	Research Paper	Home Science
Sournal or Revenues	Deveolpment of A Product Rich in Vitar From Mushroom Powder	•
	MSc. Student, Department of Nutrition and Di	etetics Manay

Pratima Bodh	Rachna International University, Faridabad. 1056 sector 14 gur- gaon-122001.		
Mahak Sharma	Assistant Professor, Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Manav Rachna International University, Faridabad.		
Lakhvinder KaurAssistant Professor, Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Manav Rachna International University, Faridabad.			
	vitamin required by human body. Food fortification with vitamin D is a possible mode to improve poms are one of the plant foods which contain ergosterol, a precursor to vitamin D2. The amount of		

vitamin D status. Mushrooms are one of the plant foods which contain ergosterol, a precursor to vitamin D2. The amount of vitamin D2 in mushrooms can be significantly increased by exposing to sunlight. The objective of the study was to develop vitamin D rich mushroom cookies by using sun dried mushroom powder. The mushroom cookies were prepared in two different concentration (15% and 25%). Sensory evaluation was done by composite scoring. Proximate analysis and vitamin D level of mushroom cookies were analyzed. The proximal data revealed that as the mushroom powder concentration increased the moisture, ash, protein, energy, fat content and the vitamin D content also increased. The study concluded that mushroom cookies with (15% incorporation of mushroom powder) was highly acceptable and could be beneficial in increasing the vitamin D status of the population.

### **KEYWORDS**

Sun dried mushroom powder, Vitamin D, Cookies.

#### INTRODUCTION

Vitamin D is a fat-soluble vitamin that is naturally present in few foods and added to others like fortified milk, fish, eggs and mushrooms, and available as a dietary supplement. It is also produced endogenously when ultraviolet rays from sunlight strike the skin and trigger vitamin D synthesis. <sup>(1)</sup> Mushrooms are the only vegetable that contain natural vitamin D. They contain a compound called ergosterol that is turned into vitamin D in the body. <sup>(2)</sup> Currently, the Adequate Intake (AI)\* of vitamin D for infant (0-12 months) is 10 mcg (400 IU) per day. And the adequate intake of vitamin D for children (1-13 years) is 15 mcg (600 IU) per day and for those adults to age 70 is 15 mcg (600 IU) per day and those who are older than 70 years is 20 mcg (800 IU) per day. <sup>(1)</sup> Recent studies have shown that a 100 gram serving (approximately ½ cup) of sliced fresh raw white mushrooms has 7 IU of vitamin D. <sup>(3)</sup>

Vitamin D deficiency can occur when usual intake is lower than recommended levels over time, exposure to sunlight is limited, the kidneys cannot convert 25(OH)D to its active form, or absorption of vitamin D from the digestive tract is inadequate. Vitamin D-deficient diets are associated with milk allergy, lactose intolerance, ovo-vegetarianism, and veganism. <sup>(1)</sup> Rickets and osteomalacia are the classical vitamin D deficiency diseases. In children, vitamin D deficiency causes rickets, a disease characterized by a failure of bone tissue to properly mineralize, resulting in soft bones and skeletal deformities. <sup>(5)</sup>

Mushrooms are considered as a delicacy with high nutritional and functional value, and they are also accepted as nutraceutical foods; they are of considerable interest because of their organoleptic merit, medicinal properties, and economic significance. <sup>(6)</sup> The nutritional value of edible mushrooms is due to their high protein, fiber, vitamin and mineral contents, and low-fat

levels. <sup>(7)</sup> Mushrooms contain a high moisture percentage. Edible mushrooms are a good source of protein,low-calorie foods since they provide low amounts of fat, and contain high amounts of ash, (mainly potassium, phosphorus, magnesium, calcium, copper, iron, and zinc). <sup>(8)</sup> Mushrooms are the only nonanimal food source that contains vitamin D and hence they are the only natural vitamin D ingredients for vegetarians. <sup>(9)</sup>

Therefore the present study was conducted to develop a vitamin D rich whole wheat flour cookies which will be beneficial in increasing the vitamin D status of the population.

# METHODOLOGY

The study was done under four phases. Phase I was product development. Firstly the mushrooms were dried by two methods-sun dried and oven dried and grinded to form powder. Then the assessment of vitamin D level between the sun dried and oven dried mushroom powder was done. The result revealed that vitamin D level was high in the sun dried mushroom powder as compare to oven dried mushroom powder. Therefore, for further analysis sun dried mushroom powder was used. The mushroom cookies were prepared by standardized recipe by using sun dried mushroom powder and whole wheat flour. After the standardized of normal cookies two variations of mushroom cookies were made.

The two variation of mushroom cookies were as followed: Sample T<sub>1</sub> (Mushroom cookies incorporated with 15 g of mushroom powder).Sample T<sub>2</sub> (Mushroom cookies incorporated with 15 g of mushroom powder).Phase II include sensory evaluation of the samples was carried out using 21 panelists from Manav Rachna International University. Composite score card for scoring the attributes was used. The qualities assessed include taste, texture, colour, flavour and overall acceptability. Phase III includes proximal analysis and vitamin D analysis of the product. The proximal analysis was done for moisture, ash, and crude fiber contents were determined by the AOAC. <sup>(2000)</sup> Vitamin D was determined using the standard method of (AOAC, 1995).The last phase was statistically test was done by using SPSS version 20 software. The analysis includes mean, standard deviation, t – test, Anova for comparative results.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

LEVEL VITAMIN (mcg/100g)	OF D	METHODS FC POWDER	R	DRYING	MUSHROOM	pValue
		SUN DRYING	(	OVEN DRYIN	lG	
M±SD		195.5 <u>+</u> 4.24		28.89±0.43		0.000

### Table 1: Level of vitamin D in mushroom powder

\*Significance difference at 0.05 level.

Table 1 and depicts the level of vitamin D in mushroom powder After sun drying the mean value of the vitamin D in mushroom powder was  $195.5\pm4.24$  and after oven drying the mean value of the vitamin D in mushroom powder was  $28.89\pm0.43$ . The result revealed that there was statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the vitamin D level in the sun dried and oven dried mushroom powder. This state that vitamin D level was high in the sun dried mushroom powder as compared to oven dried mushroom powder.

Therefore, for further analysis Sun dried mushroom powder was used. The cookies were made by incorporating the mushroom powder of sun dried as vitamin D level was higher in sun dried mushroom powder.

Table 2: Mean Acceptability Score of Attributes between the Sample T1 and Sample T2:Mushroom Cookies (By Composite Scoring):

Sample	T 1(Sample) M±SD	T 2(Sample) M±SD	t value	P Value (T test)
Taste*	16.76 <u>+</u> 1.6	15.00±1.8	3.24	0.002
Colour*	16.66 <u>+</u> 1.9	15.52 <u>+</u> 1.4	2.13	0.039
Texture*	16.85 <u>+</u> 1.8	15.04 <u>+</u> 1.9	3.03	0.004
Flavour*	17.14±1.6	15.14 <u>+</u> 2.0	3.50	0.001
Overall acceptability*	17.14±1.7	15.30±2.1	3.00	0.005

Sample T1: Mushroom cookies with 15% incorporation of mushroom powder

Sample T<sub>2</sub>: Mushroom cookies with 25% incorporation of mushroom powder

\* Significant at p<0.05

Table 2 depict mean acceptability score of attributes between the sample T<sub>1</sub> and sample T<sub>2</sub>: Mushroom cookies by composite scoring. With regards to taste, sample T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean i.e. 16.76  $\pm$ 1.6 whereas sample T<sub>2</sub> had the mean score of 15.00 $\pm$ 1.8, and the results were statistically significant (p<0.05) which means that sample T<sub>1</sub>was more acceptable regarding taste as compared to sample T<sub>2</sub>. In colour, the mean score of sample T<sub>1</sub> was 16.66 $\pm$ 1.9 whereas for sample T<sub>2</sub> the mean score was 15.52 $\pm$ 1.4 and the difference were statistically significant (p<0.05) between the sample T<sub>1</sub> and the sample T<sub>2</sub>. Sample T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean score 16.85 $\pm$ 1.8 regarding texture, as compared to sample T<sub>2</sub> which had the mean score of 15.04 $\pm$ 1.9, and the results were statistically significant (p<0.05) which means that sample T<sub>1</sub> was more acceptable then sample T<sub>2</sub>. In flavour, sample T<sub>1</sub> had the mean score of 17.14 $\pm$ 1.6 whereas sample T<sub>2</sub> had the mean score of 15.14 $\pm$ 2.0 and there was statistically difference (p<0.05) between the standard sample and the sample T<sub>2</sub>. The overall acceptability, of the sample T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean score of 17.14 $\pm$ 1.7 whereas sample T<sub>2</sub> had the mean score of 15.30 $\pm$ 2.1, and the result were statistically significant (p<0.05) which means sample T<sub>1</sub> was more acceptable regarding overall acceptability as compared to sample T<sub>2</sub>.

The result depict that sample  $T_1$  (Mushroom cookies with 15% incorporation of mushroom powder) was more acceptable regarding all attributes as compared to sample  $T_1$  (Mushroom cookies with 25% incorporation of mushroom powder).

TABLE 3: Mean acceptability score of attributes between the samples: Mushroom cookies
(By Composite Scoring):

Parameter	Standard M ±SD	T 1(Sample) M±SD	T 2(Sample) M±SD	f value	P Value (ANOVA TEST)
Taste*	17.23 <u>+</u> 1.51	16.76±1.64	15.00±1.87	10.32	0.000
Colour*	17.04 <u>+</u> 1.77	16.66 <u>+</u> 1.98	15.52 <u>+</u> 1.43	4.33	0.017

Texture*	16.85±1.71	16.85±1.87	15.04±1.98	6.60	0.003
Flavour*	16.90 <u>+</u> 1.64	17.14 <u>+</u> 1.68	15.14 <u>+</u> 2.00	7.87	0.001
Overall acceptability*	17.38±1.62	17.14 <u>+</u> 1.76	15.30 <u>+</u> 2.15	7.63	0.001

Standard sample: Normal wheat flour cookies

Sample T1: Mushroom cookies with 15% incorporation of mushroom powder

Sample T<sub>2</sub>: Mushroom cookies with 25% incorporation of mushroom powder

\*Significant at the p<0.05 level

Table 3 depict mean acceptability score of attributes between the sample  $T_1$  and sample  $T_2$ : Mushroom cookies. In taste, there was statistically significant difference between the samples as determined by one way ANOVA (p<0.05). Sample  $T_1$  has the highest mean value i.e.  $17.23\pm1.51$  whereas sample  $T_2$  has the lowest mean value i.e.  $15.00\pm1.87$ . The result revealed that standard sample was most acceptable regarding taste as compared to sample  $T_1$  and sample  $T_2$ .

Regarding colour, the highest mean value was of standard sample  $(17.04\pm1.77)$  and the lowest for sample T<sub>2</sub> (15.52±1.43). However the difference were statistically significant (p<0.05) which means that standard sample was most acceptable regarding colour as compared to other products.

Sample T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean value for the texture i.e.  $16.85\pm1.87$  whereas sample T<sub>2</sub> had the lowest mean value i.e.  $15.04\pm1.98$ . The difference were statistically significant (p<0.05) among samples. The result stated that sample T<sub>1</sub> was most acceptable regarding texture as compared to other samples.

In flavour, there was statistically significant difference between the samples as determined by one way ANOVA (p< 0.05).Sample T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean value i.e.  $17.14\pm1.68$  whereas sample T<sub>2</sub> had the lowest mean value i.e.  $15.14\pm2.0$  which means that T<sub>1</sub> was most acceptable regarding flavour as compared to other samples.

The overall acceptability, of standard sample highest with the mean value of  $17.38\pm1.62$ , however it was lowest for sample T<sub>2</sub> with the mean value of  $15.30\pm2.50$  and the difference were

statistically significant (p<0.05). The result depict that sample  $T_1$  (Mushroom cookies with 15% incorporation of mushroom powder) was more acceptable regarding texture and flavour attributes as compared to other samples, and the standard sample was more acceptable regarding all the attributes(taste, colour) as well as had the highest overall acceptability to other product.

<b>TABLE 4: Proximal a</b>	analysis of standard and 1	mushroom variants cookies:
----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

Parameter	Standard M ±SD	T 1(Sample) M±SD	T 2(Sample) M±SD	P Value (ANNOVA TEST)
MOISTURE (%)*	8.54±0.34	9.22±0.19	7.89±0.68	0.021
ASH (%)	2.20±0.17	2.46±0.23	2.53±0.46	0.440
PROTEIN (g)*	11.56±0.49	11.48±0.32	13.43±0.72	0.007
CRUDE FIBER (g)*	4.30±0.25	3.60±0.17	3.58±0.46	0.056
ENERGY (Kcal)*	308.72±0.59	323.07±0.49	303.72±0.49	0.000
FAT (g)*	16.28±0.24	18.24±0.47	15.60±0.43	0.000

Standard sample: Normal wheat flour cookies

Sample T1: Mushroom cookies with 15% incorporation of mushroom powder

Sample T<sub>2</sub>: Mushroom cookies with 25% incorporation of mushroom powder

\*Significant at the p<0.05 level

Table 4depicts the proximal analysis of standard sample, mushroom cookies with 15% incorporation of mushroom powder and 25% incorporation of mushroom powder. Regarding moisture, moisture was high in sample  $T_1$  i.e. 9.22 $\pm$ 0.19 and lowest in sample  $T_2$  i.e.

 $7.89\pm0.68$  but the difference were statistically significant (p<0.05).

Sample T<sub>2</sub> had the highest mean value for the presence of ash in the product i.e.  $2.53\pm0.46$  whereas standard sample has the lowest mean value i.e.  $2.20\pm0.17$  but the differences were not statistically significant among the samples (p=0.440)

Regarding protein, the highest mean value was of sample  $T_2$  i.e.  $13.43\pm0.72$  and the lowest for sample  $T_1$  i.e.  $11.48\pm0.32$  and thee was statistically significant difference (p<0.05) between the sample.

For crude fiber, standard sample had the highest mean value i.e.  $4.30\pm0.25$  whereas sample T<sub>2</sub> had the lowest, mean value i.e.  $3.58\pm0.46$  and the difference were statistically significant (p<0.05) among the samples.

Sample T<sub>1</sub> had the highest mean value energy i.e.  $323.07\pm0.49$  whereas the sample T<sub>2</sub> had the lowest mean value i.e.  $303.72\pm0.49$ . The difference were statistically significant (p<0.05) among the samples.

Regarding fat, sample  $T_1$  had the highest mean value i.e.  $18.24\pm0.47$  whereas sample  $T_2$  had the lowest mean value i.e.  $15.60\pm0.43$ . There was statistically significant difference between the sample as determined by one way ANOVA (p<0.05).

	uti.		
	MUSHROOM POWD	DER CONCENTRATION	
VITAMIN D (mcg/100g)	15% mushroom powder cookies	25% mushroom powder cookies	pValue

TABLE 5: Vitamin D level in mushroom cookies incorporated with 15% and 25% of mushroom powder.

\*Significant at the p<0.05 level

M+SD

25.62+0.90

Table 5 vitamin D level of mushroom cookies incorporated with 15% and 25% of mushroom powder. The mean value of 15% mushroom powder cookies was  $25.62\pm0.90$ , whereas the mean

43.22+0.62

0.000

value of 25% mushroom cookies was  $43.22\pm0.62$  and the difference were statistically significant (p<0.05).

As 15% mushroom powder cookies were more acceptable by the panelist during the sensory evaluation. These mushroom cookies will fulfill the  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the RDA of vitamin D by just consuming 4-5 cookies in a day.

### CONCLUSION

High sun dried mushroom powder concentration in mushroom cookies (25% mushroom powder) proved to be a high vitamin D rich product but the acceptability of the product contain 15% of sun dried mushroom powder was more as compared to 25% of sun dried mushroom powder. The study concluded that mushroom cookies with 15% incorporation of mushroom powder was highly acceptable and had vitamin D content of 26.15 mcg/100g which could be full fill the  $\frac{1}{2}$  of RDA of vitamin D content of the adult by just consuming 4-5 cookies per day.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Bamji, M.S., Krishnaswamy, K., Brahmam, G.N.V. (2009), Textbook of human nutrition. New Delhi: Oxford & IBH Publishing Company.
- Chang, S.T., Miles, P.G.2008, "Mushrooms: Cultivation, Nutritional Value, Medicinal Effect, and Environmental Impact".2nd. Boca Raton, Fla, USA: CRC Press.
- Institute of Medicine, Food and Nutrition Board. Dietary reference intake for calcium and vitamin D. Washington, DC: National Academy Press 2010.
- Kalač, P.2013, "A review of chemical composition and nutritional value of wild-growing and cultivated mushrooms". Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 93(2), 209–218.
- Mahan, L.K. & Escott-Stump, S. 2004, Krause's Food Nutrition & Diet Therapy, 11th Edition, Saunders, 83-88.
- Mattila, P., Könkö, K., Eurola, M.2001, "Contents of vitamins, mineral elements, and some phenolic compounds in cultivated mushrooms". Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 49(5), 2343–2348.
- Ribeiro, B., de Pinho, P.G., Andrade, P.B., Baptista, P., Valentão, P. 2009, "Fatty acid composition of wild edible mushrooms species: a comparative study". Microchemical Journal, 93(1),29–3.
- USDA, National Nutrient Database for Standard Referencehttp://www.nal.usda. gov.
- 9. Wharton, B., Bishop, N. 2003, "Rickets". Lancet, 362:1389-1400.