

Colour Doppler Flow Study of Umbilical Artery, Uterine Artery and Middle Cerebral Artery in Intra Uterine Growth Restricted Foetuses

Sachin Kumar Singh	Assistant Prof, Deptt of Radiology, JLNMCH, BGP Correspondence Address-Aastha Scan Centre, Hatia Road, Tilkamanjhi, Landmark- Bihar Gramin Bank / Madhu Gas Agency, Bhagalpur, Bihar	
Geeta Rani	Senior Resident, Deptt of Obs & Gynae, JLNMCH, Bhagalpur	
Anand kr Murarka	Associate Prof & HOD, Deptt of Radiology, Jawaharlal Nehru Medical College and Hospital, Bhagalpur	

OBJECTIVES:The intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) takes second position after premature births as a cause for neonate with smaller weight for its gestational age. Perinatal mortality is eight times higher than normal weighted neonates. In India the incidence of low birth weight varies from 15 to 25% & more than 50% of them are IUGR.

METHODS:The present study was done in department of Radiology between 01st June 2011 to May 2016. A total of 200 cases of intrauterine growth restricted foetus were studied, Detail history was taken. General and Obstetrical examination was done along with routine haematological & urine investigations. Ultrasound examination was done for growth, AFI (Amniotic fluid Index) and placental localisation. Foetal well being was assessed with doppler study of umbilical artery, uterine artery and Middle cerebral artery.

RESULTS:In the present study abnormal colour doppler flow of umbilical, uterine and middle cerebral artery was seen in 82%, 76% & 68% respectively. 22% of foetus with IUGR had normal doppler study. 11% of pregnancies with abnormal doppler flow ended in the form of foetal demise compared to normal doppler flow where foetal demise was only 2.8%. Surgical intervention in the form of caesarean section was require in 76% of pregnancy with abnormal Doppler flow and 48% of pregnancies with normal doppler flow. Cerebro-placental ratio (CPR<1) is associated with 16% foetal demise in contrast to CPR>1 where foetal demise is 2%. Incidence of AEDF/REDF in IUGR was 10% that resulted in 55% loss of foetus.

CONCLUSION:Colour Doppler is a useful mode to predict foetal outcome in IUGR. Colour doppler allows better understanding of hemodynamic changes in feto-placental and uteroplacental circulation. The cerebroplacental ratio (CPR) is now the most powerful parameter for assessment of IUGR & hypoxia

KEYWORDS

IUGR- Intrauterine growth restriction, AEDF- Absent end diastolic flow, REDF- Reverse end diastolic flow, CPR- Cerebroplacental ratio

INTRODUCTION

IUGR (Intra uterine growth restriction) is an important and challenging problem for obstetricians, paediatricians and radiologists. Foetal growth restriction is a failure to reach genetically predetermined growth potential and is clinically suspected if sonographic estimates of foetal weight, size or symmetry are abnormal. Investigation of foetal anatomy assessment, amniotic fluid dynamics, uterine, umbilical and foetal middle cerebral artery doppler is the most effective approach to differentiate potentially manageable placenta based foetal growth restriction from aneuploidy, non aneuploid syndrome and viral infection. Once placenta based foetal growth restriction is diagnosed, integrating multivessel doppler and biophysical profile score provides information on longitudinal progression of placental dysfunction and degree of foetal aciduria, respectively IUGR is a leading contributor in perinatal morbidity and mortality affecting 23.8% newborns around the world and 75% are born in Asia alone. Perinatal mortality is 4.8% higher for growth restricted infants and morbidity is present in 50% of surviving infants. In India the incidence of low birth weight varies from 15 to 25% and more than 50% of them are IUGR.

Doppler velocimetry helps in early detection, prompt follow up and timely decision in the management of IUGR. The most useful diagnostic criteria are umbilical artery PI (pulsativity index) and cerebro-placental ratio (CPR).

Abnormal uterine artery waveforms is that with S/D ratio > 2.7 and persistence of diastolic notch beyond 26 weeks of gestation predicts developing IUGR later in pregnancy ^{3,4,5}.

Umbilical artery Doppler waveforms reflect the placental circulation and there is increase in end diastolic flow with advancing gestation.

Middle cerebral artery doppler provides an opportunity to assess the brain sparing effect in IUGR babies. This causes increased diastolic flow during foetal asphyxia.

Normally, diastolic component in cerebral arteries is longer than in umbilical arteries at any gestational age. So, that cerebral vascular resistance is higher than placental resistance and CPR >1.07. Major advantage of using CPR instead of RI or PI values is that the effect of increased heart rate is nullified.⁸ The aim of the study is to identify the high risk patients likely to have IUGR foetuses and to select appropriate mode and time of delivery under optimal conditions of foetus at risk. To evaluate outcome of pregnancy in cases of established IUGR in terms of perinatal morbidity and mortality.

METHODS

The present study was done in department of Radiology, JLN-MCH, Bhagalpur, Bihar between June 2011 to May 2016. A total of 200 cases of intrauterine growth restricted foetuses were studied. Detailed history was taken. General and obstetric examinations were done along with routine haematological and urine investigation. All the patients had first trimester ultrasound from which exact gestational age could be decided and IUGR was possible to diagnose.

Foetal well being assessment was done in terms of daily foetal movement count, non stress test serial ultrasound and colour Doppler, mode of delivery was decided on conditions of foetus. Neonates were examined in terms of weight, height APGAR score at 1 min & 5 min and perinatal morbidity was

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Possible etiologies of IUGR are placental disorders, maternal disorder and foetal disorders.

Table -1

MATERNAL			
Pre-eclampsia			
Chronic hypertension			
Chronic renal disease			
Connective tissue disorder			
Diabetes with vascular lesions			
Sickle cell Anaemia			
Cardiac disease class –III or IV			
Multiple gestation			
Severe malnutrition			
Smoking			
Alcohol ingestion			
Hemoglobinopathies			
PLACENTAL			
Abnormal placentation			
Chronic villitis			
Placental infarcts			
Placental praevia			
FOETAL			
Chromosomal abnormalities.			
Multifactorial defects			
Inborn error			
Infections			

Doppler study: Uterine artery and umbilical artery doppler Septier study: Oter he artery and unfolicid aftery doppler velocimetry studies enable the assessment of uteroplacental & fetoplacental unit. In this study about 82% IUGR foetus have abnormal colour doppler study of umbilical artery, 76% have abnormal study of uterine artery doppler and 68% with abnormal middle cerebral artery doppler. Only 22% of foetus with IUGR had normal Doppler.

Table-2. Abnormal Doppler flow in relation with oligonal descriptions of the property of the color of the color

mydramnios (AFI < 5 cm) (n= 200).

AFI	DOPPLER	
	Normal (44)	Abnormal (166)
>5	26 (59%)	(31.3%)
<5	18 (41%)	(68.67%) 114

About 68% of foetus with abnormal doppler have AFI <5 and 31% of IUGR foetus with abnormal doppler have AFI >5 i.e. normal AFI and 41% of IUGR foetus with normal doppler have decreased AFI and only 59% of IUGR foetus with normal doppler have AFI >5.

Table - 3. Doppler indices and Route of Delivery (n = 200).

	• •		
Doppler	Vaginal route	Caesarean section	Total
Abnormal	30(19%)	126 (81%)	156
Normal	23 (52%)	21 (48%)	44
Total	53	147	200

About 81% of foetuses with abnormal doppler were delivered by caesarean section and 19% with abnormal doppler were delivered vaginally.

Among 44 IUGR foetuses with normal doppler findings 52% were delivered vaginally and 48% by caesarean section.

These results are comparable with Lakhakar et al showing 62% of caesarean rate in abnormal doppler flow and 38% vaginal birth in their study.11

As immediate delivery is must in patient with severely compromised foetus in utero, the incidence of operative intervention is higher in this group.

11% of pregnancies with abnormal doppler flow ended in

the form of foetal demise compared to normal doppler flow. where foetal demise is 2.8%. Thus Doppler plays a crucial role in reducing perinatal mortality if timely decision is taken.

Table, 4. Perinatal outcome and absent / reverse end diastolic flow in umbilical artery (n=200).

	-	
Perinatal outcome	AEDF/ REDF	Percentage
Live	11	55 %
Expired	09	45 %
Total	20	100 %

AEDF- Absent end diastolic flow. REDF- Reverse end diastolic flow.

Absent or reversed end diastolic flow is associated with adverse foetal outcome in 45% of cases. It cause increase in death of foetuses in utero or in early neonatal period. This finding is comparable to the study by Battaglia et al having 50% mortality with absent / reverse end diastolic flow. 12

65% IUGR foetus with CPR <1 landed in NICU admission compared to 32% when CPR > 1. CPR< 1 is responsible for 16% perinatal death in contrast only 2% when CPR>1. This finding is compatible with Embrashy A. Azmy, U.Ibrahim (2005) who showed CPR < 1 identifies new born at risk of increased morbidity.

CONCLUSION

Although IUGR is probably a physiologic adaptive response to various stimuli, it is associated with distinct short and long term morbidities. Colour Doppler is a useful mode to predict foetal outcome in IUGR. Changes in uterine and umbilical artery strongly correlate with pregnancy outcome. State of umbilical artery is more predictive than that of uterine artery circulation in terms of neonatal outcome. The cerebroplacental ratio (CPR), which measures the proportion of flow supplying the brain and placental is now the most powerful parameter for assessment of IUGR & hypoxia. There is a strong association between AEDF / REDF in umbilical artery and adverse perinatal outcome. There is not only high risk rate of perinatal loss, but the surviving fetus demonstrates signs of profound compromise. Thus, doppler analysis is the most important tool to grade the severity of foetal disease.

Acknowledgement:-

The authors thanks to Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology and Department of Radiology, JLNMCH, Bhagalpur for the assistance, kind cooperation in the preparation of patient's protocol for this study.

REFERENCES

- Dasgupta S. Current concepts and management of IUGR in Indian scenario. Saraiya UB, Rao KA, Chatterjee A, Principles and practice of Obstetrics and Gynecology for Postgraduates (2nd edition) New Delhi: FOGSI Publication, Jaypee Brother, 2003:112.
- 2. Karowicz, Bilinska: Ginekol pol 2006: 77(12)945-51.
- Tekay A, Martikainen H, Jouppila P: Blood flow changes in uterine and ovari-3. an vasculature. Hum. Reprod. 1995; 10:688.
- 4. Valtin N, Sladkevicius P, Laurini R et al: Uteroplacental circulation in normal first trimester pregnancies: Doppler ultrasonographic and morphologic study. Am J. Obstet Gynecol 1996; 174:768
- 5. Meghna Toal, Shafagh Fallah, Cynthia, Chan: Usefulness of placental profile in high risk pregnancies. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007; 196 (4)363.
- 6. Manning FA, IUGR - Diagnosis, Prognostication and management based on ultrasound methods. In Manning FA: Foetal Medicine: Principles and practice. Norwalk, CT. Appleton and Lange 1995.
- William's Obstetrics 22nd Ed. F. Gary Cunningham, Kenneth J Leveno. Steven L Bloom, John C Wenstrom.
- 8. Gramellini D. Folli Mc Roboni S. Et al: CP ratio as predictor of adverse perinatal outcome. Am J. Obstret Gynecol 1992; 79:416.
- 9. Practical guide to high risk pregnancy and delivery, 3rd edition Fernando Arias, S Daftary A Bhinde.
- Chamberlain PE, manning FA Morrison I, et al. Ultrasound evaluation of amniotic fluid: the relationship of marginal and decreased amniotic fluid volumes to preinatal outcome. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1984:150:245.
- B.M. Lakhakar, HV, Rajgopal P.T.Gourishankar: Doppler prediction of adverse perinatal outcome in PIH and IUGR. Indian Jn of Radiology, Vol 16, 2006,

109-116

- Battaglia C. Artini PG, Galli et al (1993), AEDF / REDF in umbilical artery and severe IUGR: an ominous association Acta Obstet. Gynecol, Scand 72:167-171.
- Embrashya. Azmy U, Ibrahim M, Waly M Edris A: Cerebroplacental Ratio as sensitive index for foetal well –being and Neonatal outcome. Croat Med. J. 2005, Oct. 46 (5):821-5.