Pressure - Volume Behavior of Mgo At Elevated Temperatures And Pressure

| Dr. Mahendra Mehra | Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalaya Bhopal M.P. India 462008 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Seema Rajput | Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalaya Bhopal M.P. India 462008 |
| P.C. Mathur | Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidyalaya Bhopal M.P. India 462008 |
| The isothermal equation isothermal EOS is used Equation of state is also These derivatives are used much less accurate as co which contain B T and temperature and also at series. A precise descrip | of state (EOS) of solids have played an important role in the field of high-pressure physics. An study the relationship between pressure P and volume compression at a given temperature. sed to study the isothermal bulk modulus BT and its pressure derivatives $\mathrm{Bo}^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{Bo}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. as input parameters in various phenomenological forms. The values of Bo" for various solids are pared to the values of $B T$ and $B 0^{\circ}$. In the present work we consider six different forms of EOS only. Using these forms we have studied the pressure-volume relationships for MgO at room evated temperatures ( $500-1500 \mathrm{~K}$ ). Periclase is the end member of the ( $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Fe}$ ) O solid solution of the pressure, volume and temperature ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{V} \_$T) relationship of MgO is therefore essential. |
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## Introduction

MgO has low chemical reactivity and it is stable in a large pressure and temperature range, which makes it an ideal pressure calibrant. Values of $B_{T}$ and $B_{o}$ estimated corresponding to different temperatures is used appropriately as input data. Isaak et al. [1] have determined the thermo elastic properties of MgO at high temperatures and high pressure using the potential induced breathing (PIB) electron gas model based on the first principles approach. The ab-initio method of Isaak et al. is based on the detailed calculations of the Helmholtz energy F versus volume at constant temperature T at selected temperatures. By taking the appropriate derivatives of $F$, values of pressure $P$, isothermal bulk modulus BT and its pressure derivative Bo` are numerically determined. The results thus obtained have been found to present good agreement with the measurements of the temperature dependence of thermo elastic properties $[2,3]$. The values of $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{BT}$ and $\mathrm{Bo}^{\circ}$ for MgO at 500 , 1000 and 1500 and down to a compression of $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{N} 0=0.60$ determined by Isaak et al. have been reported in the tabular form by Anderson [4].

The various phenomenological forms for the isothermal equation of state are given below for ready reference

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}=\frac{B_{0}}{\left(B_{0}^{+}+1\right)}\left\{\left(\exp \left(B_{0}^{+}+1\right)\left(1-\frac{V}{V_{0}}\right)\right\}-1\right] \\
& \mathbf{B}_{T}=B_{0}\left(\frac{V}{V_{0}}\right) \exp \left[\left(B_{0}^{+}+1\right)\left(1-\frac{V}{V_{0}}\right)\right] \\
& \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}=\left(B_{0}^{+}+1\right) \frac{V}{V_{0}}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equation is the usual Tait equation (UTE) frequently reported in the literature $[6,7,9,11]$. The UTE has been reproduced recently by Kumar [12] using the Chopelas-Boehler relationship [13] according to which $\left(\delta_{T}+1\right)$ varies as $V / N_{0}$, and taking the Anderson Gruneisen parameter $\delta_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{d} K T / \mathrm{d} P[14]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathrm{P}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{ZB}_{0}\left(\frac{v_{\mathrm{G}}}{}\right)^{-\frac{4}{T}}}{\left(3 \mathrm{~B}_{0}-5\right)}\right] \exp \left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{B}_{0}-5}{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}\right)\right\}-1\right] \\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{B}_{0}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}\right)^{\frac{-4}{3}} \exp \left\{\left(\mathrm{~B}_{0}-\frac{5}{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}\right)\right\}+\frac{4}{3} \mathrm{P} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}=\left(1-\frac{4 \mathrm{P}}{3 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}}}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}{ }^{\circ}-\frac{5}{3}\right) \frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}+\frac{5}{3}\right]+\frac{16}{9} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equation is the well known Born Mie equation based on an inverse power form for the short-range repulsive potential energy [4, 15]. ]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P}=\left[\frac{\left.3 \mathrm{~B}_{0}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}\right)\right)^{\frac{-}{5}}}{\left(3 \mathrm{~B}_{0}-5\right)}\right]\left[\exp \left\{\left(\frac{3 \mathrm{~B}_{0}-5}{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{6}}\right)\right\}-1\right] \\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{B}_{0}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathbf{V}_{0}}\right)^{\frac{-1}{3}} \exp \left\{\left(\mathrm{~B}_{0}{ }^{`}-\frac{5}{3}\right)\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}\right)\right\}+\frac{4}{3} \mathrm{P} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\prime}=\left(1-\frac{4}{3} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}}}\right)\left[\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}{ }^{\circ}-\frac{5}{3}\right) \frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}+\frac{5}{3}\right]+\frac{16}{9} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equation is the BrennanStacey equation [6, 16] derived using the free volume formula for the Gruneisen parameter and taking the second Gruneisen constant $\boldsymbol{q}=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{B}_{0}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}} \frac{-\frac{1}{T}}{\mathrm{t}}\right.}{\mathrm{t}}\left[1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{t}^{2}}\right)\left(\exp (\mathrm{ty}-1)+\mathrm{y}\left(1+\mathrm{y}-\frac{2}{\mathrm{t}}\right) \exp (\mathrm{ty})\right] \\
& B_{\mathrm{T}}=B_{0}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\left(1+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{y}^{2}\right) \exp (\mathrm{ty})+\frac{4}{3} \mathrm{p} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{4}{3}+\left(1-\frac{4}{3} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{B_{\mathrm{r}}}\right)\left[\frac{1}{3}+\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}\left\{\mathrm{t}+\frac{(1+2 \mathrm{y})}{\left(1+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{y}^{2}\right)}\right\}\right] \\
& \text { Where t }=B_{0}{ }^{-}-\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equation is the Shanker equation derived using a combined form of inverse power dependence and an exponential dependence for the short-range force constant on volume [17].

$$
\mathbf{P}=3 \frac{(1-X) B_{0}}{X^{2}} \exp \{\boldsymbol{\eta}(1-X)\}
$$

The above equation is the Vinet equation of state [18, 19] based on an expression for the cohesive energy of a condensed system that is assumed to vary only as a function of a normalized inter-particle separation $r$. The interatomic interaction in solids related mainly to compression was expressed
by a form $A(1+a r) \exp (-b r)$ with $A$, $a$ and $b$ as material constants. This form leads to the derivation of the Vinet equation of state.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=\frac{3}{2} B_{0}\left(\mathrm{x}^{-7}-\mathrm{x}^{-5}\right)\left[1+\frac{3}{4} A_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}^{-2}-1\right)\right] \\
& B_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{1}{2} B_{0}\left(7 \mathrm{x}^{-7}-5 \mathrm{x}^{-5}\right)+\frac{3}{8} B_{0} A_{1}\left(9 \mathrm{x}^{-9}-14 \mathrm{x}^{-7}+5 \mathrm{x}^{-5}\right) \\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{B_{0}}{8 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{T}}}\left[\left(B_{0}-4\right)\left(81 \mathrm{x}^{-9}-98 \mathrm{x}^{-7}+25 \mathrm{x}^{-5}\right)+\frac{4}{3}\left(49 \mathrm{x}^{-7}-25 \mathrm{x}^{-5}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The above equation is the Birch Murnaghan third-order EOS widely used in geophysics and high-pressure physics [4-6]. This equation is based on the finite strain theory [20]. Stacey et al. $[6,21]$ have shown that Birch Murnaghan third-order EOS may also be derived from a potential function expressed as the sum of three inverse power terms.

## Result and Discussion:

For studying the pressure-volume relationships at high temperatures we need the input parameters $B_{T}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\circ}$, corresponding to these temperatures. We use the ab-initio values at $P^{\prime \prime} 0$ obtained by Isaak et al. [1] for MgO at 500, 1000 and 1500 K as reported by Anderson [4]. These are given in Table 1 and used as input for all the equations of state under study without making any adjustment or fitting.
Table 1 Values of input data for MgO

| Temperature <br> (K) | (GPa) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 500 | 175 | 4.21 |
| 1000 | 160 | 4.36 |
| 1500 | 144 | 4.53 |

The results for pressure $P$, isothermal bulk modulus $\mathbf{B}_{T}$ and pressure derivative $\mathbf{B}_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ obtained from different equations are compared. The comparison reveals that the Vinet EOS and the Shanker EOS yield very similar results.

The values of pressure P (GPa) for MgO at different compressions and temperatures have been calculated using Usual Tait Equation of State (A), Born Mie Equation of State (B), Shankar Equation of State (C), Vinet Equation of State (D) and Birch-Murnaghan Equation of State (E) in the tables 2-4, given below.

Table 2 Values of Pressure P (GPa) for MgO at different compressions at T=500 K

| $V / N_{0}$ | $A(P)$ | $B(P)$ | $C(P)$ | $D(P)$ | $E(P)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.9 | 23 | 23.1 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| 0.8 | 61.6 | 62.8 | 61.8 | 61.7 | 62.6 |
| 0.7 | 127 | 134 | 128 | 128 | 133 |
| 0.6 | 236 | 267 | 245 | 246 | 265 |

Table 3 Values of Pressure $\mathbf{P}$ (GPa) for MgO at different compressions at $\mathrm{T}=1000 \mathrm{~K}$

| $V N o$ | $A(P)$ | $B(P)$ | $C(P)$ | $D(P)$ | $E(P)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.9 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 21.1 | 21.2 |
| 0.8 | 57.4 | 58.4 | 57.4 | 57.3 | 58.3 |
| 0.7 | 119 | 126 | 120 | 120 | 125 |
| 0.6 | 225 | 257 | 232 | 233 | 253 |

Table 4 Values of Pressure P (GPa) for MgO at different compressions at $\mathrm{T}=1500 \mathrm{~K}$

| $V N o$ | $A(P)$ | $B(P)$ | $C(P)$ | $D(P)$ | $E(P)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.9 | 19.2 | 19.3 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 19.3 |
| 0.8 | 52.7 | 53.7 | 52.7 | 52.5 | 53.5 |
| 0.7 | 111 | 117 | 112 | 111 | 116 |
| 0.6 | 212 | 243 | 218 | 218 | 238 |

The values of isothermal bulk modulus $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{GPa})$ for MgO at different compressions and temperatures have been calculated using Usual Tait Equation of State(A), Born Mie Equation of State (B), Shankar Equation of State (C), Vinet Equation of State (D) and Birch-Murnaghan Equation of State (E) in the tables 5-7, given below.

Table 5 Values of isothermal bulk modulus $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{GPa})$ for $\mathbf{M g O}$ at different compressions at $\mathrm{T}=500 \mathrm{~K}$

| VNo | $A\left(B_{T}\right)$ | $B\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $C\left(B_{T}\right)$ | $D\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $E\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 |
| 0.9 | 265 | 268 | 266 | 265 | 267 |
| 0.8 | 397 | 416 | 403 | 399 | 414 |
| 0.7 | 585 | 667 | 619 | 602 | 658 |
| 0.6 | 844 | 1119 | 968 | 937 | 1092 |

Table 6 Values of isothermal bulk modulus $B_{T}(G P a)$ for $\mathbf{M g O}$ at different compressions at $\mathrm{T}=1000 \mathrm{~K}$

| V $N o$ | $A\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $B\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $C\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $D\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $E\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 |
| 0.9 | 246 | 248 | 246 | 246 | 248 |
| 0.8 | 374 | 392 | 379 | 375 | 390 |
| 0.7 | 559 | 639 | 588 | 576 | 630 |
| 0.6 | 819 | 1093 | 932 | 902 | 1064 |

Table 7 Values of isothermal bulk modulus $B_{T}(G P a)$ for MgO at different compressions at $\mathrm{T}=1500 \mathrm{~K}$

| $V / N_{0}$ | $A\left(B_{T}\right)$ | $B\left(B_{T}\right)$ | $C\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $D\left(B_{T}\right)$ | $E\left(B_{T}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 | 144 |
| 0.9 | 225 | 227 | 225 | 225 | 227 |
| 0.8 | 348 | 366 | 352 | 348 | 362 |
| 0.7 | 530 | 607 | 553 | 542 | 595 |
| 0.6 | 789 | 1067 | 890 | 861 | 1025 |

The values of pressure derivative of isothermal bulk modulus (GPa) for MgO at different compressions and temperatures have been calculated using Usual Tait Equation of State(A), Born Mie Equation of State (B), Shankar Equation of State (C), Vinet Equation of State (D) and Birch-Murnaghan Equation of State ( E ) in the tables 8-10 given below.

Table 8 Values of pressure derivative of isothermal bulk modulus $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ( GPa ) for MgO at different compressions at $\mathrm{T}=500 \mathrm{~K}$

| $V N o$ | $A\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $B\left(B_{\tau}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $C\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $D\left(B_{\tau}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $E\left(B_{\tau}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4.21 | 4.21 | 4.21 | 4.21 | 4.21 |
| 0.9 | 3.69 | 3.88 | 3.74 | 3.69 | 3.86 |
| 0.8 | 3.17 | 3.63 | 3.38 | 3.3 | 3.58 |
| 0.7 | 2.65 | 3.334 | 3.08 | 2.97 | 3.38 |
| 0.6 | 2.13 | 3.29 | 2.83 | 2.7 | 3.21 |

Table 9 Values of pressure derivative of isothermal bulk modulus $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{\text {( }}$ ( GPa ) for MgO at different compressions at $\mathrm{T}=1000 \mathrm{~K}$

| $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{No}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\tau}\right)$ | $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\tau}\right)$ | $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\tau}\right)$ | $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\tau}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.36 |
| 0.9 | 3.82 | 4.02 | 3.86 | 3.81 | 3.98 |
| 0.8 | 3.29 | 3.76 | 3.48 | 3.337 | 3.7 |
| 0.7 | 2.75 | 3.56 | 3.16 | 3.06 | 3.49 |
| 0.6 | 2.22 | 3.41 | 2.9 | 2.77 | 3.33 |

Table 10 Values of pressure derivative of isothermal bulk modulus $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ( GPa ) for MgO at different compressions at $\mathrm{T}=1500 \mathrm{~K}$

| $V / N_{0}$ | $A\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $B\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $C\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $D\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ | $E\left(B_{\tau}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.53 |
| 0.9 | 4.17 | 4.36 | 4.17 | 4.11 | 4.3 |
| 0.8 | 3.59 | 4.08 | 3.74 | 3.55 | 3.99 |
| 0.7 | 3.02 | 3.88 | 3.39 | 3.28 | 3.75 |
| 0.6 | 2.44 | 3.73 | 3.09 | 2.96 | 3.56 |

The values of pressure $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{GPa})$ for MgO at different compressions and temperatures have been plotted using Usual Tait Equation of State (Series1), Born Mie Equation of State (Series2), Shankar Equation of State (Series3), Vinet Equation of State (Series4) and Birch-Murnaghan Equation of State (Series5) in the graphs 2-4, given below.


The values of Isothermal bulk modulus $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{GPa})$ for MgO at different compressions and temperatures have been plotted using Usual Tait Equation of State (Series1), Born Mie Equation of State (Series2), Shankar Equation of State (Series3), Vinet Equation of State (Series4) and Birch-Murnaghan Equation of State (Series5) in the graphs 5-7, given below.

Figure 5 Isothermal bulk modulus BT (GPa) versus V/ V0 for MgO at $\mathrm{T}=500 \mathrm{~K}$


Figure 6 Isothermal bulk modulus BT (GPa) versus V/ Vo for MgO at $\mathrm{T}=1000 \mathrm{~K}$


Figure 7 Isothermal bulk modulus BT (GPa) versus V/ Vo for MgO at $\mathrm{T}=1500 \mathrm{~K}$
The values of pressure derivative of isothermal bulk modulus $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{T}}{ }^{\text {(GPa) for }} \mathrm{MgO}$ at different compressions and temperatures have been plotted using Usual Tait Equation of State (Series1), Born Mie Equation of State (Series2), Shankar Equation of State (Series3), Vinet Equation of State (Series4) and Birch-Murnaghan Equation of State (Series5) in the graphs 8-10, given below.


Figure 10 Pressure derivative of isothermal bulk modulus $\mathrm{BT}^{\prime}$ ( GPa ) versus $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{VO}$ for MgO at $\mathrm{T}=1500 \mathrm{~K}$
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