Research Paper





Study Oflanguage Ability in Relation to Under-**Achievement of Higher Secondary Students**

Dr. Neeti Naveen

Head Department of Education, S.G.P.G. College, Sarurpurkhurd, Meerut

This study attempts to study the language ability in relation to under-achievement of students at higher secondary level. A sample of 631 students was randomly selected from the schools in Moradabadt districct. They were administered Jalota's Verbal Group Test of General Mental Ability and Language Ability Test (LAT) developed by R.P. Bhatnagar. Mean, S.D., and t-test were used to analyze the data. Results show that over achiever students and under achiever students differed significantly on language ability. Underachiever students have significantly lower level of language ability that of overachiever students.

KEYWORDS

Language Ability, Higher Secondary Students, Under Achievement

Introduction

lin India, both academic failure and under-achievement are a source of great wasteage. This is not only the wastage of money, but also the national manpower. Unfortunately, a significant portion of the resources is wasted on those who fail to benefit from their education. A large number of failure at the secondary examination causes frustration among the students and compels us to think seriously about this pronlem. It is the responsibility of educationists, psychologists and administrators to prevent wastage and insure proper achievement on the part of the students. Looking to the big number of failures, a low achiever is a great burden on the financial conditions of the country. In foreign countries, numerous studies (Baslanti, U. 2008, Berube, B. N. (Ed.). 1995, Fraenkel, J. R., & Wallen, N. E. 2006, Grobman, J. 2006, Kanevsky, L., & Keighley, T. 2003, Peterson, J. S. 2000, Preckel, F., Holling, H., & Vock, M. 2006) have been made in this field. In India, this problem was not attracted sufficient attention of the research workers. It is essential that attention must be paid to the factors affecting academic performance. There are many students who pass the examination, yet fail to achieve as much as they could do in terms of their abiities and potentialities. These students are called under achievers. They are quite capable but fail to achieve in conformity with capabilities for several reasons. On the other hand, there are students who are not quite capable, but they achieve beyond their capacity. Now the question arises as to what are the factors that influence their achievement. Many parents, teachers and persons think that the failed students have lack of intelligence and hence are worthless whereas, the fact is that they have sufficient intelligence but are unable to progress properly because of certain factors. One of major factors suspected to influence this sort of academic failure is the student's language ability. On the basis of the findings of many studies, a new orientation towards the understanding of under- achievers may be developed in researchers and counselors. Thus the study of under achievers occupies a significant importance in the field of education.

In any investigation of success or failure in school students, it is essential to understand both the characteristics of the individual and the situational forces that surrounded him and his functioning. It require viewing the language ability as functioning in a particular setup. Therefore, it is timely to conduct a research to examine this particular issue. In the present study, the researcher attempted to study language ability in relation to undrachievement that might be operating and causing underachievement. This study intends to find out how far language ability is related to underachievement of students at higher secondary level.

The language ability is the ability that functions as an aptitude for success and achievement in all kinds of written examinations. A good command of language is considered important for achieving success in examinations. It is only Hindi language ability which is involved in this study.

Objectives

- To study the nature of academic achievement of higher secondary students.
- To classify the students as underachievers and overachievers
- To study the nature of language ability of underachiever and overachiever students.
- To compare the language ability of underachiever and overachiever students.

Research Methodology Method

Methods of research are generally determined by the theory of the topic under study, objectives of the study, resources of researchers etc. This study aimed at identifying the language ability that might be associated with underachievement of higher secondary students. Hence, it has been designed on the lines of experimental method in which underachievement and overachievement are the dependent variables and language ability is independent variable.

Population and Sample

For the present study, population refers to the boy students officially enrolled in 11th standard of the schools of Moradabad district. Out of this population twenty five (sixteen percent) of all the boy schools have been drawn systematically. From each school only one section of class 11th was selected randomly. The students of these classes were totalled to 1306. These students were administered the Jalota's Verbal Group Test of General Mental Ability. On the bais of marks obtained in board examination and scores obtained on Jalota's Verbal Group Test of General Mental Ability thee students were classified as underachievers and overachievers. Ultimately, for final analysis 435 students (185 overachievers and 250 underachievers) were taken.

Tools Used

To achieve the objectives of this study, Jalota's Verbal Group Test of General Mental Ability, scores obtained by students in Board Examinations and Language Ability Test (LAT) developed by R.P. Bhatnagar were used .

Data Analysis Techniques

To study the nature of language ability of underachiever and

overachiever students, mean and standard deviation (S.D.) were calculated. To find out the differences between underachiever and over achiever students on language ability, two tailed test of significance (t-test) was used.

Results

For interpretation of data, hypothesis in null form was formulated that there is no significant difference between underachiever and over achiever students on language ability. Results are presented in Table-1.

Table-1 Summary of t-test for significance of difference between means of underachievers and overachievers on language ability

Dimensions of Personality Need	Under Achiever (N = 250)		I(N = 185)		t-value
	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	
Language Ability	54.46	12.79	60.17	12.11	4.751**

It is evident from Table-1, that t-value between the means of underachiever and overachiever students on language ability was found to be 4.751 which was significant at 0.01 level of significance. This reveals the fact that underachiever and overachiever students differed significantly on language ability. It means that language ability is positively related with student's academic underachievement. Since mean of underachievers (54.46) is significantly lower to that of overachievers (60.17), it may be said that underachievers have significantly lower level of language ability.

Conclusions and Suggestions

The main purpose of this empirical research was to establish whether language ability is associated in any way with the academic underachievement of higher secondary students. The findings of the study indicates that language ability is significantly associated with students academic underachievement. Lower level of language ability to adversly affect the academic underachievement. The psychology of human behaviour mainly concerns the motivation factors defined in various ways. The findings of the present study support the psychological theory of academic achievement which asserts that learning and performance success is an expression of the ways the individual tries to utilies his energies given innate poetntial and a particular pattern of socializing pressures, it seems tthat the level of academic achievement is determined by a configuartion of factors in the form of language ability. On the basis of this study, a tentative theory of academic over and under achiever may be formulated which may be further verified. The findings of this study may be used to diagnose the academic problems of academic underachievers and help them win over them.

References

Alexender, T., (1969). Incidence of Under- Achievement at high school level. J.Educl.Res., 632), 63-65.

Baslanti, U. (2008). Investigating the underachievement of university students in Turkey: Exploring subscales [Electronic version]. International Journal of Progressive Education, 4, 40–56.

Berube, B. N. (Ed.). (1995). What educators need to know about underachievement and gifted students (Practitioners' Guide A 9712). Storrs: University of Connecticut, National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED429413)

Bogdan, R. C., & Biklen, S. K. (2003). Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theories and methods (4th ed.).

Fraenkel, J. R., & Wallen, N. E. (2006). How to design and evaluate research in education (6th ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill.

Grayson, J. P. (1996). Under- and over-achievement in first year. Toronto, Ontario, Canada: Institute for Social Research. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED404904)

Grobman, J. (2006). Underachievement in exceptionally gifted adolescents and young adults: A psychiatrist's view. Journal of Secondary Gifted Education, 17, 199–210.

- Kanevsky, L., & Keighley, T. (2003). To produce or not to produce? Understanding boredom and the honor in underachievement. Roeper Review. 26. 20–28.
- Muthayya, B.C., (1964). Some correlates of achievement motive among high and low achievers in the scholastic field. J. Psychological Res., IX,2,89-91, May
- Peterson, J. S. (2000). A follow-up study of one group of achievers and underachievers four years after high school graduation [Electronic version]. Roeper Review. 22. 217–224.
- Preckel, F., Holling, H., & Vock, M. (2006). Academic underachievement: Relationship with cognitive motivation, achievement motivation, and conscientiousness. Psychology in the Schools, 43, 401–411.