Journal or Pa	ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER	Anaesthesiology				
PARIPET	A PROSPECTIVE, RANDOMIZED CONTROL STUDY COMPARING ULTRASOUND GUIDED TRANSVERSUS ABDOMINIS PLANE BLOCK VS CAUDAL EPIDURAL BLOCK FOR POSTOPERATIVE ANALGESIA IN CHILDREN UNDERGOING ELECTIVE LOWER ABDOMINAL SURGERIES	<b>KEY WORDS:</b> Transversus Abdominis Plane block, Caudal epidural block, pain relief				
Poovannan	MD Assistant Professor Of Anaesthesia, Institute Of	AD Assistant Professor Of Anaesthesia, Institute Of Obestretics And Gyanecology				
Chandrika	MD, DA Professor Of Anaesthesia, Institiute Of Obes	or Of Anaesthesia, Institiute Of Obestretics And Gyanecology				
Devikala	Obestretics And Gyanecology					
5						

This study was conducted to compare the efficacy of ultrasound guided Transversus Abdominis Plane block and caudal epidural block for post-operative pain relief in children undergoing elective lower abdominal surgeries.

## INTRODUCTION

The term 'pain' is derived from the term 'poena' Pain is defined as "unpleasant emotional or sensory experience with associated potential or actual tissue damage or described in terms of such damage". It is a proven fact all can receive pain regardless of age, neonates, infants, children, even a preterm child .They show a severe stress response to painful stimuli.

### AIM OF STUDY

This study compares the efficacy of ultrasound guided Transversus Abdominis Plane block and caudal epidural block for postoperative pain relief in children undergoing elective lower abdominal surgeries.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this prospective, randomized, double blind clinical trial, 46 healthy children between the age group of 1 to 8 years weighing between 5-20kg of ASA grade I (or) II scheduled for elective lower abdominal and genitourinary surgeries were selected. Children undergoing bilateral lower abdominal surgeries, Children with altered sacral, caudal anatomy, local infection at the site of block, renal (or) hepatic insufficiency, ASA III and IV, known allergy to study drugs, history of developmental delay, neurological disease, Skeletal deformities were excluded from the study. This was conducted after getting approval of institutional ethical committee and written informed consent of parents (or) guardians.

Children were randomly divided in to two groups for study using computerized program.

**Group-C** received caudal epidural block with 1ml per Kg of 0.25% Bupivacaine.

**Group-T** received ultrasound guided Transversus Abdominis Plane block with 0.3ml/kg of 0.25% Bupivacaine.

The age and weight of child was recorded, preoperative fasting protocols were strictly adhered to. After obtaining patients weight and age, appropriate size laryngeal mask airway was kept ready and the drug to be injected in caudal block and Transversus abdominis plane block was prepared in syringes under strict aseptic precautions.

All patients were premedicated with Inj. midazolam 0.4mg/Kg orally 15-20 min before anaesthetic induction. Patients were monitored using standard monitoring (heart rate, non-invasive blood pressure, and pulse oximetery). All patients were induced with 8% sevoflurane in 50% O2 and 50% N2O through Jackson-Rees modification of Ayre's T piece with appropriate size face mask.

A 22G intravenous cannula was inserted. After securing intravenous cannula, Inj.Propofol 2mg/Kg, Inj.Atropine 0.01mg/Kg and Inj.ketamine 1mg/Kg was given. Appropriate size LMA (2 and 2.5) was inserted. Anaesthesia maintained with 2 %sevoflurane, delivered in 50% O2 and 50% N2O.

Group-C patients were placed in lateral decubitus position and a single dose caudal block by 0.25% Bupivacaine, 1ml/Kg was performed under sterile conditions using a 23G needle using a standard loss of resistance technique.

Patients in Group T were placed in supine position and TAP block was performed under ultrasound guidance. The linear ultrasound probe connected to a portable ultrasound unit was placed in mid axillary level in the transverse plane to the lateral abdominal wall midway between the lower costal margin and the highest part of iliac crest. A 18G needle attached with syringe fixed with 0.25% Bupivacaine (0.3ml/Kg) was inserted in plane with the ultrasound probe and advanced until it reached the plane between transversus abdominis and internal oblique muscle, after careful aspiration to exclude vascular puncture, the local anaesthetic solution was injected, leading to separation between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscle, which appeared as a hypo echoic space in ultrasound.

Intra operative systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure mean arterial pressure and heart rate were recorded every 5 minutes. Balanced salt solution was administered at the rate of 15-20ml/Kg.

After completion of the surgical procedure, laryngeal mask airway removed in deep plane and transferred to PACU

Using the Paediatric observational FLACC pain scale score with its 0-10 score range, postoperative FLACC pain score was assessed upon arrival and every 2 Hr for first 24Hrs.

The primary outcome measures were the time to first analgesia (in minutes from the time of caudal (or) TAP block injection to first registration of FLACC pain score >3.

Secondary outcome measures included FLACC Scale score and intra operative hemodynamic variables.

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics was done for all data and suitable statistical tests of comparison were done. Continuous variables were analyzed with the unpaired t test and categorical variables were analyzed with the Chi-Square Test and Fisher Exact Test. Statistical significance was taken as P < 0.05. The data was analyzed using SPSS software (7.1.0.6 version; Center for disease control, USA) and Microsoft Excel 2010.

### **PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH**

### Volume-6 | Issue-12 | December-2017 | ISSN - 2250-1991 | IF : 5.761 | IC Value : 86.18



## Graph 1 shows that intraoperative heart rate was comparable in both the groups.



# Graph 2 shows that intraoperative systolic blood pressure was comparable in both the groups.



## Graph 3 shows that intraoperative diastolic blood pressure was comparable in both the groups



## Graph 4 shows that intraoperative mean arterial pressure was comparable in both the groups



Graph 5 shows the postoperative heart rate in both groups

Postoperative Rate	e Heart	0 Mins	15 Mins	30 Mins	1 hr	2 hr	
Caudal Group	N	22	22	22	22	22	
	Mean	93.82 95.45		96.05	97.82	102.27	
	SD	6.51	7.58	7.98	7.87	9.50	
TAP Block	Ν	23	23	23	23	23	
Group	Mean	97.09	96.61	97.70	99.74	101.09	
	SD	4.38	4.00	4.26	5.23	4.94	
Postoperati	ve Heai	t Rate	3 hr	4 hr	8 hr	12 hr	
Caudal Group		N	22	2.2		2.2	
		IN	22	22	22	22	
	1-	Mean	105.68	22	22 120.09	22 122.09	
	[-	Mean SD	105.68 11.16	22 115.36 7.66	22 120.09 6.95	22 122.09 5.80	
TAP Block G	iroup	Mean SD N	105.68 11.16 23	22 115.36 7.66 23	22 120.09 6.95 23	22 122.09 5.80 23	
TAP Block G	iroup	Mean SD N Mean	22 105.68 11.16 23 103.13	22 115.36 7.66 23 106.52	22 120.09 6.95 23 108.57	22 122.09 5.80 23 112.26	
TAP Block G	iroup	Mean SD N Mean SD	105.68 11.16 23 103.13 4.69	22 115.36 7.66 23 106.52 5.22	22 120.09 6.95 23 108.57 4.77	22 122.09 5.80 23 112.26 5.21	

#### Statistical Significance

The increased mean post-operative heart rate in caudal group compared to the TAP block group is statistically significant as the p value is < 0.05 test indicating a true difference among intervention groups



Graph 6 shows that postoperative systolic blood pressure was comparable in both the groups



## Graph 7 shows the postoperative diastolic blood pressure in both the groups

Postoper Diastolic Pressu	ative Blood re	0 mins	1 hr	2 hr	3 hr	4 hr	8 hr	12 hr
Caudal	Ν	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Group	Mean	50.23	49.91	49.91	49.09	48.73	48.77	48.82
	SD	1.07	1.77	0.87	2.04	1.45	1.51	1.56
TAP Block	Ν	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
Group	Mean	46.26	47.70	47.22	48.74	48.04	48.04	48.09
	SD	1.66	2.53	1.86	1.60	2.14	2.14	1.35
P valu	ie	0.2160	0.192	0.100	0.525	0.000	0.001	0.000
Unpaired	t test		9	7	5	0	5	0

#### **Statistical Significance**

The increased mean post-operative diastolic blood pressure in caudal group compared to the TAP block group is statistically significant as the p value is < 0.05 test indicating a true difference among intervention groups.

452

## **PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH**

### Volume-6 | Issue-12 | December-2017 | ISSN - 2250-1991 | IF : 5.761 | IC Value : 86.18

P value Unpaired t test



Graph 8 shows the postoperative mean arterial pressure in both the groups

Postopera Mean Art Pressu	ative erial re	0 mins	1 hr	2 hr	3 hr	4 hr	8 hr	12 hr
Caudal	N	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
Group	Mean	64.11	64.24	64.42	64.45	64.35	64.36	64.53
	SD	1.18	1.38	0.80	0.99	1.08	1.27	0.77
TAP Block	Ν	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
Group	Mean	60.94	62.28	62.09	63.48	63.23	63.26	63.41
	SD	3.39	3.02	2.97	3.63	3.87	3.14	3.04
P value Unp	baired	0.0002	0.008	0.001	0.225	0.194	0.130	0.097
t test			0	2	1	7	7	9

#### Statistical Significance

The increased mean post-operative mean arterial pressure in caudal group compared to the TAP block group is statistically significant as the p value is < 0.05 test indicating a true difference among intervention groups.



## Graph 9 shows the FLACC pain score in both the groups

FLACC Pain Score		0	2	4	6	8	12	16
		hrs						
Caudal Group	N	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
	Mean	0.00	1.00	2.95	2.05	1.45	1.55	1.45
	SD	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.21	0.51	0.51	0.51
<b>TAP Block Group</b>	N	23	23	23	23	23	23	23
	Mean	0.00	0.04	1.13	1.91	2.35	2.26	1.43
	SD	0.00	0.21	0.69	0.85	0.71	0.45	0.51
P value Unpaired t test		>0.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89
		999	00	00	00	00	00	69

#### Statistical Significance

The increased mean FLACC pain score in caudal group compared to the TAP block group is statistically significant as the p value is < 0.05 test indicating a true difference among intervention group.



<b>Time of First Analgesia</b>	Caudal Group	<b>TAP Block Group</b>
N	22	23
Mean	245.45	584.35
SD	25.58	90.85

0.0000

#### Statistical Significance

The increased mean time for first analgesia in TAP group compared to the caudal block group is statistically significant as the p value is < 0.05 test indicating a true difference among intervention group

#### DISCUSSION

TAP block in children is an effective means of providing pain relief in children. TAP block is used as a part of a multimodal approach after surgery involving the anterior abdominal wall.

In the above study, duration of analgesia was higher in TAP block group (9hrs 44minutes) compared to caudal group (4 hours 5 minutes), FLACC pain score for analgesic assessment were better in the TAP block group, Post-operative Heart rate, Post-operative Diastolic and Mean arterial pressure were better in the TAP block group which were all statistically significant.

In both the groups, hemodynamic changes in intra operative period were comparable and insignificant.

#### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that administration of Ultrasound guided TAP Block for children undergoing Lower Abdominal Surgeries increases the duration of post-operative analgesia without producing any adverse effects compared to Caudal epidural block.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- AHAMED M.FARIED et al : Compared the analgesic efficacy of Ultrasound guided TAP block vs llioinguinal nerve block in children undergoing lower abdominal surgeries
- ANN AND ROBERT et al Comparison the efficacy of TAP Block using two different concentrations of 0.5 % Bupivacaine for post-operative pain relief. 2
- 3 College for anaesthetists – guidelines for the use of NSAIDS in the preoperative Intraod 1998
- 4 COUSINS & BRIDENBAUGH's neural blockade in clinical anaesthesia and pain medicine - 4th edition: 595-699
- DALIA M. FAWY et al Transverse Abdominis Plan block vs caudal block for postoperative pain relief 5
- 6 DALLENS pediatric anaesthesia principles and practice, 2002,0528-575 7 FITZERALD M -The Neurobiology of foetal and infant pain. The textbook of pain 4th edition, 199,235-252
- 8 FREDRICKSON et al : TAP block vs llioinguinal nerve block
- G.EDWARD MORGAN, Clinical anaesthesiology 4th edition, 314-316 GOODMAN & GILLMAN textbook of pharmacology
- 9. 10.
- GREGORY'S pediatric Anaesthesia 5th edition
- 12
- HAROLD ELLIS Anatomy for anaesthetists 8th edition: 107-112 MALVIYA A practice of regional anaesthesia for infants and children 2002 13
- MATHER L MACKIE J The incidence of postoperative pain in children 1983-15:271-14. 282
- MATHER L MACKIE J The incidence of postoperative pain in children 1983-15:271-15. 282
- 16
- MILLERS textbook of anaesthesia 7th edition 1628-1634 MOTOYAMA & DAVIS SMITH'S Anaesthesia for infants and children 7th edition 17 PALETI SOPHIA et al 18.
- TAP block vs standard systemic analgesic use. REBECCA JACOB understanding pediatric anaesthesia 2nd edition, 96-110 19.
- 20. Royal MALVIYA A practice of regional anaesthesia for infants and children 2002
- 21. STEOLTING pharmacology and physiology in anaesthetic practice, 4th edition 179-202
- 22 TOBIAS JD - Weak analgesia and NSAIDS in the management of children with acute pain WAFAA M.AL SADEK et al ANN AND ROBERT et al Ultrasound guided TAP block vs
- 23. Regular analgesics
- 24 WAFER MOHAMED ALSADEK et al Analgesic efficacy of USG Guided TAP block vs USG guided caudal