nalo

# **ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER**

# "A STUDY ON SOLID ORGAN INJURY IN BLUNT ABDOMINAL TRAUMA IN RURAL POPULATION."

**KEY WORDS:** solid organ Injury, blunt abdominal trauma, rural population, management determinants.

**General Surgery** 

| Dr Arnab Mandal Associate Professor, Ge |   | Associate Professor, General Surgery , BSMCH  |
|---|---|---|
| Dr Anowar Ali<br>Mallick                |   | Assistant Professor , General Surgery , BSMCH |
| Dr Jishan Ali                           |   | JR, General Surgery , BSMCH                   |
| Dr Abhishek Das                         |   | Rotating House Physician (Internee), BSMCH    |
|   | Solid organ injury in blunt abdominal trauma in rural setting is not studied studied extensively . This was a institution |   |

Solid organ injury in blunt abdominal trauma in rural setting is not studied studied extensively .This was a institution based prospective study on etiology, presentation, management and outcome determinants to avoid untoward outcome and proper utilization of human and economic resources in future.

In our study,80% of patients were male and belonging to the age group of 21-40 years. Most common solid organ involved was the spleen(46%) of which grade 4 injury was predominant. Liver was the next most common organ to be injured(34%). Most common surgical procedure performed was spleenectomy[8]. Mortality rate in our study was 10%. Most of the complications were due to presence of co-morbidities, multiple injuries, presentation in state of shock, delay at presentation and lack of prehospitalisation score.

### INTRODUCTION

ABSTRACT

Blunt<sup>(4)</sup> abdominal trauma in rural areas is increasing day by day due to increased number of vehicles and violence.if a solid organ injury is missed in such an incident, it leads to significant morbidity and mortality , thus to loss of human and economic resources<sup>[5]</sup>. Efforts should be made to identify the factors leading to outcome following blunt trauma abdomen.

The management of solid organ injury is standardized, but factors determining outcome has not been established yet in rural settings.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS:

After approval from ethics committee, a study was carried out on 50 patients who presented with Blunt Abdominal Trauma and found to have solid organ injury in the Department of General Surgery, BSMCH, Bankura from March 2016 to August 2017.Details regarding socio economic condition, current illness, mode of injury, co-morbidities,pre-hospitalisation, duration of stay and outcome were collected from BHT and questionnaire in predesigned proforma.

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:

Data was tabulated in MS excel and statistical analysis was done by Chi-square test ,T test, cross tabulation analysis.

#### **RESULTS:**

Our study has shown that patients presented with shock at the time of presentation were associated with significant postoperative complication of 32% with p value 0.48.

Patients with multiple site of injury were also associated with more postoperative complication (38.57%) with p value of 0.013.

Patients with co-morbidities such as DM,HTN had post operative complications in 71.4% cases with p value 0.006.In our study mean time of presentation of patient to hospital was 20.10 hrs with minimum and maximum being 4 and 27 hrs respectively. Moratality rate in our study was 10%.

### Table 1:ORGANS INJURED IN PATIENTS PRESENTING WITH BLUNT ABDOMINAL TRAUMA

| Site         | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Liver        | 17        | 34.0    |
| Liver spleen | 1         | 2.0     |
| Lt kidney    | 2         | 4.0     |
| Pancreas     | 2         | 4.0     |
| Rt Kidney    | 3         | 6.0     |
| Spleen       | 23        | 46.0    |

| Spleen colon  | 1  | 2.0   |
|---------------|----|-------|
| Spleen kidney | 1  | 2.0   |
| Total         | 50 | 100.0 |

# Table 2:SHOCK AT ADMISSION AND SUBSEQUENT COMPLICATIONS.

| Shock       |                | Complications |       | Total  | Fisher's Exact |
|-------------|----------------|---------------|-------|--------|----------------|
|             |                | Yes           | No    |        | P value        |
| Pres        | Count          | 5             | 11    | 16     |                |
| ent         | Expected Count | 3.8           | 12.2  | 16.0   |                |
|             | % within shock | 31.2%         | 68.8% | 100.0% |                |
| Abse        | Count          | 7             | 27    | 34     |                |
| nt          | Expected Count | 8.2           | 25.8  | 34.0   | 0.486          |
|             | % within shock | 20.6%         | 79.4% | 100.0% |                |
| Total Count |                | 12            | 38    | 50     |                |
|             | Expected Count | 12.0          | 38.0  | 50.0   | ]              |
|             | % within shock | 24.0%         | 76.0% | 100.0% | ]              |

# Table 3:DISTRIBUTION OF PRE HOSPITALISTION CARE AND COMPLICATION.

| Shock |                 | Complications |        | Total   | Fisher's Exact |
|-------|-----------------|---------------|--------|---------|----------------|
|       |                 | Yes           | No     |         | P value        |
| Pres  | Count           | 7             | 21     | 16      |                |
| ent   | Expected Count  | 6.7           | 21.3   | 28.0    |                |
|       | % within Pre    | 25.0%         | 75.0%  | 100.0%  |                |
|       | hospitalization |               |        |         |                |
|       | care            |               |        |         |                |
| Abse  | Count           | 5             | 17     | 22      |                |
| nt    | Expected Count  | 5.3           | 16.7   | 22.0    |                |
|       | % within Pre    | 22.7%         | 77.3%  | 100.0%  | 0.852          |
|       | hospitalization |               |        |         |                |
|       | care            |               |        |         |                |
| Total | Count           | 12            | 38     | 50      |                |
|       | Expected Count  | 12.0          | 38.0   | 50.0    |                |
|       | % within Pre    | 24.00/        | 70.00/ | 100.00/ |                |
|       | nospitalization | 24.0%         | /6.0%  | 100.0%  |                |

### Table 4: ASSOCIATED COMORBIDITY AND COMPLICATION.

| Comorbidity |                         | Complication |       | Total  | Fisher's Exact |
|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------|--------|----------------|
|             |                         | Yes          | No    | 1      | P value        |
| Yes         | Count                   | 5            | 2     | 7      |                |
| No          | No Expected Count       |              | 5.3   | 7.0    | 0.006          |
|             | % within<br>Comorbidity | 71.4%        | 28.6% | 100.0% | 0.000          |

www.worldwidejournals.com

### PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

|       | Count                   | 7     | 36    | 43     |  |
|-------|-------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--|
|       | Expected Count          | 10.3  | 32.7  | 43.0   |  |
|       | % within                | 16.3% | 83.7% | 100.0% |  |
|       | Comorbidity             |       |       |        |  |
| Total | Count                   | 12    | 38    | 50     |  |
|       | Expected Count          | 12.0  | 38.0  | 50.0   |  |
|       | % within<br>Comorbidity | 24.0% | 76.0% | 100.0% |  |

# Table 5:NUMBER OF INJURY AND COMPLICATION:

| Νι    | umber of injury              | Compl | ication | Total  | Chi-square |
|-------|------------------------------|-------|---------|--------|------------|
|       |                              | Yes   | No      |        | P value    |
| SINGL | Count                        | 2     | 22      | 24     | 0.013      |
| E     | Expected Count               | 5.8   | 18.2    | 24.0   |            |
|       | % within Number of           | 8.3%  | 91.7%   | 100.0% |            |
|       | injury                       |       |         |        |            |
| MULT  | Count                        | 10    | 16      | 26     |            |
| IPLE  | Expected Count               | 6.2   | 19.8    | 26.0   |            |
|       | % within Number of           | 38.5% | 61.5%   | 100.0% |            |
|       | injury                       |       |         |        |            |
| Total | Count                        | 12    | 38      | 50     |            |
|       | Expected Count               | 12.0  | 38.0    | 50.0   |            |
|       | % within Number of<br>injury | 24.0% | 76.0%   | 100.0% |            |

### DISCUSSION:

Most solid organ injuries occurred under the age of 40 years, automobile accidents being the commonest.<sup>[1]</sup> In rural settings injury inflicted by animals is also worth mentioning (10%).Spleen<sup>[2,3]</sup> was the commonest organ to be injured (46%) followed by liver(34%).Non operative management<sup>[6]</sup> of blunt abdominal trauma is well established based on haemodynamic stability and radiological findings.Mortality(10%) was mostly due to sepsis,burst abdomen seen especially in patients with multiple injuries,associated co morbidities,delayed presentation<sup>[7]</sup>, lack of prehospitalisation care.

### **REFERENCES:**

- 1) Gupta S, Talwar S, Sharma RK, Gupta P, Goyal A, Prasad P. Blunt & penetrating Trauma Abdomen: A study of 63 Cases. Indian J Med Sci 1996; 50:272-6.
- 2,3) Davis KA, Fabian TC, Croce MA, et al.: Improved success in nonoperative management of blunt splenic injuries: Embolization of splenic artery pseudoaneurysms. J Trauma 44:1008, 1998
- Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Integrated Disease Surveillance Project-Project Implementation Plan 2004-2009. New Delhi: Government of India; 2004:1-182.
- Park K Textbook of Preventive And Social Medicine 22nd edition page no 374-375
  Feliciano DV.Diagnostic modalities in abdominal trauma. Peritoneal lavage
- Feliciano DV. Diagnostic modalities in abdominal trauma. Peritoneal lavage, ultrasonographycomputed tomography scanning, and North Am 1991; 72:241-56.
- Fazili Anjum, Nazir Shabana: Clinical profile and operative management of blunt abdominal trauma: a retrospective one-year experience at SMHS hospital, Kashmir, vol.8 no.4, October- december2001;8(4):219-221
- 8) Maingot's abdominal operations11th edition p 772-784