest single source of income to the formers of the United States. For the country as a whole about 20% of total agricultural income is from milk or cream sold. Approximately one fourth of the total pounds of food consumed per capital, in the United States each year is made up of milk and other delivery products. Milk is also very important from a nutritional stand point. Since if contains nearly all the essential food constituents required in the human diet in about the proper proportions. Home economics for the years 1944 and 1945 show that diary products contained 75 percent of the calcium and 45 percent of the ribo flavin in the total available food supply as well as 24 percent of the total protein, 17 percent of the vitamin A and 17 percent of the calories.

### Statement of the problem

The socio- economic profile of milk consumers of Pasteurized Milk, the level of consumer satisfaction, consumers' satisfaction with the function and opinion of Pasteurized Milk.

#### Research design

Descriptive research design is used in the study which is in-

tended to cover Dindugal district. Data for this study were collected from primary as well secondary sources.

#### Sample design

Finally 150 consumers were selected by using simple random sampling. For the selection of samples suggestion of administrative authorities of K.S milk society was sought.

### Objectives of the Study

- To know the socio- economic profile of consumers of pasteurized milk
- To know the consumers level of satisfaction about pasteurized milk
- To know the problem faced by the consumers of pasteurized milk
- To offer suitable suggestions for the improvement of consumers satisfaction

#### **Hypothesis**

 There is no significant relationship between gender, age educational qualification, marital status main occupatiom, monthly income and their level of satisfaction

#### Analysis and Interpretation Socio economic profile of employees Table A

| Gender              | No of respondents | %   | Age               | No of respondents | %   |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Male                | 71                | 47  | Up to 25<br>yrs   | 51                | 34  |
| Female              | 79                | 53  | 25 to 40 yrs      | 76                | 51  |
| Total               | 150               | 100 | Above 40<br>yrs   | 23                | 15  |
|                     | No of respondents | %   | Total             | 150               | 100 |
| Illiterate          | 19                | 18  | Marital<br>status | No of respondents | %   |
| Up to school level  | 70                | 47  | Married           | 73                | 49  |
| Up to college level | 52                | 35  | Unmarried         | 72                | 48  |

Volume: 3 | Issue: 7 | July 2014 ISSN - 2250-1991

| Others      | 8                 | 5   | Widow               | 5                 | 3   |
|-------------|-------------------|-----|---------------------|-------------------|-----|
| Total       | 150               | 100 | Total               | 150               | 100 |
| Occupation  | No of respondents | %   | Nature of family    | No of respondents | %   |
| Agriculture | 52                | 35  | Joint               | 71                | 47  |
| Business    | 50                | 33  | Nuclear             | 79                | 53  |
| Employees   | 31                | 21  | Total               | 150               | 100 |
| Others      | 17                | 11  | Monthly income(Rs.) | No of respondents | %   |

| Total                          | 150               | 100 | Up to 5000        | 29  | 19  |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|-----|
| Number<br>of family<br>members | No of respondents | %   | 5000-<br>10,000   | 71  | 48  |
| Up to 4                        | 70                | 46  | 10,000-<br>15,000 | 35  | 23  |
| 4 to 6                         | 80                | 54  | Above<br>15,000   | 15  | 10  |
| Total                          | 150               | 100 | Total             | 150 | 100 |

### Relationship between independent variables and level of satisfaction (Chi -square test), Table B

| (Cili -3quare test), it |  |                      |             |                |                       |                       |             |  |
|-------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|
| Gender                  | Level of satisfaction                            |                      | Total       | Age            | Level of satisfaction |                       | Total       |  |
|                         | High   | Low                  | 1           |                | High                  | Low                   |             |  |
| Male                    | 60<br>(40%)                                      | 25<br>(17%)          | 85<br>(57%) | Up to 25 years | 35<br>(23%)           | 10<br>(7%)            | 45<br>(30%) |  |
| Female                  | 59<br>(33%)                                      | 15<br>(10%)          | 65<br>(43%) | 25 to 40 years | 55 (37%)              | 20 (13%)              | 75<br>(50%) |  |
| Total                   | 110 (73%)  | 40<br>(27%)          | 150 (100%)  | Above 40 years | 20 (13%)              | 10<br>(7%)            | 30<br>(20%) |  |
| Educational             | Level of sa                                      | tisfaction           |             | Total          | 110 (73%)             | 40 (27%)              | 150 (100%)  |  |
| qualification           | High   | Low                  | Total       | Marital status | Level of satisf       | Level of satisfaction |             |  |
| Illiterate              | 15 (10%)   | 5 (3.25%)            | 20 (13%)    |                | High                  | Low                   | <u> </u>    |  |
| Up to school level      | 50 (33%)   | 15<br>(10%)          | 65 (43%)    | Married        | 50 (33%)              | 20 (13%)              | 70 (46%)    |  |
| Up to College level     | 35 (24%)   | 15<br>(10%)          | 50 (34%)    | Widow          | 5 (3%)                | 5 (4%)                | 10 (7%)     |  |
| Others                  | 10 (7%)  | 5<br>(3%)            | 15 (10%)    | Unmarried      | 55 (37%)              | 15 (10%)              | 70 (47%)    |  |
| Total                   | 110 (73%)  | 40<br>(27%)          | 150 (100%)  | Total          | 110 (73%)             | 40 (27%)              | 150 (100%)  |  |
| Occupation              | Description   Level of satisfaction   High   Low |                      | Total       | Monthly income | Level of satisf       | Level of satisfaction |             |  |
| Occupation              |  |                      | lotai       | (Rs.)          | High                  | Low                   |             |  |
| Agriculture             | 35 (23%)   | 35 (23%) 15<br>(10%) |             | Up to 5000     | 25 (16%)              | 10 (7%)               |             |  |
| Business                | 30 (20%)   | 30 (20%) 10 (7%) 4   |             | 5000 - 10000   | 45 (30%) 15 (10%)     |                       | 60 (40%)    |  |
| Employee                | 25 (16%)   | (16%) 10 (7%) 31     |             | 10000 -15000   | 20 (13%) 10 (7%)      |                       | 30 (20%)    |  |
| Others                  | 20 (14%) 5 (3%)                                  |                      | 25 (17%)    | Above15000     | 20 (14%)              | 20 (14%) 5 (3%)       |             |  |
| Total                   | 110<br>(73%)                                     | 40<br>(27%)          | 150 (100%)  | Total          | 110 (73%)             | 40 (27%)              | 150 (100%)  |  |

### Figures in parentheses are in percentage Table C

| lable C  |                  |                |                          |                          |          |
|--|------------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| No association between                         | Calculated value | Table<br>value | Degrees<br>of<br>Freedom | Level of<br>Significance | Result   |
| Gender and level of satisfaction               | 0.7348           | 3.84           | 2                        | 5%                       | Accepted |
| Age and level of satisfaction                  | 1.1353           | 5.991          | 2                        | 5%                       | Accepted |
| Qualification<br>and level of<br>satisfaction  | 1.078            | 7.815          | 3                        | 5%                       | Accepted |
| Marital status<br>and level of<br>satisfaction | 4.136            | 5.997          | 2                        | 5%                       | Accepted |
| Occupation<br>and level of<br>satisfaction     | 0.975            | 7.815          | 3                        | 5%                       | Accepted |
| Monthly income<br>and level of<br>satisfaction | 1.386            | 7.815          | 3                        | 5%                       | Accepted |

Source: Primary data

#### Gender and level of satisfaction

The calculated value of  $x^*x^*=0.7348$  is less than the table value of 22 (3.84) of 2 degrees of freedom at 5% level of significance. Hence null hypothesis is accepted. "There is no significant relationship between gender and their level of satisfaction".

Age and level of satisfaction The calculated value of  $\chi^2$  =1.1353 is less than the table value of  $\chi^2$  (5.991) of 2 degrees of freedom at 5% level of significance. Hence null hypothesis is accepted. "There is no significant relationship between age and their level of satisfaction".

Qualification and level of satisfaction The calculated value of  $\chi^2$  =1.078 is less than the table value (7.815) of 3 degrees of freedom at 5% level of significance. Hence null hypothesis is accepted. "There is no significant relationship between educational qualification and their level of satisfaction".

Marital status and level of satisfaction The calculated value of  $\chi^2$  =4.136 is less than the table value of  $\chi^2$  (5.997) of 2 degrees of freedom at 5% level of significance. Hence null hypothesis is accepted. "There is no significant relationship between marital status and their level of

satisfaction".

#### Occupation and level of satisfaction

The calculated value of 2 = 0.975 is less than the table value of  $\chi^2$  (7.815) of 3 degrees of freedom at 5% level of significance. Hence null hypothesis is accepted. "There is no significant relationship between occupation and their level of satisfaction".

Monthly income and level of satisfaction The calculated value of  $\chi^2 = 1.386$  is less than the table value of  $\chi^2$  (7.815) of 3 degrees of freedom at 5% level of significance. Hence null hypothesis is accepted. "There is no significant relationship between monthly income and their level of satisfaction".

#### **Finding**

- Majority (52.7%) of the respondents are female.
- Majority (50.7%) of the respondents are in the age group of 25 years to 45 years.
- Majority (46.7%) of the respondents are up to school level.
- Majority (60.7%) of the respondents are agriculture farm-
- Majority (53%) of the respondents are in joint type of fam-
- Majority (48.7%) of the respondents are married.
- Majority (53%) of the respondents have 4 to 6 members in their family
- Majority (47.3%) of the respondents earn Rs. 5000 to Rs.10000 per month.
- Majority (57.3%) of the respondent travels 1 to 2 KM to
- Majority (57.3%) of the respondents spends Rs.10000 to Rs.15000 to the purchase of cattle.
- The total yield per day is below for 72% of respondents.

#### Suggestion

- 1. The co-operative milk society are not aware of qualitative and quantities measurement of the milk procurement. Hence the societies should take necessary arrangement for conducting awareness programs to producers as well as consumers.
- 2. Single window sales system is followed by the milk society for their local sales. It makes so many problems for the consumers such as timing, quantity of buying, availability and so on. Hence it is suggested that the society authorities should take. Some alternative steps like increasing number of counters, door delivery system, separate counter for bulk purchase and

#### Conclusion

The major factors considered by the consumers before purchasing milk are freshness, taste, quality, quantity, availability, cleanness and timing.

Further the majorities of the consumers are satisfied with the co-operative milk society and milk products because of its good quality, quantity and availability. Some consumers are not satisfied with the society milk because of its high price, lack of choice, inconvenient delivery and timing etc. therefore if slight modification in the marketing channels such as increase dealers and outlets etc. may solve the problems of unsatisfaction

# REFERENCES

A.N. Agarwal, 1997, Indian Economy, Wiley Eastern Ltd, New Delhi, Pp no.12 | > Rudder Datt, Indian Economy, S.Chand & Company Pvt Ltd, New Delhi. | > K.P.M. Sundharam, 1986, Indian Economy, S.Chand & Company Pvt Ltd., Ram Nagar, New Delhi. | > S. Sankaran, 1998, Indian Economy, Margham Publications, Chennai. | > J.D. Varma, 1995, Indian Economics, S.Chand & Company Pvt Ltd., Ram Nagar, New Delhi. | > Dr.Rajagopala Nair, Marketing of Services, Facts for you, New Delhi, pp.25 to 26. | Mekala, 1970 "Studies on consumer behavior with reference to pasteurized packaged milk in erode" P. 8. | > K.M. Vyas V.S. and Chaudhuri, 1971, "Economics' of Dairy farming in Mehasana District" Arthvikas, Vol-I, No. I, PP: 20-40. |