Original Research Paper



Allelopathic Influence of Eucalyptus Globulus L. Leaf Leachates on Growth and Development of Bhendi and Brinjal

Leela, P.

Botany wing – DDE, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar – 608 002, Tamil Nadu , India.

The present study investigates the growth and development of bhendi (Abelmoschus esculentus L.-cv.CO-1) and brinjal (Solanum melongena L.-cv.MDU-1) under pot culture experiments with different concentrations of aqueous leaf leachates (5%, 10%, 20%, 30% and 50%) of Eucalyptus. Eucalyptus leaf leachates showed an inhibitory and stimulatory effects on germination, seedling length, biomass, pigments and biochemical constituents of bhendi and brinjal. The 5% concentration of leachate stimulated the seed germination, seedling growth and biochemical constituents of bhendi and brinjal . The higher concentrations (10%, 20%, 30% and 50%) showed an inhibitory effects in all the parameters studied in the two economically important vegetable crops. Between bhendi and brinjal, more allelopathic influence was observed in brinjal than bhendi.

KEYWORDS

Allelopathy, leachates, Eucalyptus, bhendi, brinjal.

INTRODUCTION

Agroforestry is the integration of Agriculture and Forestry to increase the farm productivity and sustainability of farming systems (Fikreyesus *et al* ., 2011). The agroforestry plants re-main productive for the farmers and generate continuous revenue, which is not the case when arable land is exclusively reforested. Agroforestry allows for the diversification of farm activity and makes better use of environmental resources. Farooq et al. (2011a) and Bhadoria, (2011) stated that the allelochemicals are mostly secondary metabolities, which are produced as byproducts during different physiological processes in plants. Kurse et al. (2000) and Jabran and Faroog (2012) found that the important secondary metabolites identified as allelochemicals are phenols, alkaloids, flavonoids, terpenoids, hydroxamic acids, jasmonates, salicylates, car-bonates and aminoacids. At higher concentrations, these allelochemicals may be used as natural pesticides (Farooq et al., 2009c). Allelochemicals have great potential of nutrient cycling and nutrient regulation in agro-ecosystems. They offer an eco-friendly and sustsinable way to manage the crop nutrient requirements. Breeding and biotechnology efforts can lead us to the development of genotypes having allelochemicals involved in solublization, transformation, release, mobilization and uptake of essential nutrients. The production of allelochemicals is influenced by age of plant, type of stress, intensity of stress and ambient surroundings.

Plants use secondary metabolites as messenger under suboptimal conditions to trigger the defense mechanism. It starts the production of phytochemicals, hormones, biologically active secondary metabolites and variety of proteins necessary to defend the plant ultra structures from such hazards (Pedrol et al., 2006). Under heat, drought or salinity stress, allelochemicals play a vital role in Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) production initially and then activation of antioxidant defense system (Bogatek and Ginazdoeska, 2007). Adverse effects of abiotic stresses are due to abnormal biological, biochemical, morphological and physiological functions of plants. For instance, soil salinity induces the oxidative stress by the production of ROS causing reduction of photosynthetic electron chain (Waskiewicz et al., 2013). Allelochemicals have direct as well as indirect effects on plants. Rizvi et al. (1992) stated that the direct action of secondary metabolites is function of different biochemical and physiological changes imparted in growth metabolism of plants.

Eucalyptus globulus L. belongs to the family Myrtaceae, mostly found in tropical region. *Eucalyptus* spp. grow under a

wide range of climatic and edaphic conditions in their natural habitats (Dawar et al., 2007). It has a high potential of allelochemicals and also essential oils . Many studies have revealed that the allelopathic effects of Eucalyptus species and conformed the strong inhibitory effects of Eucalyptus extracts on some crops (Zhang and Shenglei, 2010; Leela and Arumugam, 2014). Leaf extract of eucalyptus inhibited seed germination and reduced root and shoot lengths of cucumber and maximum inhibition was observed in higher concentrations of extract (Allolli and Narayanareddy, 2000). The leaf leachates of E. globulus inhibited germination and growth of rice, sorghum and blackgram (Djanaguiraman et al., 2005). Moreover, the extract of E. globulus inhibited germination and seedling growth of green gram and cowpea (Djanaguiraman et al., 2002) and black gram (Sasikumar et al., 2002: Djanaguiraman et al., 2002). El-Khawas and Shehata (2005) found that leaf extract of *E. globulus* inhibited germination of maize and kidney -bean. The alleleopathic effect of extract from E. camaldulensis was tested on tomato; the extract significantly inhibited germination and growth of this plant (Fikreyesus et al., 2011). The present study was conducted to determine the influence of aqueous leaf leachates of E. globulus on seed germination, seedling growth, dry weight chl. a, chl. b, total chlorophyll, carotenoids, starch, protein and amino acid contents of bhendi and brinjal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five hundred gram of senesced fallen leaves of *Eucalyptus globulus* were collected during the month of May from the social forestry of Cuddalore District of Annamalai Nagar (11.45°N 70.45°E) for the present study. These leaves were washed thoroughly with tap water followed by distilled water and soaked in 1000 ml distilled water for 48 hours. These leachates were filtered and filtrates were considered as 50% concentration. The obtained *E. globulus* leaf leachate was analyzed for phytochemical profiles by GC-MS. From this leachates (50%) further dilutions of 5, 10, 20, 30% were prepared using distilled water. The freshly prepared leachates were used for the study.

Healthy uniform seeds of brinjal and bhendi were collected from Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The seeds were pre-soaked in distilled water for overnight. Before germination, the seeds were surface sterilized with 0.1% HgCl₂ solution for 30 seconds and washed in distilled water thoroughly for several times to remove excess of chemical and dried on absorbent to eliminate fungal attack. Twenty five seeds each of brinjal and bhendi were sown in earthen pots $(30 \times 15 \text{cm})$ filled with garden soil having silt, humus and sand (pH -7.3, N – 0.13, P – 0.29, K – 0.09 and OC – 1.86%) Each pot was added with 200 ml of different concentrations of leaf leachates and control was treated with 200 ml of water. The experiment was conducted in completely randomized design with three replications. After 15 days of germination, the morphological and biochemical parameters were studied.

Table - 1

Allelopathic Influence of Eucaluyptus globulus leaf leachate on germination (%) of Bhendi and Brinjal.

Leachate concentrations	Bhendi	Brinjal
control	98	96
5%	100 (2.0)	99 (3.1)
10%	96 (-2.0)	91 (-5.2)
20%	82 (-16.3)	78 (-18.8)
30%	68 (-30.6)	59 (-38.5)
50%	47 (-52.0)	41 (-57.3)

Data in parentheses indicate % increase/decrease over control.

Table -2

Allelopathic Influence of *Eucaluyptus globulus* leaf leachates on root length(cm/seedling), shoot length(cm/seedling) and dry weight(mg/seedling) of Bhendi and Brinjal.

Leachate	Bhendi			Brinjal		
concen-	Root	Shoot	Dry	Root	Shoot	Dry
trations	length	length	weight	length	length	weight
control	4.5	7.65	34.2	4.15	6.52	33.12
5%	4.64	7.78	36.9	4.23	6.78	33.3
	(3.1)	1.7)	7.9)	1.9)	4.0)	0.7)
10%	4.20	7.12	33.67	3.81	6.03	30.2
	(-6.7)	(-6.9)	(-1.5)	(-8.2)	(-7.5)	(-8.7)
20%	3.63	6.50	27.86	3.15	5.25	26.4
	(-19.3)	(-15.0)	(-18.5)	(-24.1)	(-19.5)	(-20.1)
30%	2.86	5.59	20.61	2.21	4.30	18.2
	(-36.4)	(-26.9)	(-39.7)	(-46.7)	(-34.0)	(-45.2)
50%	1.99	4.2	12.79	1.50	3.12	10.2
	(-55.8)	(-45.1)	(-62.6)	(-63.9)	(-52.1)	(-69.3)

Data in parentheses indicate % increase/decrease over control.

Table -3

Allelopathic Influence of *Eucaluyptus globulus* leaf leachate on Chl.a, Chl.b and Total chlorophyll and Carotenoids (mg/g fr.wt.) of Bhendi and Brinjal.

Lea- chate con- cen- tra- tions	Bhendi			Brinjal				
	Chl.a	Chl.b	Total Chl.	Carot.	Chl.a	Chl.b	Total Chl.	Carot.
con- trol	1.45	1.92	3.37	0.85	1.51	1.1	2.61	0.94
5%	1.62 (11.7)	2.15 (12.0)	3.77 (11.9	0.95 (11.8)	1.70 (12.6)	1.2 (9.1)	2.90 (11.1)	1.06 (12.8)
10%	1.42 (-2.1)	1.90 (-1.0)	3.32 (-1.5	0.80 (-5.9)	1.37 (-9.3)	0.97 (-11.8)	2.34 (-10.3)	0.90 (-4.3)
20%	1.15 (-20.7)	1.68 (-12.5)	2.83 (-16.0	0.67 (-21.2)	1.18 (-21.9)	0.85 (-22.7)	2.03 (-22.2)	0.78 (-17.0)
30%	0.8 (-44.8)	1.37 (-28.6)	2.17 (-35.6		0.99 (-34.4)	0.69 (-37.3)	1.68 -35.6) 35.6	0.59 (-37.2)
50%	0.53 (-63.4)	0.83 (-56.8)	1.36 (-59.6	0.23 (-72.9)	0.71 (-53.0)	0.48 (-56.4)	1.19 (-54.4)	0.37 (-60.6)

Data in parentheses indicates % increase/decrease over control.

Table -4

Allelopathic Influence of *Eucaluyptus globulus* leaf leachates on Starch, Protein and Amino acid (mg/g fr.wt.) of Bhendi and Brinjal.

Leachate concen- trations	Bhendi			Brinjal		
	Starch	Protein	Aminoacid	Starch	Protein	Aminoacid
control	4.2	3.15	1.67	5.35	2.53	1.58
5%	4.26	3.21	1.70	5.50	2.57	1.62
	(1.4)	(1.9)	(1.80)	(2.8)	(1.6)	(2.5)
10%	3.96	2.98	1.51	5.17	2.26	1.39
	(-5.7)	(-5.4)	(-9.6)	(-3.4)	(-10.7)	(-12.0)
20%	3.38	2.57	1.24	4.60	1.90	1.15
	(-19.5)	(-18.4)	(-25.7)	(-14.0)	(-24.9)	(-27.2)
30%	2.69	2.08	0.92	3.90	1.47	0.81
	(-36.0)	(-34.0)	(-44.9)	(-27.1)	(-41.9)	(-48.7)
50%	1.60	1.50	0.53	2.65	0.99	0.42
	(-61.9)	(-52.4)	(-68.3)	(-50.5)	(-60.9)	(-73.4)

Data in parentheses indicate % increase/decrease over control.

Table-5 Phytochemical profile of *Eucalyptus globulus* by GC-MS analysis

S.No.	Peak name	Retention time	Peak Area	%Peak Area
1	<u>Name:</u> Propanal, 2-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C ₄ H ₈ O <u>MW:</u> 72	2.07	4089609	0.0453
2	<u>Name:</u> 3-Buten-2- ol, 2-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C5H ₁₀ O <u>MW:</u> 86	2.42	2924459	0.0324
3	<u>Name:</u> 1-Propanol, 2-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C4H ₁₀ O <u>MW:</u> 74	2.55	19529378	0.2165
4	<u>Name:</u> Butanal, 3-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C5H ₁₀ O <u>MW:</u> 86	2.83	6313655	0.0700
5	<u>Name:</u> Acetic anhydride <u>Formula:</u> C4H ₆ O3 <u>MW:</u> 102	2.95	661903	0.0073
6	<u>Name:</u> Formic acid 2-methylpropyl ester <u>Formula:</u> C5H ₁₀ O ₂ <u>MW:</u> 102	3.21	1389381	0.0154
7	<u>Name:</u> 1-Butanol, 3-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C5H ₁₂ O <u>MW:</u> 88	3.95	11043484	0.1225
8	<u>Name:</u> 2-Pen- tanone, 3-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C ₆ H ₁₂ O <u>MW:</u> 100	4.23	342429	0.0038
9	<u>Name:</u> Propanoic acid, 2-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C ₄ H ₈ O ₂ <u>MW:</u> 88	4.42	410324	0.0045
10	<u>Name:</u> Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-, methyl ester <u>Formula:</u> C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₂ <u>MW:</u> 116	4.68	488548	0.0054
11	<u>Name:</u> 1-Butanol, 3-methyl-, formate <u>Formula:</u> C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₂ <u>MW:</u> 116	4.97	1090580	0.0121
12	<u>Name:</u> Octane Formula: C8H18 <u>MW:</u> 114	5.11	275029	0.0030
12	<u>Name:</u> 3-Penten-2- one, 4-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C ₆ H ₁₀ O <u>MW:</u> 98	5.18	275924	0.0031

	1			
13	<u>Name:</u> 3-Heptene, 2,6-dimethyl- <u>Formula:</u> C9H18 <u>MW:</u> 126	5.44	395989	0.0044
14	<u>Name:</u> 1,2,4,4-Te- tramethylcyclopen- tene <u>Formula:</u> C9H ₁₆ <u>MW:</u> 124	6.07	1523891	0.0169
15	<u>Name:</u> Butanoic acid, 3-methyl-, ethyl ester <u>Formula:</u> C7H ₁₄ O ₂ <u>MW:</u> 130	6.46	599037	0.0066
16	<u>Name:</u> Butanoic acid, 3-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C ₅ H ₁₀ O ₂ <u>MW:</u> 102	6.81	1603202	0.0178
17	<u>Name:</u> 1-Butanol, 3-methyl-, acetate <u>Formula:</u> C7H ₁₄ O ₂ MW: 130	7.07	2320452	0.0257
18	<u>Name:</u> 3-Hepten-2-one <u>For- mula:</u> C7H ₁₂ O <u>MW:</u> 112	7.48	69844	0.0008
19	<u>Name:</u> 3-Buten-2- one, 3-methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C5H8O <u>MW:</u> 84	7.59	83679	0.0009
20	<u>Name:</u> Nonane Formula: C9H20 MW: 128	7.67	219555	0.0024
21	Name: Bicyc- lo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene, 1,7,7-trimethyl- <u>Formula:</u> C10 ^H 16 <u>MW:</u> 136	7.93	108493	0.0012
22	<u>Name:</u> 1R-à-Pinene <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H16 <u>MW:</u> 136	8.88	1781909632	19.7585
23	<u>Name:</u> Camphene Formula: C10H16 MW: 136	9.32	12522426	0.1389
24	Name: Bicyclo[2.2.1] heptane, 2,2,3-tri- methyl- <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₈ <u>MW:</u> 138	9.98,10.23	232764736	2.5810
25	<u>Name:</u> 1-Me- thyl-4-(1-methyle- thyl)-cyclohexane <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₂₀ <u>MW:</u> 140	10.55	10175710	0.1128
26	<u>Name:</u> Eucalyptol Formula: C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O <u>MW:</u> 154	12.05	6713018368	74.4367
27	<u>Name:</u> Bicyclo[2.2.1] heptan-2-one, 1,3,3-trimethyl- <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O <u>MW:</u> 152	13.63	35053400	0.3887
28	Name:Bicyclo[2.2.1] heptane-2,5-diol, 1,7,7-trimethyl-, (2-endo,5-exo)- Formula: C10H18 ^O 2 <u>MW:</u> 170	13.96	11300221	0.1253
29	<u>Name:</u> Camphor <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O <u>MW:</u> 152	14.17	80461744	0.8922
30	Name: Bicyclo[2.2.1] heptan-2-one, 1,7,7-trimethyl-, (1R)- <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O <u>MW:</u> 152	14.31	538564	0.0060
31	<u>Name:</u> trans-2- Caren-4-ol <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O <u>MW:</u> 152	14.62	1296662	0.0144

ISSN - 2250-1991 | IF : 5.215 | IC Value : 77.65

32	<u>Name:</u> 3-Cyclo- pentene-1-ac- etaldehyde, 2,2,3-trimethyl- <u>Formula:</u> C10H16O <u>MW:</u> 152	14.74	2078797	0.0231
33	<u>Name:</u> Limonene oxide, cis- <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O <u>MW:</u> 152	14.93	1273655	0.0141
34	<u>Name:</u> trans-Pino- carveol <u>VFormula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O <u>MW:</u> 152	15.29	3999162	0.0443
35	Name: Bicyclo[2.2.1] heptan-2-one, 1,7,7-trimethyl-, (1R)- Formula: C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O <u>MW:</u> 152	15.46	62221528	0.6899
36	<u>Name:</u> Bicyc- lo[2.2.1]heptan- 3-one, 6,6-dime- thyl-2-methylene- <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₄ O <u>MW:</u> 150	15.90	1523430	0.0169
37	<u>Name:</u> 5,7-Octadi- en-2-ol, 2,6-dime- thyl- <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O <u>MW:</u> 154	16.09	328308	0.0036
38	<u>Name:</u> Bicyclo[3.1.0] hexan-2-ol, 2-me- thyl-5-(1-methyle- thyl)-, (1à,2à,5à)- <u>VFormu-</u> la:C10H180 <u>MW:</u> 154	16.40	1417182	0.0157
39	<u>Name:</u> Benzene, 1-methyl-4-(1-meth- ylethenyl)- <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₂ <u>MW:</u> 132	16.59	1496496	0.0166
40	<u>Name:</u> 3-Cyclohex- ene-1-methanol, à,à4-trimethyl- <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O <u>MW:</u> 154	16.82	6973628	0.0773
41	Name: Bicyclo[3.1.1] hept-3-en-2-one, 4,6,6-trimethyl-, (1S)- <u>Formula:</u> C ₁₀ H ₁₄ O <u>MW:</u> 150	17.27	1089280	0.0121
42	Name: 2-Cy- clohexen-1-ol,	17.54,18.25	1221333	0.0135

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The germination percentage of five different concentrations of leachate (5, 10, 20, 30 and50%) and water (control) is shown in Table:1. The results revealed that the 50% concentration of leachate strongly reduced the germination percentage of brijal and bhendi (57% and 52%) compared to that of 5% leachate (99% and 100%). All concentrations of Eucalyptus leaf leachate did not show the same degree of reducing nature of germination. At 5% leachate concentration the germination percentage increased when compared to control. The inhibition of germination is dependent on the concentration of the leachate which may be due to the entry of water soluble allelochemicals into the seed inhibiting the germination. Suseelamma and Venkataraju,(1994) found that the *Digera muricata* leaf extracts reduced the germination and seedling growth of groundnut.

The Eucalyptus leaf leachate significantly reduced the root length, shoot length and dry weight of bhendi and brinjal at 50% leachate treatment when compared to the control (Ta-

ble- 2). But at 5% concentration of leachate treatment, the test corps showed the promotory effects on root lenghth, shoot length and dry weight over to control. The highest reduction percentage of shoot length (52.1%) was recorded in brinjal at 50% leachate treatment. Seedling growth of bhendi and brinjal reduced progressively with increasing concentrations of leachate. The more reduction of dry weight of bhendi and brinjal at 50% leachate concentration was 62.6% and 69.3% respectively. The results of present study were similar to those of Malik (2004), El-Khawas and Shehata (2005), Yamagushi et al. (2011), Mahmood Dejam et al. (2014) who have studied allelopathic effect of E. globulus leaf extract on germination and seedling growth of some vegetable and crop plants. Vishal Vijayan (2015) recorded the highest germination percentage in rice, when field soil is mulched with dry leaves of Acacia.

Lowering the concentration of allelochemicals induce more stimulation in plant growth. It improves cell division and cellular regulation under chilling conditions to acclimate the plant roots. Magbool et al. (2012), found that the Galinsoga parviflora water extracts at low concentration improved chilling resistance of Vicia faba. Phiri (2010) found that the Moringa water extract increased sorghum germination, maize radical length and hypocotyl length when applied on plant foliage at low concentration. Magbool et al. (2012), reported that low concentrations of allelopathic water extracts as seed treatment before sowing or planting can improve germination percentage, germination power, germination index, radical length, plumule length, fresh weight and dry weight of plants. The inhibition of seedling length and biomass may be due to the presence of higher amount of volatiles, chemicals or phenolic compounds. The present study support the earlier record by del Moral and Muller (1970).

The higher degree of adverse effect was observed in brinjal treated with Eucalyptus 50% concentration of leaf leachate followed by 30, 20, 10 and 5%. The results of GC-MS analysis showed the presence of propanal, butanal, acetic anhydride, formic acid, 2-pentanone, propanoic acid, butanoic acid, eucalyptol, limonene oxide, 1, 2-propanediol, 2-acetate, propanoic acid, methyl ester, phenol, glycerine, butanol, benzofuran, etc. in Eucalyptus.

The chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid contents under Eucalyptus leaf leachate treatment are given in the Table :3. The highest decreasing percentage of chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b, total chlorophyll and carotenoid was noticed at 50% in bhendi and brinjal (63.4%, 56.8%, 59.6%, 72.9%, 53%, 56.4%, 54.4%, 60.6%) when compared with 5%, 10%, 20%, 30% concentration of leaf leachate and control seedlings. In all the leachate treatments, bhendi and brinjal showed more reduction percentage in chlorophyll – a than chlorophyll – b. But in brinjal, less reduction percentage of carotenoid was observed in all treatments compared to bhendi. Decreasing trend on pigment content was recorded in the test crops with increasing concentrations (10, 20,30 and 50%) of leaf leachate of Eucalyptus. The effect might be due to degradation of chlorophyll pigments or reduction in their synthesis and the action of flavanoids, trepenoids or other phytochemicals present in leaf leachate (Tripathi et al., 1999, 2000). The more reduction of chlorophyll -a than chlorophyll -b, indicate its susceptibility to stress (Djanaguiraman et al., 2003). During stress situation, in tolerant species conversion of chlorophyll -a to chlorophyll -b may occur ((Djanaguiraman et al., 2003). At higher concentrations allelochemicals may act as photosynthetic inhibitors which block electron acceptors, act as energy uncouplers and reduce the activity of photosyntheitc pigments and enzymes (Einhellig and Rasmussen, 1979). However, a positive role can be predicted at their lower concentrations. Growth is promoted through optimum CO₂ fixation under normal conditions at relatively low concentrations of secondary metabolites.

The highest inhibitory effect was found in brinjal at 50% concentration of Eucalyptus leaf leachate. It may be due to

their high concentration of phenol content along with other constituents in the leachates. The phenolic compound might have interference with phosphorylation pathway or inhibiting the activation of Mg²⁺ and ATPase activity or might be due to decreased synthesis of total carbohydrate, protein and nucleic acid (DNA and RNA) or interference in cell division, mineral uptake and biosynthetic processes (Pawar *et al.*, 2004). Abu-Romman (2011) reported that photosynthetic pigments in *Capsicum annum* seedlings were significantly and negatively affected by treatment with *Achillea biebersteinni*.

Table 4 shows the starch, protein and amino acid content of the test crops. The higher amount of starch, protein and amino acid were observed in 5% concentration of leachate treated seedlings of bhendi and brinjal over control. When increasing the leaf leachate concentrations (10, 20, 30 and 50%) there was a decreasing trend of starch, protein and amino acid contents both in bhendi and brinjal seedlings. The 50% concentration of leaf leachate showed more retarding effect on amino acid content of test crops than starch and protein. In bhendi, more protein content was observed than starch and amino acid contents in all treatments. But in brinjal more starch content was observed in all treatments than protein and amino acids. As the chlorophyll concentration decrease in all concentration of leachate, the metabolite of starch, protein and amino acid decreased. Tripathi et al. (1998), reported that the lower concentration of leaf extracts of Acacia nilotica, Tectona grandis and Albiia procera showed stimulatory effect on starch, protein and amino acid contents of soybean. But in higher concentration of leaf extract, there was a decreasing trend of these biochemical constituents as observed in the soybean (Tripathi et al., 1998)

The decreasing content of biochemical contents may be due to action of phyto pinene, camphene, eucalyptol, phenolic aglycons, flavanoids, trans-pinocarveol, limonene oxide, cis, 5,7-octadien-2ol, 2,6- dimethyl, etc. The combination of different phenolic compounds showed a greater inhibition effect than the individual phenolic acids, which is present in the Eucalyptus leaf leachates. The allelochemicals of Eucalyptus significantly reduced the chlorophyll, carotenoid, starch, protein and amino acid contents of leaves. Kohli, (1990) reported, that the enzymes like protease, polyphenol oxidase, peroxidase, -amylase and - amylase are affected by the allelochemicals.

CONCLUSION:

The present investigation revealed that aqueous leaf leachate of Eucalyptus at different concentration levels inhibited seedling growth and at low concentration (5%) stimulated the germination, seedling length, biomass, pigments, starch, protein and amino acid contents of bhendi and brinjal seedlings. Inhibitory effect of different concentrations of leachate was not equal and highest inhibition was observed in brinjal while the lowest inhibition was observed in bhendi. In both the test crops the promotary effects were observed at 5% concentration of leachate. The inhibitory and stimulatory effects of *E. globulus* leaf leachates on bhendi and brinjal may be due to the presence of allelochemicals in the leachates. Further field study must be carried out to exploite the alleopathic potentiality of Eucalyptus on field crops.

REFERENCES:

- Abu-Romman, S. 2011. Allelopathic potential of Achillea bibersteinii Afan. (Asteraceae). World Applied Sciences Journal, 15(7): 947-952.
- Allolli TB, Narayanareddy P. 2000. Allelopathic effect of Eucalyptus plant extract on germination and seedling growth of cucumber. *Karnataka Journal* of Agricultural Scince. 13(4): 947-951.
- Bhadoria, P.B.S., 2011. Allelopathy: a natural way towards weed management. Amer.J. Exp.Agric., 1:7-20.
- Bogatek, R.and A. Gniazdowska, 2007. ROS and phytohormones in plant allelopathic interactions, Plant Sig. Behav., 2: 317-318.
- Chon,S.u., C.J. Nelson, 2000. Effects of light, growth media and seedling orientation on bioassays of alfafa autotoxicity. Agron J., 92: 715-720.
- Dawar, S., M. Summaria, Younus, M. Tariq and M.J.Zaki. 2007. Use of Eucalyptus sp., in the control of root infecting fungi on mungbean and chick-

pea. PakJ. Bot. 39(3): 975-979.

- del Moral, R. and C. H. Muller. 1970. The allelopathic effects of *Eucalyptus* camaldulensis. The American Midland Naturalist, 83: 254-282.
- Djanaguiraman, M., Ravishankar, P. and Bangarusamy, U. 2002. Effect of on green gram, black gram and cowpea. *Allelopathy J.*, **10**: 157-162.
- Djanaguiraman, M.A.. Senthil and R. Ramadass, 2003. Assessment of rice genotypes for salinity tolerance at germination and seedling stage. *Madras Agri. J.*, 90: 506-510.
- Djanaguiraman M, Vaidyanathan R, Annie sheeba J, Durgadei D, Bangarusamy U. 2005. Physiological responses of *Eucalyptus globulus* leaf leachates on seedling physiology of rice, sorghum and black gram. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*.7(1): 35-38.
- Einhellig, F.A. and Rasmussen, J.A. 1979. Effects of three phenolic acids on chlorophyll content and growth of soybean and grain sorghum seedlings. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 5, 815.
- El-Khawas SA, Shehata MM. 2005. The allelopathic potentialities of Acacia nilotica and Eucalyptus rostrats on monocot (Zea mays L.) and dicot (Phaseolus vulgaris). Plant Biotechnology. 4(1): 23-24.
- Farooq, M., A. Wahid, N. Kobayashi, D.Fujita and S.M.A. Basra, 2009c. Plant drought stress: Effects, mechanisms and management. *Agron. Sustain*. Dev., 28: 185-212.
- Farooq, M., K. Jabran, Z.A. Cheema, A. Wahid and K.H.M. Siddique, 2011a. The role of allelopathy in agricultural pest management. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 67: 493-506.
- Fikreyesus S, Kebebew.Z, Nebiyu.A, Zeleke N., Bogale.S. 2011. Alleleopathic effects of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehnh. On germination and growth of tomato. *American-Eurasian journal of Agriculture and environmental Science*, 11(5): 600-608.
- Kohli, R.K., Singh, D. and Verma, R.C. 1990. Influence of Eucalyptus shelter belt on winter season. Agro-ecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems* and *Environment*, 33:23–31.
- Kruse, M. M. Strandberg, M. and Strandberg, B. 2000. Ecological Effects of allelopathic plants. A review. National Environmental Research Institute, Silkeborg, Denmark. 66 pp.
- Mahmood Dejam, Sedighe Sadat Khaleghi, Reza Ataollahi, 2014. Allelopathic effects of *Eucalyptus globulus L*. on seed germination and seedling growth of egg plant (*Solanum melongena L*.). *Internation Journal of farming* and Allied Sciences: 3-1/81-86.
- Malik M.S. 2004. Effects of aqueous leafextract of *Eucalyptus globulus* on germination and seedling of potato, maize and bean. *Allelopathy journal*. 14: 213-220.
- Maqbool,N.,A. Wahid, M. Farooq, Z.A. Cheema and K.H.M. Siddique, 2012. Allelopathy and abiotic stress interaction in crop plants. In: Allelopathy: Current trends and future applications, pp: 113-143. Cheema, Z.A., M. Farooq and A. Wahid (eds.). Springer: Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Germany.
- Pawar, K.B. and Chavan, P.D. 2004. Influence of leaf leachates of some plant species on free proline content in germinating seeds of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. *Allelopathy J.*, **3**: 89-92.
- Pedrol, N., L. Gonalez and M.J. Reigosa, 2006. Allelopathy and abiotic stress. In: Proceedings of Allelopathy: a physiological Process with Ecological Implications, pp: 171-209. Reigosa, M.J., N. Pedrol, L. Gonzalez (eds.). Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands
- Phiri, C., 2010. Influence of Moringa oleifera leaf extract on germination and early seedling developing of major cereals. *Agric. Biol. J. Arner.*, 1:774-777
- Rizvi, S.J.H., Haque, H., Singh, V.K. and Rizvi, V., 1992. A discipline called allelopathy. In: S.J.H. Rizvi, and V. Rizvi (eds.). Allelopathy: Basic and applied aspects. *Chapmann and Hall Publishers*. 1-8.
- Sasikumar, K., Vijayalakshmi, C. and Parthiban, K.T. 2002. Allelopathic effects of *Eucalyptus* on black gram (*Phaseolus mungo* L.). *Allelopathy J.*, 9: 205-214.
- Suseelamma, M. and Venkataraju, R.R. 1994. Effect of *Digera muricata* (L) Mart. Extracts on germination and seedling growth of ground nut. *Allelopathy J.*, 1:53-70.
- Tripathi. S, Tripathi. A. and Kori, D.C. 1999. Allelopathic evaluation of *Tec-tona grandis* leaf, root and soil aqueous extracts on soybean. *Ind. J. For-estry.*, 22: 366-74.
- Tripathi. S, Tripathi. A., Kori, D.C and Proha. S. 2000. The effect of *Dalber-gia sissoo* extracts, rhizobium and nitrogen on germination growth and yield of *Vigna radiata*. *Allelopathy J.*, 7: 255-63.
- Vishal Vijayan, 2015. Evaluation for allelopathic impact of Acacia auriculiformis A. Cunn. Ex Benth on seed germination and seedling growth of rice (Oryza sativa L), a widely cultivated Indian crop species. Research journal of Agriculture and forestry sciences. Vol. 3(1), 1-5.
- 30. Waskiewicz, A., M. Muzolf-Panek and P. Golinski, 2013. Phenolic content

changes in plants under salt stress. *In: Ecophysiology and Responses of Plants under Salt Stress.* Pp:283-314. Ahmad. P., M.M. Azooz and M.N.V. Prasad (eds.). Springer.

- Yamagushi, A.Q., Gusman, G.S. Vestana, S, 2011. Allelopathic effect of aqueous extracts of *Eucalyptus globulus L*. on crops. Semina: Ciencias Agrarias, Londrina. 32(4): 1361-1374.
- Zhang, C. and Shenglei Fu, S. 2010. Allelopathic effects of leaf litter and live roots exudates of *Eucalyptus* species on crops. *Allelopathy J.*, 26 (1): 91-100.