

International University, Faridabad.				
Sharma M	AssistantProfessor. Department of Nutrition & Dietetics. Manav Rachna International University, Faridabad.			
Sharma A	AssistantProfessor. Department of Nutrition & Dietetics. Manav			

ABSTRACT

	Rachna International University, Faridabad.
compounds that have ant The present study aims to from lemon peel was man obtained powder. The was were prepared from this p different concentrations (! Results revealed that the	rce of vitamin C which is a most important water soluble vitamin and contains unique flavanoid ti-cancer and antioxidant properties. Lemon peels were used to obtain high dietary fiber powder. If develop a crystalline candies made from lemon peel and jiggery. The high dietary fiber powder de by two methods washing and oven drying and evaluation was done for fiber content of the ished method powder was rich in high dietary fiber (59g) and vitamin C (112.82mg). Hard candies powder. Jaggery was included in the candies to reduce the bitterness of the powder. Candies with 5g,7.5g,10g) of this powder were developed. Sensory evaluation was done by both hedonic score. hard candy prepared with 5g of lemon peel powder were most acceptable. The candy provides in C (5.2mg) in 50 grams of candies.

**KEYWORDS** 

Lemon, Antioxidants, Dietary fiber

### INTRODUCTION

Lemon is an important medicinal plant of the family Rutaceae. It is cultivated mainly for its alkaloids, which are having anticancer activities and the antibacterial potential in crude extracts of different parts (viz., leaves, stem, root and flower) of Lemon against clinically significant bacterial strains has been reported[1]. The origin of the lemon is unknown, though lemons are thought to have first grown in Assam (a region in northeast India), northern Burmaor China.[2] A study of the genetic origin of the lemon reported it to be hybrid between bitter orange (sour orange) and citron.[2]

Lemons contain numerous phytochemicals including polyphenols and terpens[3] As with other citrus fruits, they have significant concentrations of citric acid (about 47 g/l in).[13]

Lemon with Peel(Average siz	(e)
Calories 22	Calories from Fat 3
Total Fat 0.32g	Saturated Fat 0.042g
Polyunsaturated Fat 0.096g	Monounsaturated Fat 0.012g
Sodium Content 3mg	Potassium Content 157mg
Dietary Fiber Content 5.1g	Protein Content 1.3g
Lemon Peel(100 g)	
Calcium 134mg	Potassium 160mg

# Table 1: Nutritional Composition of lemon with peel and lemon $peel^{[4]}$

Lemon and limes are excellent source of vitamin C which is a most important water soluble antioxidant and contains unique flavanoid compounds that have anti-cancer and antioxidant properties. They have been shown to stop cell division in many cancer cells and have other antibiotic properties. Vitamin C acts as a great scavenger and neutralizes any free radical which comes in contact with the aqueous environments in the body. Free radicals can cause lot of harm to the body causing inflammation and painful swellings. Thus vitamins C from limes and lemons have a protective effect against inflammatory conditions like rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis and asthma. A study proved that vitamin C from lemons and limes protection against inflammatory polyarthritis (involving two or more joints), a form of rheumatoid arthritis in subjects who consumed diet rich in vitamin C than those who consumed lowest amounts of vitamin C rich foods. Vitamin C also plays an important role in enhancing the immune system and preventing recurrent infections, colds and flu's. Many researches prove that consuming fruits and vegetable rich in vitamin C helps to reduce the heart diseases, stroke and cancer.

Citrus fruits also contain non-starch polysaccharides (NSP), commonly known as dietary fibre, which is a complex carbohydrate with important health benefits. The predominant type of fibre in citrus is pectin, making up 65 to 70 percent of the total fibre. The remaining fibre is in the form of cellulose, hemicellulose and trace amounts of gums. Citrus also contains lignin, a fibre-like component. In the body, NSP holds water-soluble nutrients in a gel matrix which delays gastric emptying and slows digestion and absorption. This tends to promote satiety, and may reduce the rate of glucose uptake following consumption of glycemic (available) carbohydrate, thus helping to prevent a surge in blood glucose levels. Improper regulation of blood glucose results in either hyperglycemia (high blood glucose) or hypoglycemia (low blood glucose). NSP can also interfere with the reabsorption of bile acids which may help in lowering plasma cholesterol levels. [5]

### METHODOLOGY

The study was done under four phases. Phase I was product development. Firstly Lemons were dried and lemon peel was grinded in to a powder the drying was done by two methods (wet oven drying and dry oven drying). In dry oven drying method, the lemon peels(1kg) were cut into pieces and were put into the hot air oven and dried at 70°C. The drying process continued until the mass of sample reached at the equilibrium and seemed to be totally dried. The dried lemon peels were ground using blender and then sieved and packed in the plastic container until analysis. In wet oven drying method the lemon peels (1kg) were washed with water for a min as washing enhances the water holding capacity and then excess water was removed after which the peels were put into the hot air oven and dried at 70°C. The The drying process continued until the mass of sample reached at the equilibrium and seemed to be totally dried. The dried lemon peels were ground using blender and then sieved and packed in the plastic container until analysis. The powder was then analyzed for Fibre content. The result revealed that the fibre content was higher in the wet oven drying powder as compared to dry oven drying powder. The reason can be that the water holding capacity increases when you wet the lemon first and then oven dried the lemons. Therefore, for further analysis wet oven dried lemon peel powder was used. The Hard candies were prepared by standardized recipe by without lemon peel powder and taken as control. After the standardization, three variation of crystalised candies were made, Sample T, (Hard candies incorporated with 5g of lemon powder), Sample  $\dot{T}_{2}$  (Hard candies incorporated with 7.5g of lemon powder) and Sample 3 (Hard candies incorporated with 10g of lemon powder). Phase II include sensory evaluation of the samples was carried out using 10 panelists from Manav Rachna International University. A nine-point Hedonic scale one (1) and nine (9) representing "extremely dislike" and "extremely like", respectively, was used. The qualities assessed include appearance, texture, colour, and overall acceptability. Phase III includes Fiber and Vitamin c analysis of the product. The fiber contents were determined by the AOAC.(2000). The last phase was statistically test was done by using SPSS version 20 software. The analysis includes mean, standard deviation, t - test, Anova for comparative results.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The present study was conducted to develop a product from high dietary fiber powder developed from lemon peel i.e. Crystallized Candies and to evaluate its fiber content, antioxidant properties of the product.

### ASSESMENT OF FIBER AND VITAMIN C CONTENT LEVEL BETWEEN WET AND DRY METHOD

# Table 1: Nutritional value of lemon peel powder per 100gms

Nutrients	Metho	P value			
	Dry method	b b			
Fiber*	48.7 ±0.1	59.9±0.2	0.01		
Vitamin C	108.34±0.3	112.82±0.1	0.83		
*Significance at P <0.05					

The Table 1 revealed the nutritional value of lemon peel per 100gm. The fiber content and Vitamin C was evaluated . The fiber content by wet method of drying was more (59.90 gm) as compared to dry method (48.7 gm) and the differences were statistically significant (p<0.05) . The Vitamin C content was also more of wet method as compared to dry method but the differences were not statistically significant (p=0.83). So, the above table states that fibre content was more in the lemon peel powder developed from wet method of drying.

# Table 2 Mean acceptability score of attributes between the sample $T_1$ , $T_2$ and $T_3$ by hedonic scoring

PARAM- ETERS	T1	Т2	Т3	f <sub>value</sub>	P <sub>value</sub> (ANO- VA)
Appear- ance*	7.6±0.89	6.8±0.91	6.2±1.03	5.6	0.00
Texture	7.0±1.24	6.0±1.63	5.9±0.73	2.3	0.11
Color	7.5±1.17	6.7±1.05	6.5±1.17	2.15	0.13
Taste*	7.5±1.43	6.4±0.96	5.7±0.82	6.7	0.00
Aroma	6.8±1.47	6.3±0.82	5.6±0.69	3.2	0.54
Mouth- feel*	7.1±1.19	5.8±1.54	5.1±1.28	5.6	0.00
Overall Accepta- bility*	7.6±0.96	6.2±1.22	5.8±1.22	6.7	0.00

#### \*Significance at P<0.05

Sample T<sub>1</sub> (5gms of lemon peel powder)

Sample T<sub>2</sub> (7.5gms of lemon peel powder)

Sample T<sub>3</sub> (10gms of lemon peel powder)

Table 2 depicts the mean acceptability score of attributes between the samples: Hard Candies by hedonic scoring In appearance , there was statistically significant difference between the samples as determined by one-way ANOVA .T<sub>1</sub> has the highest mean value 7.6±0.89 whereas T<sub>3</sub> has lowest mean value 6.2±1.03. The differences were statistically significant(p<0.05).

For texture  $T_1$  had the highest mean value 7.0±1.24 whereas  $T_3$  has lowest mean value 5.9±0.73.The results were not statistically significant (p=0.11) among the samples.

 $\rm T_1$  had the highest mean value 7.5±1.17 for color whereas  $\rm T_3$  has the lowest mean value 6.5±1.17 and there was no statistically significant difference (p=0.13) between the samples .

For taste T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean value 7.5±1.43 whereas T<sub>3</sub> has the lowest mean value 5.7±0.82.The results revealed that there was statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the samples which means T<sub>1</sub> was more acceptable as compared to other samples.

In aroma T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean value  $6.8\pm1.47$  and T<sub>3</sub> has the lowest mean value  $5.6\pm0.69$ .So it has no statistically significant difference (p=0.54) between T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> samples.

In mouthfeel there was a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the samples as T<sub>1</sub> has the highest mean value 7.1±1.19 whereas T<sub>3</sub> has the lowest mean value 5.1±1.28. So T<sub>1</sub> was most acceptable.

The overall acceptability was the highest for T<sub>1</sub> 7.6±0.96 and for T<sub>3</sub> was the lowest 5.8±1.22.The difference was however statistically significant (p<0.05).So T<sub>1</sub> was more acceptable regarding texture, color, taste, mouthful and even overall acceptable as compared to other samples.

# Table 3 Mean acceptability score of attributes betweenthe samples: Hard Candies by hedonic scoring

PARAM- ETERS	STANDARD	T1	Т2	Т3	f <sub>value</sub>	P <sub>value</sub> (ANO- VA)
Appear- ance	6.5±1.58	7.6±0.89	6.8±0.91	6.2±1.03	1.2	0.5
Texture*	6.3±1.15	7.0±1.24	6.0±1.63	5.9±0.73	0.87	0.20
Color*	6.6±1.17	7.5±1.17	6.7±1.05	6.5±1.17	1.33	0.21
Taste*	6.6±1.77	7.5±1.43	6.4±0.96	5.7±0.82	1.56	0.34
Aroma	5.9±1.28	6.8±1.47	6.3±0.82	5.6±0.69	2.4	0.11
Mouth- feel*	6.1±1.44	7.1±1.19	5.8±1.54	5.1±1.28	0.5	0.02
Overall Accepta- bility*	6.7±1.25	7.6±0.96	6.2±1.22	5.8±1.22	0.8	0.01

### \*Significance at P<0.05

## Standard sample

Sample  $T_1$  (5gms of lemon peel powder)

Sample T<sub>2</sub> (7.5gms of lemon peel powder)

Sample T<sub>3</sub> (10gms of lemon peel powder)

Table 3 depicts the mean acceptability score of attributes between the samples: Hard Candies by hedonic scoring In appearance , there was no statistically significant difference between the samples as determined by one-way ANOVA .T<sub>1</sub> has the highest mean value 7.6±0.89 whereas T<sub>3</sub> has lowest mean value 6.2±1.03. The differences were not statistically significant(p=0.5) between the groups. For texture T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean value 7.0 $\pm$ 1.24 whereas T<sub>3</sub> has lowest mean value 5.9 $\pm$ 0.73.The results were statistically significant (p<0.05) among the samples. So T<sub>1</sub> was more acceptable regarding texture as compared to other samples

 $T_1$  had the highest mean value 7.5±1.17 for color whereas  $T_3$  has the lowest mean value 6.5±1.17 and there was statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the samples which shows that T, was more acceptable regarding color

For taste T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean value  $7.5\pm1.43$  whereas T<sub>3</sub> has the lowest mean value  $5.7\pm0.82$ . The results revealed that there was statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the samples which means T<sub>1</sub> was more acceptable as compared to other samples.

In aroma  $T_1$  had the highest mean value  $6.8\pm1.47$  and  $T_3$  has the lowest mean value  $5.6\pm0.69$ .So it has no statistically significant difference (p=0.11) between  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  samples.

In mouthfeel there was a statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the samples as  $T_1$  has the highest mean value 7.1±1.19 whereas  $T_3$  has the lowest mean value 5.1±1.28. So  $T_1$  was most acceptable.

The overall acceptability was the highest for T<sub>1</sub> 7.6±0.96 and for T<sub>3</sub> was the lowest 5.8±1.22.The difference was however statistically significant (p<0.05).So T<sub>1</sub> was more acceptable regarding texture, color, taste, mouthful and even overall acceptable as compared to other samples.

# Table 4: Proximate Analysis of the product (hard candies, 50 grams)

Nutrients	STANDARD	Т1	Т2	Т3
F IBER(gm)	-	3.2±0.2	4±0.3	8.1±0.2
VITAMIN C(mg)	-	5.2±0.1	8.6±0.1	10.4±0.4

### Standard sample

Sample T<sub>1</sub> (5gms of lemon peel powder)

Sample T<sub>2</sub> (7.5gms of lemon peel powder)

Sample T, (10gms of lemon peel powder)

The above table 4 shows the proximate analysis of the product with different variations of lemon peel powder (5gm,7.5gm and 10gm). The fiber content and Vitamin C of  $T_3$  was the highest as it included more of lemon peel powder. The fiber content and Vitamin C increased as the concentration of Lemon peel powder increased. As T1 was most acceptable, the fiber content was 3.2grams and vitamin C content was 5.2 mg in 50 grams of hard candy.

### CONCLUSION

The study concluded that the hard candy prepared with 5g of lemon peel powder were most acceptable. The candy provides Fiber (3.2gms) and Vitamin C (5.2 mg) in 50 grams of candies. This study will serve as a base for intervention studies to generate scientific knowledge and evidence which will help to conduct further research.

### REFERENCES

- Duthie G and Crozier A . Plant-derived phenolic antioxidants Curr. Opin. Lipidol J 2000; 11: 43
- Julia F, Morton. Lemon in Fruits of Warm Climates. Purdue University J of nutrition 2009; 160–168
- Garcia C. Total antioxidant activity and fibre content of fruits. J Food Chem 2000;(4)42
- 4. Table for nutritional composition of lemon peel source USDA 2006.
- Fortin, Francoi. Utiliation of plant byproducts for recovery of dietary fibers. The Visual Foods Encyclopedia. 1991;22:7