



An Empirical Study on Contract Farming in India

Harish.N

Research Scholar, Department of Studies & Research in Economics, Tumkur University Tumkur, Karnataka-572103

Dr. Vilas M. Kadrolkar

Associate Professor & Chairman, Department of Studies and Research in Economics, Tumkur University Tumkur, Karnataka-572103

ABSTRACT

Contract Farming can be understood as a firm lending “inputs” — such as seed, fertilizer, credit or extension — to a farmer in exchange for exclusive purchasing rights over the specified crop. It is a form of vertical integration within agricultural commodity chains so that the firm has greater control over the production process and final product. It is a system of production and supply of agricultural/horticultural produce under forward contracts between producers/ suppliers and buyers. The essence of such an arrangement is the commitment of the producer to provide an agricultural commodity of a certain type, at a time and a price, and in a quantity required by a known and committed buyer. After the opening up of the Indian economy and entry of many domestic and multinational players into agribusiness sector, contract farming has now become a dominant and growing mode of raw material production and procurement through a co-ordination between the processors, marketers and the exporters. The Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) [APMC] Act as circulated by the Central Government to the States in 2003 has a positive impact on development of Contract Farming agriculture model in this region. The socioeconomic consequences of Contract Farming are attracting considerable attention in public policy debates today. This paper is an empirical study on the progress, problems and prospects of Contract Farming in India.

KEYWORDS

Agribusiness, Agriculture Produce Marketing (Regulation) [APMC] Act, Contract Farming, Forward Contracts, Vertical Integration.

Introduction

The commercialization and globalization of agriculture has brought about opportunities for better incomes for rural households in developing countries through new possibilities to supply higher value products such as meat and milk products in markets of the growing urban centres in the developing countries themselves. Accompanying this phenomenon is the increasing demand for particular product characteristics, such as quality, food safety, as well as concern over production processes, for which product and process standards and certification mechanisms are increasingly coming into play. To gain access to these high(er)-end markets, rural smallholder livestock keepers need to gain the capacity to produce at such standards, as well as the necessary market institutions to guarantee the acceptability of their products. The inability to do so due to market failures or/and failures in the provision of public goods, ‘mis’-configuration of supply chains and the accompanying developments in product and process standards, impose barriers on rural smallholders, and constrain their access to the very markets in which the demand for meat and milk products are rapidly expanding. Within this context, contract farming has, in recent years, been presented as a potentially effective market-oriented institution to bridge the gap between the rural smallholder producer’s resources, assets, and capacities on the one hand, and the increasingly strict demands of the consumers on the other.

Objectives and methodology of the study

- To explain the conceptual aspect of Contract Farming with its various types.
- To analyse the difference between various types of farming.
- To describe progress of Contract Farming in India.
- To highlight the advantages and problems of Contract Farming to the farmers as well as to the sponsors.
- To examine the prospect of Contract Farming in India.

The study is basically exploratory in nature and depends exclusively on secondary data. Secondary data are collected from various reports published by GOI, RBI, NABARD, KPMG, Price Water house and other research papers on Contract Farming.

Contract farming: Definition and its various types

Contract farming is an agreement that involves producers/ farmers, intermediaries, processing and or marketing firms, to provide the farm produce at predetermined prices and quality, at specified places, after a specified duration. The contracts could be of three types namely: (i) procurement contracts under which only sale and purchase conditions are specified; (ii) partial contracts wherein only some of the inputs are supplied by the contracting firm and produce is bought at pre-agreed prices; and (iii) total contracts under which the contracting firm supplies and manages all the inputs on the farm and the farmer becomes just a supplier of land and labour. Contract Farming is known by different variants like centralised model which is a company farmer arrangement; out grower scheme which is run by the government/ public sector/joint venture; nucleus-out grower scheme involving both captive farming and Contract Farming by the contracting agency; multi-partite arrangement involving many types of agencies; intermediary model where middlemen are involved between the company and the farmer; and satellite farming referring to any of the above models (Singh, 2005). Eaton and Shepherd identify five different contract farming models. Under the centralized model a company provides support to smallholder production, purchases the crop, and then processes it, directly controlling its quality. This model is used for crops such as tobacco, cotton, sugar cane, banana, tea, and rubber. Under the Nucleus Estate model, the company also manages a plantation in order to supplement smallholder production and provide minimum throughput for the processing plant. This approach is mostly used for tree crops such as oil palm and rubber. The Multi-partite model usually involves a partnership between government bodies, private companies and farmers. At a lower level of sophistication, the Intermediary model can involve subcontracting by companies to intermediaries who have their own personal arrangements with farmers. Finally, the Informal model involves small and medium enterprises who make simple contracts with farmers on a seasonal basis. Although these are usually just seasonal arrangements they are often repeated annually and usually depend for their success on the proximity of the buyer to the seller.

Progress of the Contract Farming in India

Contract Farming was introduced for the first time in Taiwan in 1895 by the Japanese Government. In India, Contract Farming has its historical roots during the time when the Europeans first introduced indigo and opium cultivation in the Bengal Region, under the East India company rule. ITC's contracts with the farmers of Andhra Pradesh for growing Virginia tobacco during the 1920s, Contract Farming by PepsiCo for the cultivation of vegetables particularly tomatoes and potatoes in Hosiarpur Taluk of Rajasthan in 1927, emergence of seed companies during the 1960s, the green revolution during the 1970s and finally the tomato farming contracts by PepsiCo in Punjab during the 1990s can be quoted as some of the milestones in the emergence of Contract Farming in India. Several cash crops like tea, coffee, rubber, indigo etc are introduced in various parts of the country, mostly through a central expatriate-owned estate surrounded by small out grower's model. Since the Green Revolution, the Central Government

started the largest Contract Farming model, through which it subsidized fertilizers, provided new hybrid variety seeds, provided training and also guaranteed the procurement by State agencies with a minimum support price. The Model Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act circulated by the Central Government to the States in 2003 for implementing marketing reforms has provisions for the registration of Contract Farming sponsors and recording of Contract Farming agreements with the Agricultural Produce Marketing Committee (APMC) or a prescribed authority under the Act, protection of title or rights of the farmers over the land under such contracts, dispute settlement mechanism and a model draft agreement suggesting various terms and conditions. To help States in the formulation of rules in this regard, the Ministry of Agriculture has also circulated a set of Model APMC Rules to them for adoption. By now, relevant provisions have been made by several state governments in their respective APMC Acts for providing a legal framework to Contract Farming.

Table 4.1: State wise Contract Farming initiatives by private companies in India

State	Company	Crop	Area(ha)
Karnataka	Himalaya Health Care Ltd.	Ashwagandha	700
	Mysore S N C oil Co.	Dhavana	400-500
	AVT Naturals Products Ltd.	Marigold and Caprica Chilli	4000
	Natural Remedies Pvt. Ltd.	Coleus	150
	20 Pvt. Companies	Gherkins	8000
Maharashtra	Tinna Oil and Chemicals	Soyabean	154,800
	ION Exchange Enviro Farms Ltd.	Several fruits, vegetables, cereals and pulses	19
Madhyapradesh	Cargil India Ltd.	Wheat, Maize and Soybean	17000
	Hindustan Lever Ltd	Wheat	15000
	ION Exchange Enviro Farms Ltd.	Several fruits, vegetables, cereals and pulses	12098
	ITC	Soybean	1200
Punjab	NIJER Agro Food Ltd.	Tomato and chilli	250
	United Breweries Ltd.	Barley	2270
	Satnam Overseas, Sukhjit Starch	Basmati, Maize	4000
	Satnam Overseas, Amira Indian Foods Ltd.	Basmati	14700
	PepsiCo India Ltd.	Basmati groundnut, potato and chilli	6000 (around)
	Nestle India Ltd.	Milk	65000000 kg. / day
Tamil Nadu	Super Spinning 570 mills	cotton	570
	Bhuvi Care Pvt. Ltd.	Maize	800
	Bhuvi Care Pvt. Ltd.	Paddy	200
	Appachi Company	cotton	260

Source: "Nature and Scope of Contract Farming in India" by H.S. Satish (2012)

5. Advantages and Problems of Contract Farming

5.1 Advantages of Contract Farming

5.1.1. Advantages for the Farmers

Farmers can use the contract agreement as collateral to arrange credit with a commercial bank in order to fund inputs. Thus, possible advantages of Contract Farming for farmers are given below

Provision for better inputs and production services: For ensuring a proper crop husbandry practices in order to achieve projected yields in required qualities many contractual arrangements involve considerable production support in addition to the supply of basic inputs such as seed and fertilizer. Sponsors may also provide land preparation, field cultivation and harvesting as well as free training and extension.

Easy access to Credit: With the collapse or restructuring of many agricultural development banks, the majority of small holder producers experience difficulties in obtaining credit for production inputs. Contract farming usually allows farmers access to some form of credit to finance production inputs.

Application of better technology: New production techniques are often necessary to increase productivity as well as to ensure that the commodity meets market demands. However, small scale farmers are frequently reluctant to adopt new technologies because of the possible risks and costs involved. Pri-

vate agribusiness will usually offer technology more diligently than government agricultural extension services because it has a direct economic interest in improving farmers' production.

Improvement in skills of the farmers: The skills the farmer learns through contract farming may include record keeping, the efficient use of farm resources, improved methods of applying chemicals and fertilizers, knowledge of the importance of quality and the characteristics and demands of export markets. Farmers can gain experience in carrying out field activities following a strict timetable imposed by the extension service.

Guaranteed Pricing System: The returns farmers receive for their crops on the open market depend on the prevailing market prices as well as on their ability to negotiate with buyers. This can create considerable uncertainty which, to a certain extent, contract farming can overcome. Frequently, sponsors indicate in advance the price(s) to be paid and these are specified in the agreement. Thus Contract Farming ensures guaranteed and fixed pricing structures.

Easy access to reliable market: Farmers will not cultivate unless they know they can sell their crop, and traders or processors will not invest in ventures unless they are assured that the required commodities can be consistently produced. Contract farming offers a potential solution to this situation by providing market guarantees to the farmers and assuring supply to the purchasers.

5.1.2. Advantages for the Sponsors

The possible advantages for the sponsors are as follows –

Political Acceptability: Contract farming, particularly when the farmer is not a tenant of the sponsor, is less likely to be subject to political criticism. It can be more politically expedient for a sponsor to involve smallholder farmers in production rather than to operate plantations.

Overcoming barriers on land restrictions: The majority of the world's plantations were established in the colonial era when land was relatively abundant and the colonial powers had little conscience about either simply annexing it or paying landowners least compensation.

Production consistency and shared risk: Working with contracted farmers facilitates sponsors to share the risk of production failure due to poor weather, disease, etc. The farmer takes the risk of loss of production while the company absorbs losses associated with reduced or nonexistent throughput for the processing facility.

Quality assurance: A steady markets for fresh and processed agricultural produce require reliable quality standards. Moreover, these markets are moving increasingly to a situation where the supplier must also conform to regulatory controls regarding production techniques, particularly the use of pesticides.

5.2 Problems of the Contract Farming

5.2.1. Problems faced by the farmers

The potential problems as confronted by the farmers due to Contract Farming are given below

Possibility of greater risk: Farmers who were entering into a new contract farming venture should be prepare themselves to assess the prospect of higher returns against the possibility of greater risk. Such risk is more expected when the agribusiness venture is introducing a new crop to the area.

Outdated technology and crop incongruity: The introduction of a new crop to be grown under conditions meticulously controlled by the sponsor can cause disruption to the existing farming system. Again, the introduction of sophisticated machines (e.g. for transplanting) may result in a loss of local employment and overcapitalization of the contracted farmer.

Manoeuvring in quotas and quality specifications: Incompetent management can lead towards production exceeding original targets. For example, failures of field staff to determine fields following transplanting can result in gross over planting. Sponsors may also have unrealistic expectations of the market for their product or the market may crumple unexpectedly owing to transport problems, civil unrest, change in government policy or the arrival of competitors.

Corruption: Problems occurs when staff responsible for issuing contracts and buying crops taking undue advantages of their position. Such practices result in a collapse of trust and communication between the contracted parties and soon undermine any contract. In a large contract, the sponsors can themselves be dishonest or corrupt. Governments have sometimes fallen victim to dubious or "fly-by-night" companies who have seen the opportunity for a quick profit.

5.2.2. Problems faced by the Sponsors

The possible problems as confronted by the Contract Farming Developers are outlined below –

Limitation on land availability: Farmers should have a suitable cultivable land on which they are to cultivate contracted crops. But problems can arise when farmers have minimal or no security of tenure as there is a possibility of drainage in sponsor's investment as a result of farmer - landlord disputes. Difficulties may also arise when sponsors lease land to farmers.

Social and Cultural constraints: Promoting Contract Farming is a cultural, customary beliefs and religious issues. In communities where custom and tradition play an important role, difficulties may arise when innovative farming is introduced. Therefore, before introducing new cropping practices, sponsors must consider the social attitudes and the traditional farming procedures of the community and decide how a new crop can be introduced.

Farmers disgruntlement: Sometimes, situations may crop up which may leads towards farmer discontent; e.g. biased buying, late payments, incompetent extension services, poor agronomic counsel, undependable transportation for crops, a mid-season change in pricing or management's impoliteness to farmers will all normally aggravate the relationship between sponsors and the farmers. If not readily addressed, such circumstances will cause antagonism towards the sponsors that may result in farmers withdrawing from projects.

Below quality agro-inputs: Sometimes farmers are forced to use inputs supplied under contract for the purposes other than those they were intended for. They may choose to utilize the inputs on their other cash and subsistence crops or even to sell them. As a result contracted crop's yields were reduced and the quality are affected. Improved monitoring by extension staff, farmer training and the issuing of realistic quantities of inputs can resolve the matter successfully.

5.2.3. Sale of crops by the farmers beyond contractual agreement:

The sale of produce by farmers to a third party, outside the terms of a contract, can cause major problem to the sponsors. However, extra-contractual sales are always possible when there is an alternative market. The outside buyers offered cash to farmers as opposed to the prolonged and difficult collection of payments negotiated through the cooperative.

6. Suggestion for Development of Contract Farming model of agriculture in India

Based on the above study, the following recommendations are made for an improved Contract Farming Model of agriculture in India -

Present provisions of institutional arrangement to record all contractual arrangements should be made effective. The Panchayat or Gram sabha, particularly in PESA areas or in case of Forest Right Holder communities, may be connected with this process. This will promote and strengthen confidence building between the parties and also help to solve any dispute arising out of violation of contract.

There should be a contract farmers association or cooperatives at the plant level which will improve bargaining power of the farmers and the sponsors and promote equality of partnership. It will also minimise the role of middlemen or commission agents who are involved in marketing of the contract commodities on behalf of the company.

The selection of appropriate plant genotype is one of the crucial factors for Contract Farming. Unless the plant material is of good quality and high yielding and also less prone to pests and diseases, the contract farmers may lose their confidence and discontinue the cultivation of contracted crop.

Every contract farming agreement should have a provision for both forward and backward linkages. Unless both input supply and market for the produce are assured, small farmers are not encouraged to participate in contract farming.

Bank finance to small and marginal farmers should be on easy terms.

A sustainable contract farming requires adequate infrastructure facilities e.g. roads, public transport, telephones, postal services, stable power and water supplies, cold storage facilities, etc. Therefore, it is the responsibility of the governments

to provide the minimum necessary infrastructure facilities like roads, electricity, cold storage, and market yards.

The contracts should be managed in clear and participatory manner so that there is greater social consensus in handling contract violation from either side without getting involved in costly and lengthy process of litigation. Also the terms of contract need to be more comprehensive and flexible.

In many parts of the country, agricultural tenancy is legally banned, although concealed tenancy exists. Tenants who do not enjoy security of tenure are unable to participate in contract farming. Hence, legalisation of tenancy is a prerequisite for the tenant farmers who will enter into contract farming. Although different forms of land tenants including share-croppers can be adopted to maintain the contract farming but security of tenure is a must.

As assured market of the farm motivates a farmer to enter into contract with a company, similarly market prospect for the processed products of the company should exist. Ultimately, it is the success of the company's product in national or international market, which decides whether contract farming for any particular crop or commodity would sustain.

The government must ensure that contract farming, which is generally a commodity specific and tends to promote monoculture, does not grow beyond certain limit which will destroy biodiversity and agricultural ecology.

8. Conclusion

Cooperative farming can help small and marginal farmers to achieve economies of scale by improving their bargaining power; however, it fails to arrest market access problem completely. Whereas the Contract farming can be prove successful in mitigating the problem of access the market in a farming structure. It could be evaluated as a way of providing earlier access to credit, input, information and technology and product markets for the small scale farming structure. Contract farming might also be seen as a way or as a part of rural development and promoted to improve agricultural performance especially in Third World Countries. Corporate Farming can be very suitable for utilizing huge waste and unutilized cultivable lands in India. However, contracts are too one sided. Indiscriminate opening up of agricultural sector to corporate companies can impact the social and economic equilibrium of the economy of our country very badly. However, if the government takes proper care in regulating the terms of contract, in order not to make them too skewed, higher efficiencies and hence greater societal welfare can be attained. Therefore Government of India should take proper steps in making most of the Corporate Farming model that brings in technology, efficiency and sustainability in the farming sector of our country.

Reference:

1. Asokan, S. R. (2005), "A perspective of contract farming with special reference to India", *Indian Journal of Agricultural Marketing*, 19(2), pp 94-106.
2. Bharti,V. (2008) , "Role of Private Sector in Contract Farming & Direct Procurement", GOI – FICCI Conference on APMC Model Rules.
3. Eaton C. and Shepherd A. W. (2001), "Contract Farming: Partnerships for Growth", FAO, Rome.
4. Harish N (2014). Contract Farming for Agricultural Development in India. *International Research Journal of Marketing And Economics*, Vol(1), Issue-4, July 2014. pp: 13-26.
5. Harish N (2014). The Role of Contract Farming in Employment & Income Generation of Farmers & Rural Development in India. *International Research Journal of Commerce, Business and Social Sciences*, Vol.II, Issue 11(I), Feb – 2014, page no 01-06.
6. Kumar J. and Kumar P.K. (2008), "Contract Farming: Problems, Prospects and its Effect on Income and Employment", *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, Vol. 21, July December 2008, pp 243- 250
7. Landes, R. & Gulati, A. (2004), "Farm Sector Performance and Reform Agenda", *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol 39(32), pp 3611-19.
8. Lavanya K.V.A. and Rathnakar S. (2014), "Contract Farming need of the hour", *The Management Accountant*, November 2014, pp 26 – 30.