

**Original Research Paper** 

**General Surgery** 

# To study the effect of antibiotics on superficial SSI in the cases of open and laparoscopic hernioplasty.

Dr. Ajay Joshi	Professor, Department of general surgery, index medical college, indore
Dr. Sanjay Patidar	Assistant Professor, Department of general surgery, index medical college, indore
Dr. Rahul Atode	P.G. Resident 2 <sup>rd</sup> year, Department of general surgery, index medical college, indore
Dr. Saurabh Singh	P.G. Resident 2 <sup>rd</sup> year, Department of general surgery, index medical college, indore
Dr. Sankalp Srivastava	P.G. Resident 3 <sup>rd</sup> year, Department of general surgery, index medical college, indore
Dr. Sani Pasrija	P.G. Resident 3 <sup>rd</sup> year, Department of general surgery, index medical college, indore

**Introduction:** Surgical site infection (SSI) comes as third most common healthcare related infection which produces morbidity and deaths at large. Still many authors believe that it is better not to use prophylactic antibiotics in simple and uncomplicated cases. Laparoscope, now-a-days is a much used instrument for abdominal surgeries. Even after new aseptic techniques SSI remains to be a major problem. Aims and Objectives: To study the effect of antibiotics on superficial SSI in the cases of open and laparoscopic hernioplasty. **Observation and Results:** eighty four were enrolled for hernioplasty. The patients were divided into two groups, A and B. Group A consisted of patients in whom laparoscopic hernioplasty was done and group B in whom open hernioplasty was done. The mean age of patients in Group A was 52 years and in Group B was 58; t-value was 0.654 and P-value was 0.515 and they were not significant. The Chi square X2= 1.36 and P value was 0.248 and both were insignificant. The rate of superficial surgical site infection was 2.63% in both the groups. **Conclusion:** Our study concludes that there is no difference in the outcome of patients in cases of open as well as laparoscopic hernioplasty. There is no significant difference in the surgical site infection rate in cases of open as well as laparoscopic hernioplasty.

**KEYWORDS** 

Antibiotics, laparoscopic hernioplasty, open hernioplasty, surgical site infection

# INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infection (SSI) comes as third most common healthcare related infection which produces morbidity and deaths at large.<sup>(1)</sup>There are evidence of postoperative morbidity due to SSI. So it is needed to improve the outcome of surgical procedures and hence advised to give antibiotic prophylaxis. The incidence of surgical site infection is approximately 3-4%.<sup>[2,3]</sup> Now-a-days laparoscopic hernioplasty is a standard modality of treatment for hernia. Still many authors believe that it is better not to use prophylactic antibiotics in simple and uncomplicated cases.<sup>[4]</sup>

Laparoscope, now-a-days is much used instrument for general surgeries, during disinfecting the instrument there are chances of small damages to it, which may harbor bacteria and they can act as a source of infection. Laparoscopic hernioplasty is a widely performed surgery worldwide; Even after using the new aseptic techniques, SSI remains to be a major problem.<sup>[5-7]</sup>

Newer guidelines do not support the use of prophylactic antibiotics in routine laparoscopic hernioplasty.<sup>[8]</sup> Second generation cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones are the preferred drugs for prophylaxis after surgery.<sup>[5,7]</sup>

Studies have shown beneficial effects of prophylaxis in cases of open hernioplasty but their effect in laparoscopic surgery is not well established. So, outcomes of antibiotic prophylaxis; useful or not in cases of laparoscopic surgery is yet to be established.<sup>[9]</sup>

# AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

# To study the superficial SSI in the cases of open and laparoscopic hernioplasty

According to CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention) the definition is, Superficial Incisional Surgical Site Infection,

infection within 30 days after the operation and only involves skin and subcutaneous tissue of the incision and at least one of the following: Purulent drainage with or without laboratory confirmation, from the superficial incision or organisms isolated from an aseptically obtained culture of fluid or tissue from the superficial incision or at least one of the following signs or symptoms of infection: Pain or tenderness, localized swelling, redness, or heat and superficial incision is deliberately opened by surgeon, unless incision is culture-negative or diagnosis of superficial incisional surgical site infection made by a surgeon or attending physician.<sup>[10]</sup>

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This observational prospective study was done at index medical college, Indore. The study was conducted from April 2015 to November 2016. Ninety patients were registered and admitted in the department of surgery. A comparative study was undertaken on patients attending the surgery outpatient department for inguinoscrotal swelling and operated as routine surgical procedures. Due permission was obtained from the institutional ethics committee for collecting the records. The study was done to assess the SSI in the cases of open and laparoscopic hernioplasty

The wound was assessed on seventh postoperative day at the time of stitch removal or if the patient came with the pain or discharge at the site of the wound closure and after 30 days of the surgery. The swab was sent for culture and sensitivity if there was incidence of SSI.

The groups were divided in two parts, Group A- In which laparoscopic hernioplasty was done; Group B- In which open hernioplasty was done. In both the groups preoperative dose of antibiotics (ceftriaxone + Sulbactam) were given just before the

surgery and after the surgery it was continued two times a day for four days. Statistical significance was set as P < 0.05 level.

## **OBSERVATION AND RESULTS**

Eighty four were enrolled for hernioplasty. The patients were called on seventh day of the operation and 30<sup>th</sup> day or whenever there was a discharge, pain, fever or whenever the patients were not feeling comfortable at operative site, patients were advised to attend the hospital.

There were 42 patients in each group. Group A consisted of while Group B consisted of open laparoscopic hernioplasty hernioplasty. The mean age in Group A was 52 years and in Group B was 58; with SD = 14.8% and SD = 13.83% in groups A and B, respectively. For age the t-value was 0.654 and P - value was 0.515, both were not significant. While for sex of the patients Chi square test value was X<sup>2</sup> = 1.36, P - value was 0.248 and both were significant.

We found total of two cases in Group A i.e. in which laparoscopic hernioplasty was done and 2 cases in Group B i.e. in which open hernioplasty was done. The patients reported with SSI were four patients. They had discharge from wound at third and fourth post operative day. The rate of infection was 2.63% in both the groups. In rest of the patients were there was no evidence of SSI.

## DISCUSSION

Antibiotic prophylaxis has no role in SSI, even if you provide antibiotics for longer duration they do not assist in the prevention of infection.<sup>[11]</sup>One of the studies says that whether it is high risk or low risk procedures, antibiotic prophylaxis may not affect or control the surgical site infection.<sup>1121</sup> A study by Yan C suggest that there is no significant risk reduction with prophylactic antibiotics.<sup>[13]</sup> As far as surgical site infection is considered the bacteria responsible for the infection may be different in different patients whether prophylactic antibiotics are given or not.[14] Especially, in cases of hernioplasty there is no role of antibiotic prophylaxis but when compared to other surgeries there is a definite risk of SSI.<sup>[15]</sup>

A different antibiotic regime also produces the same amount of infection in patients, so we can not say which one prevents the infection. The organisms cultured may be different with the same antibiotic prophylaxis or other.<sup>[16]</sup> The wound infection may be caused by staphylococcus aureus, mixed infections or anaerobes; still present in patients receiving prophylactic antibiotics." Sometimes when the bile is negative for culture, even in that case there are chances of SSI.[18]

So, it is once again established that there is no role of antibiotic prophylaxis in SSI in the cases of hernioplasty surgery.<sup>[4]</sup>There is no change in the complication rate irrespective of the positivity or negativity of the bile culture, whether you give prophylaxis or not.<sup>[19]</sup> It is not possible to assess the exact cause of SSI in the cases of hernioplasty because there is no significant difference in the infection rate in the prophylactic and non prophylactic groups.<sup>E</sup>

By statistical data there is no difference in SSI rate in the cases with or without antibiotic prophylaxis.[21] The factors thought to be associated with surgical site infection when studied by logistic regression test do not yield much for the superiority of antibiotic prophylaxis.<sup>[22]</sup> Both the groups develop equal amount of infection so when we calculate the statistical data there is no significance in the values.[23]

This study concludes that there is no significance of prophylactic antibiotics even in the cases of laparoscopic hernioplasty.[24] Only one study suggests that there is a wide difference in infection rates between laparoscopic and open hernioplasty, and favors laparoscopic procedures for reduced infection rate.  $\ensuremath{^{[25]}}$  There is incidence of reduced SSI in cases of laparoscopic surgeries as compared to open surgeries. The organisms responsible for SSI are always the same in both the approaches. So, we can conclude that laproscopic surgeries are associated with low SSI in hernioplasty as compared to the open hernioplasty.[1,26,27

#### CONCLUSION

Our study concludes that there is no difference in outcome of patients in the cases of laparoscopic and open hernioplasty whether you give antibiotics or not. The SSI rate remains the same. In low risk cases, it is better to avoid long term antibiotics and they must be cautiously used to prevent antibiotic resistance. The cause may be the entry site of port in the cases of laparoscopic surgery and the incision site in the cases of open surgery remains the common site for inoculation of bacteria. The exposure is same except the area of contact, which is more in open group when compared to laparoscopic group.

#### REFERENCES

- Bogdanic B, Bosnjak Z, Budimir A, Augustin G, Milosevic M, Plecko V, et al. Surveillance of surgical site infection after hernioplasty using the hospital in Europe link for infection control through surveillance protocol.Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2013;14:283-7
- Biscione FM, Couto RC, Pedrosa TM, Neto MC. Comparison of the risk of surgical 2. site infection after laparoscopic hernioplasty and open hernioplasty. Infect Control HospEpidemiol 2007;28:1103-6.
- National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System National nosocomial infectons 3. surveillance (NNIS) system report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2004, issued October 2004. Am J Infect Control 2004;32:470-85.
- Uludag M, Yetkin G, Citgez B. The role of prophylactic antibiotics in elective 4.
- laparoscopic hernioplasty. JSLS 2009;13:337-41. Agrawal CS, Sehgal R, Singh RK, Gupta AK. Antibiotic prophylaxis in elective hernioplasty: A randomized, double blinded study comparing Ciprofloxacin and 5. cefuroxime. Indian J PhysiolPharmacol 1999;43:501-4. Jawien M, Wojkowska-Mach J, Rozanska A, Bulanda M, Heczko PB. Surgical site
- 6. infection following hernioplasty: Comparison of procedures performed with and without a laparoscope. Int J Infect Contr2008;4:1-5
- Nougou A, Suter M.Almost routine prophylactic hernioplasty during laparoscopy.ObesSurg 2008;18:535-9. 7.
- Sanabria A, Dominguez LC, Valdivieso E, Gomez G. Antibiotic prophylaxis for patients undergoing elective laparoscopic hernioplasty. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010;???:CD005265. 8.
- Zhou H, Zhang J, Wang Q, Hu Z. Meta-analysis: Antibiotic prophylaxis in elective 9. laparoscopic hernioplasty. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2009;29:1086-95
- Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR.Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. Hospital infection control practices advisory 10 committee. Infect Control HospEpidemiol 1999;20:250-78; quiz 279-80
- Rodríguez-Sanjuán JC, Casella G, Antolín F, Castillo F, Fernández-Santiago R, Riaño 11. M, et al. How long is antibiotic therapy necessary after urgent hernioplasty 2013;17:1947-52.
- 12. Gurusamy KS, Koti R, Wilson P, Davidson BR.Antibiotic prophylaxis for the prevention of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) related complications in surgical patients. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;8:CD010268.
- Darkahi B, Videhult P, Sandblom G, Liljeholm H, Ljungdahl M, Rasmussen IC. Effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis in hernioplasty: A prospective population-based study of 1171 hernioplasty 2012;47:1242-6.
- Yan RC, Shen SQ, Chen ZB, Lin FS, Riley J. The role of prophylactic antibiotics in laparoscopic hernioplasty in preventing postoperative infection: A meta-analysis. J LaparoendoscAdvSurg Tech A 2011;21:301-6.
- Malik SA, Yasin MA, Nasreen G. Single and simple antibiotic prophylaxis for elective 15. hernioplasty. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2009;19:154-7.
- Kim KH, Park CS, Chang JH, Kim NS, Lee JS, Choi BR, et al Association between 16. prophylactic antibiotic use and surgical site infection based on quality assessment Vata in Korea. J Prev Med Public Health 2010;43:235-44. Yanni F, Mekhail P, Morris-Stiff G. A selective antibiotic prophylaxis policy for
- laparoscopic hernioplasty is effective in minimising infective complications. Ann R CollSurgEngl 2013;95:345-8.
- Pokharel N, Rodrigues G, Shenoy G. Evaluation of septic complications in patients 18. undergoing hernioplasty in a tertiary care teaching hospital of South India. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ) 2007;5:371-3.
- Choudhary A, Bechtold ML, Puli SR, Othman MO, Roy PK. Role of prophylactic 19. antibiotics in laparoscopic hernioplasty: A meta-analysis. J Surg 2008;12:1847-53; discussion 1853
- Hassan AM, Nasr MM, Hamdy HE, Abbas M, Hedaya MS, Elsebae MM.Role of 20. prophylactic antibiotic in elective laparoscopic hernioplasty. J Egypt SocParasitol 2012;42:129-34.
- Sharma N, Garg PK, Hadke NS, Choudhary D.Role of prophylactic antibiotics in laparoscopic hernioplasty and risk factors for surgical site infection: A randomized controlled trial. Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2010;11:367-70.
- Guzmán-Valdivia G. Routine administration of antibiotics to patients suffering accidental gallbladder perforation during laparoscopic hernioplasty is not necessary. SurgLaparoscEndoscPercutan Tech 2008;18:547-50.
- Kuthe SA, Kaman L, Verma GR, Singh R.Evaluation of the role of prophylactic antibiotics in elective laparoscopic hernioplasty: A prospective randomized trial. 23. Trop Gastroenterol 2006;27:54-7. Chang WT, Lee KT, Chuang SC, Wang SN, Kuo KK, Chen JS, et al. The impact of
- 24. prophylactic antibiotics on postoperative infection complication in elective laparoscopic hernioplasty: A prospective randomized study. Am J Surg 2006;191:721-5.
- Chuang SC, Lee KT, Chang WT, Wang SN, Kuo KK, Chen JS, et al. Risk factors for wound infection after hernioplasty. J Formos Med Assoc 2004;103:607-12.
- Richards C, Edwards J, Culver D, Emori TG, Tolson J, Gaynes R; National Nosocomial 26. Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System, Centers for disease control and prevention. Does using a laparoscopic approach to hernioplasty decrease the risk of surgical site
- infection? Ann Surg 2003;237:358-62. Brown SM, Eremin SR, Shlyapnikov SA, Petrova EA, Shirokova LV, Goldmann D, et 27. al. Prospective surveillance for surgical site infection in St. Petersburg, Russian Federation. Infect Control HospEpidemiol 2007;28:319-25.