Original Research Paper

Medical Science



Correlation between medical students' performance and Psychiatry morbidity with students' economic and family conditions

* JENISH O. VANPARIA		MD Psy, Department of Psychiatry, Government medical college & New Civil hospital, Surat						
Mehta Y,	Ritambhara	MD Psy, HOD and Prof, Government medical college, Surat						
Shah M	lilima D	MD Psy, Assistant Prof, Government medical college, Surat MD Psy, Associate Prof, Government medical college, Surat						
Dave	(amlesh R							
CO pla rel Va SCC O Va SCC O Va SCC O Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va SCC Va Va Va SCC Va Va Va SCC Va Va Va SCC Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va Va	 Introduction : Studies from other parts of world have shown a high prevalence of academic difficulties in students is compromised family but studies in India especially amongst medical students are lacking. So a cross sectional study planned to assess effect of parental alive, marital and financial statuses on medical students' academic performance as we relation to psychiatric morbidity. Methods : A semi-structured questionnaire was given to 417 Under Graduate medical students containing demogrativariables and questions regarding Academic, Family and Financial stress variables as well as specific anxiety and depressiones. Results : Academic variables like students' perception of satisfaction with study, academic difficulty in understanding or revision of study, being late/missing classes, feeling of overloaded with study and exam related difficulties were compare with perceived support from family. Significant association found between Hamilton Anxiety and Depression scores and faissues. 2(6.9%) (p 0.01) students who reported their family support poor and also 2(15.4%) (p 0.002) students who had parents separated scored severe(>24) in anxiety scale. 3(10.3%) (p 0.03) of students who reported poor family support 2(15.4%) (p 0.02) of students with separated parents scored severe (>24) in depression scale compared to 2.3% of stud with parents living together. Conclusion : Previously commonly reported themes were replicated in this study as students perceiving compromised fa and financial support also perceived academic stress more and had some psychiatric morbidity. It is useful for all the mecolleges, media and government to carry out screening and management programmes as well as take care of such desertions. 							

students so they can give their optimum results.

KEYWORDS

academic stress, family, financial, anxiety, depression

Introduction

Parents are the most immediate relation of a child. Their marital, financial and alive statuses do have an important influence on the personality and development of child. Parents can better understand the educational needs and their children's aptitude. They can help their children in their education which affects their proficiency in their relative area of knowledge. Belonging to strong financial background, parents can provide facilities in a best possible way to enhance educational capability of their children.

Parental Socio-Economic factors are of vital importance in effecting students' educational achievements also. They are like backbone in providing financial and mental confidence to students. Education is a primary need in this era of globalization. Education not only gives insight, it also grooms the personality, inculcates moral values, add knowledge and gives skill. Education is necessary owing to the atmosphere of competition. In every field highly qualified people are needed. The world is making progress day by day because better education is the only key to match the pace of its progress. People are giving preference to higher education.

There could be number of factors that affect students' academic performance like parental economical status, parent's education and their involvement in child's studies, student's gender, time allocation, technology, available facilities and lots of more. Besides other factors, socio-economic status is one of the most researched and debated factor among educational professionals that contribute towards the academic performance of students.

The most prevalent argument is that the socio-economic status of learners affects the quality of their academic performance. Most of the experts argue that the low socio-economic status has negative effect on the academic performance of students because the basic needs of students remain unfulfilled and hence they do not perform better academically. The students having financial problems have to face various hurdles. Their financial problems distract them from their studies and they fail to get high grades and consequently have to suffer for finding a job.[1]

Causes of stress in medical education academic reasons and emotional factors are greater during the initial years while reasons related to patient care and physical factors are more important in subsequent years. Long working hours, lack of peer support, competitive environment, rigid authoritative non encouraging faculty, an imbalance between professional and personal lives, lack of recreational activities, staying away from home, financial problems, an uncertain future, emergency situations, speedy decisions, life and death issues, cultural and minority issues, mismatch between capability and expectation are some reasons of stress[2]. Stress as the perception of discrepancy between environmental demands and individual capacities to fulfil these demands[3]. While researcher defines stress as the adverse reaction people have to excessive pressure or other types of demands placed on them. Stress occurs when an individual is confronted by a situation that they perceive as overwhelming and cannot cope up with.

While the negative effects of stress on an individual may vary considerably from one student based on their previous encounter with situations and the resilience of the individual student. The perception of the individual determines whether or not the stressor has a detrimental effect; that is whether it causes physical or psychological symptoms of stress in the individual.

Parents are in the strongest position to develop positive relationships with their children that facilitate the acquisition of standards and values; and also parents are better able to monitor and understand their children behavior than anyone else because of their long and sustained exposure to them, as a result to minimize the effect of a lower level of academic achievement and psychological problems[4]. So nowadays, the condition of parents' marital as well as parents' alive status plays a great role for child development. Specifically, divorce, remarriage and single parental status have an impact on children adjustment. For this reason, there is an increased attention to investigate the overall effect of family transitions on the well being of children. Regarding the relationship between family conditions and the behavior of children some studies show that harmonious marriages promote children's competence and maturity. Others demonstrate that marital conflict or divorce tends to be associated with the children's cognitive delay, academic difficulties, and antisocial or withdrawn behavior in the early school years[5-11]. Children from intact families, on average, display less behavior problems, less psychological distress, and greater academic achievement than do children of divorce [6-8][12-14]

Somewhat differently, other studies have tried to examine the importance of the access to both parents than separated. In one study following parental separation, in general, 30% of the children has experienced a marked decrease in their academic performance, and this was evident three years later [15-16].

[17] The study revealed that insufficient parental income, family type and lack of funding by governments are factors influencing students' academic performance. [19], [18], [20] carried out separate study on social economic status in education research and policy, found that social economic background remains one of the major sources of educational inequality and adds that one's educational success depends very strongly on the social economic status of one's parents. [22] Agree with [21], in their study on the influence of social and economic disadvantage in the academic performance of students found that families where the parents are advantaged socially, educationally and economically foster a higher level of achievement in their children. They also found that these parents provide higher levels of psychological support for their children through environments that encourage the development of skills necessary for success at school.

On the contrary [23] in their study on educational and social economic background of undergraduates and academic performance found that students coming from disadvantaged socioeconomic and educational homes perform relatively better than those coming from higher socioeconomic and educational strata.

[24] In his study concurs with previous studies, a student who comes from a higher socio-economic group will achieve better test results than a student from a lower socio-economic group.

[24] The findings of many empirical studies suggest that children whose parents are at the bottom of the social economic hierarchy are not as inclined to seek or gain access to available facilities as the children with families are located at the middle or top of the hierarchy. [25] shared similar view with [24] from her study that students from the bottom quartile consistently perform below students from the top quartile of socioeconomic status. Most Western Universities offer student welfare programs through committees, self-help groups, websites, counselling centres and so on. Studies from other parts of world have shown a high prevalence of academic difficulties in students with compromised parental and financial support but studies in India especially amongst medical students are lacking. India has the largest numbers of medical colleges and medical students. With this aim a cross sectional study was planned to assess effect of parental alive, marital and financial statuses on medical students' academic performance as well as relation to psychiatric morbidity.

METHODOLOGY

A cross sectional study was done on Under Graduate medical students studying at Government Medical College. Study included 4 batches studying during the year, 2011-12, which include second year (227), third year (116) and final year(74).

After taking approval from the Human Research Ethics Committee and the college dean, a session was arranged for each batch. During the session, students were explained about the study and were given instructions for data filling. Each query was solved simultaneously. Appropriate time was given to make it easier for the students to complete the questionnaire and return it during the same session. After that, a session on stress management was taken by department of psychiatry. Selected time for the study was midterm for each batch. First year batch which was recently admitted in the medical college was not included in this study. All Under Graduate medical students who gave (oral) consent were included in the study and students who didn't give consent or participate in study were excluded from the study

INSTRUMENTS: A semi-structured questionnaire was prepared which contains demographic variables and questions regarding Academic, Family and Financial stress variables as well as specific anxiety and depression scales.

Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HARS) and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS)

Several instruments have been developed to measure anxiety and depression. The most widely used of these are the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HARS) and Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HDRS) (Hamilton, 1959, 1969), a measure regarded highly enough to have been included in the National Institute of Mental Health Standard Assessment Battery.

The 14-item, the version most widely used with adults was used in rating the adolescents in its original form. As each of the 14 items is rated from 0 (not present) to 4 (severe).[26-28]

The items assessing depressed mood includes a combination of affective, behavioral, and cognitive features, such as gloomy attitude, pessimism about the future, subjective feeling of sadness, and tendency to weep. The general somatic symptoms item, which is also symptomatically heterogeneous, includes feelings of heaviness, diffuse backache, and loss of energy. Headache is coded only as part of somatic anxiety along with such symptoms as indigestion, palpitations, and respiratory difficulties. Genital symptoms for women entail loss of libido and menstrual disturbances. Most items on the Hamilton depression scale at least are scaled so that increasing scores represent increasing severity. Then those data was entered into the computer and statistically analyzed by using various tests with software Epi Info.

Results

Total 417 undergraduate medical students were surveyed which include students from second year to final year among which 214(51.7%) were male and 203(48.3%) were female students. Most of students were between 19 to 22 years of age.

Comparison was done amongst family and financial parameters with various academic variables and anxiety and depression scores. There was a significant association found that students (p=0.02) who reported poor to average family support also reported poor level of satisfaction with their study compared to students who had good family support. Along with this there was a significant association found between students' parents' alive status and their level of satisfaction as (p 0.01) students who had any of their parents dead reported poor level of satisfaction compared to students who had both their parents alive.

On assessing the association between medical study or assignment related difficulty and family or financial issues there was a significant association found between difficulty in understanding medical concepts and parent's marital status as (p 0.02). There was also a significant association (p 0.01) between parents' alive status and recall as students, who had their either parents dead reported difficulty in recall or revision of study compared to students who denied having any difficulty for the same.

There was a significant relation found between the results of students' missing class and family support. (p 0.001) Students with perception of poor support from family reported missing class or being late to class compared to students who had good family support. Again (p 0.02) students who had either of their parents dead also reported missing class compare students who had both of their parents alive.

Regarding students' perception of study load with medical studies, there was a significant association (p 0.04) found between students with single parent and feeling overloaded with studies. But there was no significant association found with these students' perception of load with medical studies with other family and financial support. There was no significant association found between other family parameters and students' perception of their academic performance.

There was a significant relation found between the results of students' perception of difficulties with any subject (p 0.02) as well as exam issues (p 0.001) with of students with single parent.

There was a significant association found between Hamilton anxiety and depression scores and family issues. 2(6.9%) students who reported their family support poor also scored severe (>24) in anxiety scale compared to 1(0.3%) student who reported good family support (p 0.01). Also 2(15.4%) students who had their parents separated also scored severe in anxiety scale compare to 1(0.3%) student who had their parents together (p 0.002). But there was no significant association found between anxiety severity and financial issues.

10.3% of students who reported poor family support also scored severe (>24) in Hamilton depression scale compared to 2.1% of students who reported good family support which was found statically significant (p 0.03). Again 15.4% of students with separated parents scored severe in depression scale compared to 2.3% of students with parents living together (p 0.04). There was no significant association found between depression severity and financial issues..

Previously commonly reported themes were replicated in this study as many of the students perceiving compromised family and financial support also perceived academic stress more and had some psychiatric morbidity.

Discussion

Medical students are a valuable, intellectual and service-providing human resource for our future and stress in them leads to less productivity, reduced quality of life, learning difficulties and may negatively affect patient care. Medical education and training are cost and time-intensive.

Each family setting has to give attention for taking formal responsibility by parents for child's education and support. This study targets at exploring influences of parents' alive, marital and perceived socio-economic status on medical students' academic progress and relevance to psychiatry morbidity. Through analysis of data, the findings show that these parameters do have effects on student's academic achievements as well as it may be causative factor for psychiatry morbidity amongst these students.

Our findings are replicated by various researches, as per shown in review, the academic difficulties faced by students raised in compromised homes in terms of parental marital, alive and financial status. However, despite the statistics, many students from these homes do attain academic success.

Across all socio-economic groups, parents, face major challenges when it comes to providing optimal care and education for their children. For families in poverty, these challenges can be formidable. Sometimes, when basic necessities are lacking, parents must place top priority on housing, food, clothing and health care. Unfortunately, relatively few researchers can help influence public policy by understanding factors which are associated with academic achievement and promote training, education, and advocacy programs which support these students. Expanding our understanding of single-parent families is crucial if we are to have significant impact on policy and be able to meet the needs of all people. Counselling Psychology cannot afford to rest on its past achievements regarding diversity and inclusion, we must continue to expand our thinking and reach out to deserved individuals and families.

There should be committees consisted on educated persons to guide those students whose parents cannot provide them a guide line in the educational problems. Moreover, this committee should also help the needy students by providing them financial aid. Media should launch a campaign regarding the importance of education and motive rich people to help those students who are intelligent but Socio Economic Status is an obstacle in their educational career. Media and government should play active roles in informing these parents about the importance of best education in today's world. This paper has been a review of research from the past few years regarding academic performance of students amongst single parent and financially poor families.

It is useful for all the medical colleges to carry out screening and management programmes to identify, prevent and eventually reduce stress; as a part of their medical curriculum. It is time to make medical education interesting, restore enthusiasm in the students and to project a more realistic, humane image of the profession. This would decrease the amount of stress and its consequences. It is imperative that future physicians are healthy themselves before they treat others. Multiple areas for future inquiry have been suggested and it is the hope of this author that science can influence policy to ensure all students receive equitable resources and are given the opportunity to give their best.

Results of effect Of Parental Alive, Marital And Financial Statuses On Medical Students' Academic Performance, Anxiety And Depression.

No	VARIABLES	PERCEIVED FANn(%)	11LY SUPPORT	PARENT'S MARITAL STATUS n(%)		PARENTAL AL n(%)		PERCEIVED FINANCIAL SUPPORT n(%)	
		Average- Poor 29(7.1)	Very Good- Good 382(92.9)	Separated 13(3.2)	Together 394(96.8)	Any dead 53(12.7)	Both alive 364(87.4)	Very Good- Good 164(39.4)	Average - Poor 252(60.6)

	Students' per	ception of sat		,	/							
	Not satisfactory	26(89.7)	27	7(72.5)	12(92.3)	286(72.6)) 32(60.4)		275(75.5)	126(76.8)	180(71.4)	
	Satisfactory	3(10.3)	10	5(27.5)	1(7.7)	108(27.4)	21(39	9.6)	89(24.5)	38(23.2)	72(28.6)	
	P= 0.02		P=0.06			P=0.01			P=0.1			
	Academic dif	ficulty- Difficu	lty in u	nderstanding	g study mater							
2	No	25(86.5)	34	8(91.1)	9(69.2)	9(69.2) 360(91.4)		49(92.5) 330(90.7)		145(88.4)	234(92.9)	
	Yes	4(13.8)	34	(8.9)	4(30.8)	34(8.6)	4(7.5))	34(9.3)	19(11.6)	18(7.1)	
		P=0.27	I		P=0.02		P=0.4	P=0.45		P=0.3		
3	Academic dif	Academic difficulty- Difficulty in recall or revi				ion of study				1 -0.5		
	No	22(75.9)		6(69.6)	8(61.5)	280(71.1)	30(56.6)		264(72.5)	108(65.9)	186(73.8)	
	Yes	7(24.1)	4.1) 116(30.4		5(38.5)	8.5) 114(28.9)		8.4)	100(27.5)	56(34.1)	66(26.2)	
	100	P=0.31			P=0.32)1	1.00(27.0)	P=0.2	100(2012)	
	Academic dif	ficulty- Medic	al Stud	v consumes			10 0.0			1. 0.2		
	No	19(65.5)		2(64.4)	9(69.2)	251(64.7)	28(54	. 9)	238(66.1)	89(55.6)	177(70.8)	
4	Yes	10(34.5)		4(35.6)	4(30.8)	137(35.3)	23(45		122(33.9)	71(44.4)	73(29.2)	
						,			(,			
-	life as a most	P=0.4	probl-	matic	P=0.3	P=0.0	10		P=0.001			
		ical student is			11/04 ()		44(83)		142/00 0	220/00 5	
5	No	23(79.3)		2(89.5)	11(84.6)	352(89.3)		5)	327(89.8)	142(86.6)	228(90.5)	
	Yes	6(20.7)	40	(10.5	2(15.4)	42(10.7)	9(17)		37(10.2)	22(13.4)	24(9.5)	
		P=0.09			P=0.41		P=0.1	0		P=0.15		
	Thora is diffi	ulty in dealing		anu narticula	r subject							
				$\frac{d}{d}$		316(80)		22/74	201/00	140/05 0)	224/02.0	
5	No	25(80)		<u>306(79)</u>	14(77)			32(74)	291(80)		234(92.9	
	Yes	8(20)	/	76(21)	4(33)	78(20)		11(26)	73(20)	23(14.1)	18(7.1)	
		P=0.04			P=0.1		P=0.02		P=0.08			
		ulty in finishir				210/70)			200(70)	10C(CAC)	177/70 2	
7	No	24(72) 9(28)		97(77) 5(33)	10(55)	<u>310(78)</u> 84(22)		37(69)		106(64.6)	177(70.2	
	Yes		0	5(33)			16(31) 78(22)			58(35.4) 75(29.8)		
	There are his	P=0.6		in avera had	P=0.002			P=0.001			P=0.1	
		tories of going					48(90) 336(92)			54(32.9) 117(46.4)		
3	No Yes	29(87)	3) 29(8)		3(17)			5(10)			135(53.6	
	res	4(13) P=0.6			3(17) 30(8) P=0.1		5(10) 28(8) P=0.06			110(67.1) 135(53.6 P=0.22		
		JF=0.0			F=0.1			JF=0.00		F=0.22		
	There are late	/missing class	es									
	No	21(72.4		351(91.9)	11(84.6)	354(90.1)	43(81	1)	332(91.5)	140(85.9)	234(92.9)	
9	Yes	8(27.6)		31(8.1)	2(15.4)	39(9.9)	10(18		31(8.5)	23(14.1)	18(7.1)	
	105		P=0.001			P=0.38		P=0.02		P=0.08		
	There is feeling	ere is feeling of overloaded w			10.00			-		10.00	1	
	No	20(69)		259(67.8)	6(46.2)	272(69)	32(60) 4)	252(69.2)	106(64.6)	177(70.2)	
10	Yes	9(31)		123(32.2)	7(53.8)	122(31)	21(39		112(30.8)	58(35.4)	75(29.8)	
		P=0.53	IP-0 53		P=0.04		P=0.12		P=0.1			
	Perception of	academic per			11 = 0.04		11 = 0.1	2		11 = 0.1		
11	Good-Very G		14(48.3) 156(4		3(23.1) 163(41.4)		24(45.3) 148(40.7)		54(32.9)	117(46.4)		
	Average- Poc			226(59.6)	10(76.9)	231(58.6)	29(54.7)		216(59.6)	110(67.1)	135(53.6)	
	Average 100		P=0.27		P=0.15	1231(30.0)		P=0.31		P=0.22	[155(55.0/	
		1 -0.27	1 -0.15				11-0.22					
	Hamilton An	kiety Rating Sc	ale(sev	ere) (Score	>24)							
										1		
2	Negative	27(93.)	27(93.1)	381(99.7)	11(84.6)	393(99.7)	53(100)		361(99.2)	161(98.2)	252(100)	
~												
	Positive	2(6.9)		1(0.3)	2(15.4)	1(0.3)	0		3(100)	3(1.8)	0	
	USILIVE	P=0.01										
	Hamilton Da	P=0.01 Hamilton Depression Rating S		(covora)/ C-	P=0.002		P=0.66		P=0.06			
13	Negative	26(89.	()	374(97.9)	11(84.6)	385(97.7)	51(96		355(97.5)	158(96.3)	247(98)	
	Positive		3(10.3) 8(2.1)		2(15.4)	9(2.3)		2(3.8) 9(2.5)		6(3.7) 5(2)		
	1	IP=0.03	P=0.03		P=0.04		P=0.41		P=0.23			

References:

- Musarat Azhar, Sundus Nadeem, Faqiha Naz, Fozia Perveen & Ayesha Sameen. Impact of parental education and socio-economic status on academic achievements of university students. International Journal of Academic Research and Reflection Vol. 1 No. 3, 2013
- [2] Wolf TM. (1994). Stress, coping and health Enhancing well being during medical school. Medical Education, 28; 8-17
- [3] Mawah NJ, Erlbaum, Vermunt R, Steensma H. (2005). How can justice be used to manage stress in organisations? In J.Greenberg and J.A. Colquitt (Ed.), Handbook of organisational justice; 383-410
- [4] Deci, Edward L; and others (1981). An Instrument to Assess Adults' Orientations Towards Control Versus Autonomy with Children: Relation on Intrinsic Motivation and Perceived Competence. Journal Of Educational Psychology, 73,642-650
- [5] Gottman, J.M. & Katz, L.F. (1989). "The Effects of Marital Discord on Young

Children's Peer Interaction and Health", Developmental Psychology, 25,373-381

- [6] Guidubaldi, J. & Perry, J.D. (1985). "Divorce and Mental Health Sequelae For Children: A Two Year Follow-Up of A Nationwide Sample," Journal of the American Academy Of Child Psychiatry, 24, 531-537.
- [7] Hetherington, (1985). Long –term Effects of Divorce and Remarriage on the adjustment of children. Journal Of The American Academy Of Child Psychiatry, 24,518-530.
- [8] Wallerstein, J.S., Corbin, S.B., & Lewis, J.M. (1988) "Children of Divorce: A Ten-Year Study. In E.M Hetherington & J.Arastem (Eds.), Impact of Divorce, Single- Parenting and Step- Parenting on Children. Hillisdale, NJ: Erlbaum
- [9] Weissman (1983). "The Depressed Mother and her Rebellious Adolescents. In H. Morrison (Ed.) Children of Depressed Parents Risk, Identification, and Intervention (pp. 99- 113). New York: Grun & Stratton

[10] Brown, S.L. (2004). "Family Structure and Child Well-Being: The Significance of

Parental Cohabitation." Journal of Marriage and Family, 66, 351-367.

- [11] Fomby, Paula, and Andrew J. Cherlin. 2007. "Family Instability and Child Well-Being." American Sociological Review 72:181-204.
- [12] Allison, P.D. and Furstenberg, F.F (1989). "How Martial Dissolution Affects Children: Variation by Age and Sex." Developmental Psychology,25,540-549.
- [13] Gershoff, E. T., Aber, J. L., Raver, C. C., & Lennon, M. C. (2007). Income is not enough: Incorporating material hardship into models of income associations with parent mediators and child outcomes. Child Development, 78(1), 70-95.
- [14] Magnuson, K., and Berger, L. (2009). Family Structure States and Transitions: Associations with Children's Wellbeing and During Middle Childhood. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 71, 575- 591
- [15] Bisnaire .L, Firestone p. & Rynard D. (1990). "Factors Associated with Academic Achievement in Children Following Parental Separation." American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 60(1), January, 1990
- [16] Aughinbaugh, A., C.R. Pierret, and D.S. Rothstein (2005). "The Impact of Family Structure Transitions on Youth Achievement: Evidence from the Children of the NLSY79." Demography, 42 (3): 447-468
- [17] Akanle O. Basil (2007), "Socio-Economic Factors Influencing Students Academic Performance in Nigeria Some Explanation from a Local Survey," Sociology and Social work community. Free online library.
- [18] Jeynes, William H. (2002). Examining the effects of parental absence on the academic achievement of adolescents: the challenge of controlling for family income. Journal of family and Economic Issues 23(2).
- [19] Eamon, M.K (2005). Social demographic, school, neighborhood, and parenting influences on academic achievement of Latino young adolescents. Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 34(2), 163-175.
- [20] Ainley, J, Graetz, B., Long, M. and Batten, M. (Eds). Social economic Status and School Education. Canberra: DEET/ACER.
- [21] Pedrosa, et al (2006). Educational and social economic background of undergraduates and academic performance: consequences for affirmative action programs at a Brazilian research university. Retrieved on September 9, 2007.
- [22] Considine, G. & Zappala, G. (2002). Influence of social land economic disadvantage in the academic performance of school students in Australia. Journal of Sociology, 38, 129-148. Retrieved on August 16, 2007
- [23] Rothman, S. (2003). Young people from low SES families and participation in higher education: Evidence from five Australian Cohorts. Paper presented at the National Higher Education Forum, Canberra, and September 2003.
- [24] Osonwa, O.K., Adejobi, A.O., Iyam, M.A., Osonwa, R. H. (2013) Economic Status of Parents, a Determinant on Academic Performance of Senior Secondary Schools Students in Ibadan, Nigeria, Journal of Educational and Social Research Vol. 3 (1).
- [25] Combs, H. P (1985). The world crisis in education: the view from the eighties. New York: Oxford press.
- [26] Maier W, Buller R, Philipp M, Heuser I. The Hamilton Anxiety Scale: reliability, validity and sensitivity to change in anxiety and depressive disorders. J Affect Disord 1988;14(1):61–8.
- [27] Williams JB. Standardizing the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale: past, present, and future. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci 2001; 251(suppl 2):II6-12
- [28] Michael BR, Ryder GA, Deborah RS. The Hamilton Depression Rating Scale: Has the gold standard become a lead weight?. Am J Psychiatry 2004;161:2163-2177.10.1176