| Journal or Pa | | ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER | | Engineering | |
|----------------|---|-------------------------|---|-------------|--|
| Indian | ARIPEN S | | LYSIS OF SOFTWARE FAILURE FACTORS AND RIA TO INCREASE THE SOFTWARE QUALITY | KEY WORDS: | |
| Kumaresan K | | | Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, Study World College of Engineering, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu. | | |
| Rajesh Kumar G | | G | Assistant Professor, Department of CSE, Study World College of Engineering, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu. | | |
| ABSTRACT | A current Analysis study on Software Industries indicates that the majority of software projects failures are big challenge to the Developers. These software failures are characterized by high budget, improper schedule, and failing to meet customer expectations. These characteristic factors take the organization to research regarding the factors that lead to software project success or failure. This paper presents a factors and methods for software project success. The factors included in these papers are from organizational, technical, people, and culture factors. The suggested factors and methods are not founding together in any of the province project. | | | | |

of the previous similar works.

1. Introduction

Software Company has to produce very high-quality products to achieve a respectable position in the global market. In this era of globalization, things are changing and moving with great pace. To survive in this world of global competition needs efforts, money, people and time. It is very complicated to find mistakes and errors committed during the process as it involves cost, time and resources. Whatever is done should be considered as first and last time. To avoid such problem the organization should come up with major factors influencing the software guality of process and the product. There have been many incidences of failure in real time software system which led to serious consequences.



Figure 1 Software Quality factors

Many industries noticed that a number of their software projects failed; and between one and two-thirds of software projects exceed their budget and time. A project is usually deemed as successful if meets requirements are delivered on time and delivered within budget. Software quality is the overused term in the field of software engineering. It is meant to encompass all of the stakeholder needs and perspectives in terms of the delivered software product [5]. It is meant to include both objective and subjective technical evaluations. "High" quality is inherent, yet the vastly subjective goal of every software development team. A standardized approach to quantifying both the Elements of software quality and the factors that influence software quality is essential to providing insight into a software product that is consistent and reliable across applications.

The objective of this paper is to discuss the reasons for software project failures factors and to provide some directions for future research

2. Related Work

Identified key factors affecting the software quality process. Many authors have classified the various factors under specific domains. Some authors have suggested techniques like Inspection [4], Peer Reviews [4] useful for all phases of software development. Reconsider of documents and artifacts, coding documents, design documents are important for quality control activities. Inspection is quite useful for assuring quality in software development. Inspection helps for detecting and removing the errors. Revision is sort of revising work in all phase. Revision builds confidence in the process. Some researchers have classified factors according to the influences. Some have classified them on basis of software development phases.

3. Research Methodology

We have tried to search journal and conference paper on Internet but could get limited papers from IEEE, Springer and ACM, Presently we identified and listed factors based on research paper available with us.

A. Searching and Selecting Papers

The main criteria for searching were journal, conference paper and other paper on factors.

Searching was done manually and also on internet.

B. Information Sources

We have searched and collected information from various sources which are listed in references

- www.acm.org
- www.ieee.org
- www.sciencedirect.com
- Springer Journals
- Elsevier Journals
- Conference papers

4. Factors affecting Software Quality

This section provides a software projects success/failure factors. In generally this factors are classified into four categories [8]:

Organizational Factors

The success of a software project is often related to organizational factors. Organizational factors are divided into four factors: the presence of formal methodology, clear business objective, executive support and minimized project scope.

Technical Factors

The success of a software project is often related to technical factors. Technical factors are divided into three factors: the presence of standard software infrastructure, understanding requirements and managing requirements changes and reliable estimates.

People Factors

The success of a software project is often related to people factors. People factors are divided into two factors: user involvement and the presence of an experienced project manager.

Culture Factor

The culture factor is a critical success factor in software project. None of these authors included this factor in their framework or models.

PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Volume-6 | Issue-11 | November-2017 | ISSN - 2250-1991 | IF : 5.761 | IC Value : 79.96

The failure factors are usually a combination of technical, project management and business decisions, and each dimension interacts with the others in complicated ways. Project managers (PM) and development teams must deal with many pressures from project stakeholders during the software development process. These pressures impact the effort, cost and quality of the software produced [9].

Table 1.Ways of failure factors Arise

| S.No | Way of Failure Factor Arises |
|------|--|
| 1 | Organizational structure |
| 2 | Unrealistic or unarticulated goals |
| 3 | Software that fails to meet the real business needs |
| 4 | Badly defined system requirements, user requirements and requirements specification |
| 5 | The project management process, poor project management |
| 6 | Software development methodologies, sloppy development practices |
| 7 | Scheduling and project budget |
| 8 | Inaccurate estimates of needed resources |
| 9 | Poor reporting of the project status |
| 10 | Inability to handle project complexity |
| 11 | Unmanaged risks |
| 12 | Poor communication among customers, developers and users |
| 13 | Use of immature technology |
| 14 | Stakeholder politics |
| 15 | Commercial pressures |
| 16 | Customer satisfaction |
| 17 | Product quality |
| 18 | Leadership, upper management support |
| 19 | Personality conflicts |
| 20 | Business processes and resources |
| 21 | Poor, or no tracking tools |

4.1 Software Factors and Criteria

Criteria are the characteristics which define the quality factors. The criteria for the factors are the attributes of the software product or software production process by which the factor can be judged [10].

Table 2.Software Factors and Criteria

| Factors | Criteria | |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Correctness | Completeness, consistency, operability | |
| Efficiency | Concision, execution, efficiency, operability | |
| Flexibility | Complexity, concision, consistency, expandability generality, modularity, self- documentation, simplicity | |
| Integrity | Audit ability, instrumentation, security | |
| Interoperability | Communications commonality, data communality | |
| Maintainability | Concision, consistency, modularity, instrumentation, self documentation, software independence | |
| Portability | Generality, hardware independence, modularity, self-documentation, software independence | |
| Reliability | Accuracy, complexity, consistency, error tolerance, modularity, simplicity | |
| Reusability | Generality, hardware independence, modularity, self-documentation, software independence | |
| Testability | Audit ability, complexity, instrumentation, modularity, self documentation, simplicity | |
| Usability | Operability, training | |
| Modifiability | Structure, augment ability, | |
| Understandability | Consistency, Structure, conciseness. legibility | |
| Documentation | Completeness | |
| Functionality | Capability, security | |
| Performance | Flexibility, efficiency, Reusability | |
| Supportability | Testability, extensibility, maintainability, compatibility | |
| | | |

4.2 Important Successful factor, Challenge indicators

According to the 1994 Standish CHAOS Report, These are the top 5 of 10 listed in the report. The report concludes that these were the elements that were most often pointed to as major contributors to project success. The failure elements are treated well, a project, according to the Standish Group, will have a much higher probability of success. The next category of differentiators from the Standish report deals with projects that proved to be "Challenged;" that is they were completed buy were over budget, over time, or not contains all functions and features originally required.

The top 5 factors found in successful projects

- 1. User Involvement
- 2. Executive Management Support
- 3. Clear Statement of Requirements
- 4. Proper Planning 5. Realistic Expectations

The top 5 indicators found in "Challenged" projects

- 1. Lack of User Input
- 2. Incomplete Requirements & Specifications
- 3. Changing Requirements & Specifications
- 4. Lack of Executive Support
- 5. Technical Incompetence

5. Conclusion

Our study shows that projects do not fail for one single reason alone; they fail for multiple reasons. These findings are in full agreement with Glass [9] who noted there are generally more than one or two reasons for a software project to fail, and it usually is a combination of several factors and project success is dependent on many factors including executive support, clear objectives, presence of a formal methodology, minimization of project scope, use of a standard software infrastructure, understanding and managing requirements, making reliable estimates, user involvement and presence of experienced project manager. Future research is needed to extend and improve the methodology to find the factors to improve and preventing the software from the failure factors.

Reference

- [1]. Cristina Venera Geambasu, Iulia Jianu, Ionel Jianu And Alexandru Gavrila , Influence Factors For The Choice Of A Software Development Methodology" Accounting and Management Information Systems, Vol. 10, No. 4, pp. 479 – 494,
- Tim Menzies and Justin S. Di Stefano ," More Success and Failure Factors in Software Reuse", IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, Vol. No. 29 Issue 3,pp. 474 477, 2003. [2].
- [3]. Shouki A. Ebad," Influencing Factors for IT Software Project Failures in Developing Countries A Critical Literature Survey", Journal of Software, Volume 11, Number 11, pp. 1145 1153, November 2016.
- [4]. Ashwin Tomar And V M Thakare" Identification And Listing Of Factors Affecting Software Quality Assurance" International Journal Of Internet Computing, Vol- 1, Iss- 3,pp 43-47, 2012.
- [5]. Beaver Justin M ,Schiavone Guy A , Berrios Joseph S " Predicting Software Suitability Using a Bayesian Belief Network " Fourth International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA'05), Dec. 2005.
- [6]. E.G.Mcguire "Factors affecting the quality of software project management: an
- [7] Souhei Khaddaj and G Horgan " The Evaluation of Software Project management and empirical study based on the Capability Maturity Model " Software Quality Journal, Vol 5, pp 305-317, 1996.
 [7] Souheil Khaddaj and G Horgan " The Evaluation of Software Quality Factors in Very Large Information Systems" Electronic Journal of Information Systems Evaluation, Vol.No 7 Issue 1, pp 43-48, 2004
 [8] Haroon Tarawneh "A Suggested Theoretical Pramework for Software Project
- Success" Journal of Software Engineering and Applications, Vol 4, pp.646-651, 2011
- [9]. June Verner, Jennifer Sampson and Narciso Cerpa," What factors lead to software project failure? " International Conference on Research Challenges in Information Science, 2008.
- [10]. Ming-Chang Lee," Software Quality Factors and Software Quality Metrics to Enhance Software Quality Assurance" British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Vol 4 (21), 2014