PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH Volume-8 Issue-7		July-2019 PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991		
Journal or P. O	RIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER	Dental Science		
ARIPEK CE	LLULAR TELEPHONE AS A PATHOGEN SERVOIR: MYTH OR FACT	KEY WORDS:		
Dr. Heena Sadiq	r. Heena Sadiq Assistant Professor .department Of Dentistry .government Medical C Kathua			
Dr. Saleeta mushtaq	Consultant(MDS)Department of Periodontics.			
Dr. Shahid shaikh	Consultant Paediatric Dentist Masina Hospital Mumbai.			
Dr. Animesh Kumar Shivam	Senior Resident, Department Of Dentistry .patliputra Medical College And Hospital Dhanbad Jharkhand.			
Dr. Priti Gupta	MDS. Department of Oral Medicine And Radiolog	Jà.		

INTRODUCTION

Mobile phones have become a most important part of daily life.¹ Mobile phones have now become an easiest and fastest means of communication. The vast majority of mobile phones are hand-held.² Constant handling of these gadgets generates heat and creates a prime breeding ground for numerous microbes that are normally found on the skin.³ It has been reported that a mobile phone can harbor more microorganisms than a toilet seat, the sole of a shoe or the door handle.⁴ Hospital-acquired infection (HAI) is an increasing global concern for patient safety. The potential sources of HAIs consist of patient's own flora; inanimate hospital objects; medical personnel; or, less often, the visitors. Hand washing may not usually be performed often enough and many people may use a personal mobile phone during working hours throughout the day, thus the potential role of mobile phones as a source of microbial transmission is considerable.⁵ Many epidemiological studies have confirmed that a various number of contaminated surfaces play a major role in the spread of infectious diseases.⁶ Mobile phones are more problematic compared with other stationary fomites becauset they facilitate inter-ward (and possibly inter-facility) transmission , and pathogens on them are very difficult to eliminate.⁷

AIMS AND OBJECTIVE

- To assess bacterial contamination of cellular telephone of Dental Care Personnel.
- To determine factors contributing to bacterial contamination of the cellular telephones used by them.
- To correlate the bacterial contamination with the use of cellular telephone by them.

MATERIALS & METHOD

This study is a cross sectional descriptive study which was carried out in the Out patient department of Himachal Institute of Dental Sciences Paonta Sahib, Himachal Pradesh. The study included 300 participants.

Sampling Design

RESULTS

In this study the samples were divided under 3 groups. Dental

Health care personnel (DHCP), In-Hospital Personnel(IHP), Out-Hospital Personnel(OHP).

Dental Health care personnel (DHCP).

- Those who come in direct contact with the patient (10-20/day)
- Doctors, Post-Graduate students, Interns, final year B.D.S students, House Surgeons
- Included 100 samples for study.

In-Hospital Personnel (IHP).

- Those who do not come in direct contact with patients.
- 1st and 2nd year B.D.S students, Lab. Technicians
- Included 100 samples for study.

Out-Hospital Personnel (OHP).

- Those who are not the employs of the hospital
- Patients and their attendants/ relatives
- Included 100 samples for study.

Collection of Sample

Sterile cotton Swab was wiped along the front and sides of handset with slight rotation several times and Swab was immediately incubated in glucose broth (transport media).

Analysis of Sample

The swab was subplated onto MacConkey and Blood Agar; incubated for 48 hrs at 37 °c. Plates isolates were subcultured for purity and identified employing standard methods. Microbiological Analysis of all the samples was done at clinical microbiological laboratory of the hospital. Isolated microorganisms were identified using gram stain, morphology, catalase, and oxidase reaction, and all isolates were allocated to the appropriate genera. Gram-positive cocci (GPC) were tested for catalase and coagulase reactions (Staphaurex, Murex Diagnostics Limited, Hartford, England). Catalase-positive, coagulase-positive GPC were identified as Staphylococcus aureus and were further tested for antibiotic sensitivity, including methicillin resistance. Antibiotic sensitivity was done using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar according to Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute antibiotic disc susceptibility testing guidelines.

Table 1: Distribution of microo	rganisms isolated from cellular tel	ephone of study participants
---------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

PATHOGEN		DHCP	IHP	OHP	Pearson Chi-	p value
		(n=100)	(n=100)	(n=100)	square	
Non-potential	Spore bearing gram positive bacilli	20	16	17	.596	.742
pathogens	Coagulase negative Staphylococci (CoNS)	27	35	59	23.04	<.001**

www.worldwidejournals.com

PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Volume-8 | Issue-7 | July-2019 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991

Table 2: Distribution of microorganisms isolated from cellular telephone of study participants								
PATHOGEN		DHCP (n=100)	IHP (n=100)	OHP (n=100)	x2	p value		
POTENTIAL	Enterobacter growth	14	13	0	14.89	.001**		
PATHOGENS	Pseudomonas species	8	0	6	7.792	.020*		
	Methicillin Sensitive Staphylococciaureus (MSSA)	8	9	4	2.151	.341		
	Methicillin Resistant Staphylococci aureus (MRSA	29	4	0	50.46	<.001**		
	Acinetobacter	2	0	6	7.192	.027*		

Table 3: Distribution of microorganisms between the groups

PATHOGEN		DHCP-IHP		DHCP-OHP		IHP-OHP	
		Pearson Chi-square	p value	Pearson Chi-square	p value	Pearson Chi-square	p value
Non-potential	Spore bearing gram positive bacilli	.542	.462	.298	.716	.036	.849
pathogens	Coagulase negative Staphylococci (CoNS)	1.496	.221	20.89	<.001**	11.56	<.001**

Table 4: Distribution of microorganisms between the groups

PATHOGEN		DHCP-IHP		DHCP-OHP		IHP-OHP	
POTENTIAL		\mathbf{x}^2	p value	\mathbf{x}^2	p value	\mathbf{x}^2	p value
PATHOGENS	Enterobacter growth	.043	.836	15.05	<.001**	13.91	<.001**
	Pseudomonas species	8.33	.004*	.307	.579	6.18	.013*
	Methicillin Sensitive Staphylococci aureus (MSSA)	0.64	.800	1.41	.234	2.06	.152
	Methicillin Resistant Staphylococci aureus (MRSA	22.68	<.001**	33.91	<.001**	4.08	.043*
	Acinetobacter	2.02	.155	2.08	.149	6.18	.013*

DISCUSSION

The possibility of transmission of nosocomial pathogens by electronic devices used in the hospitals has been previously reported; some of which were epidemiologically important drug-resistant pathogens.⁸ Almost all the mobile phones sampled in the three groups at our dental school cum hospital were contaminated.

In the present study 27 cellular telephones of the DHCP group were contaminated with CoNS, and 20 telephones were contaminated with spore bearing gram positive bacilli which are non potential pathogens, while 61 telephones had potential pathogens. Use of mobile phones by dental faculty and trainees involved in direct patient care not only demonstrated a high contamination rate with bacteria, but more importantly with nosocomial pathogens (Staphylococcus aureus, Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus citreus).⁹ 30% of nosocomial infections in the ICUs are associated with Acinetobacter spp.¹⁰ A similar study in Israel identified multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii on the hands, cell phones of health care workers, and patients admitted to the ICU.¹¹ The potential pathogens in the IHP and OHP group were seen in 26 and 16 cellular telephones respectively. Personnel with direct contact with the patient and an increased atmospheric bacterial contamination during routine dental activity could be probable factors for the increased bacterial contamination. Negligence on the part of the dental personnel on using cellular telephone while performing a dental procedure.

Nosocomial infections have become a potential threat in health care setups. The hospital environment plays a critical role in the transmission of organisms associated with nosocomial infections. Micro-organisms can be transferred from person to person or from inanimate objects (such as stethoscopes, bronchoscopes, pagers, ballpoint pens, patient hospital charts, computer keyboards, mobile phones and fixed telephones) to hands and vice versa.¹² The cellular telephones being used in close proximity to such surfaces have an increased risk of being cross-contaminated with such organisms.

The distribution of micro organisms between the groups was calculated with significant differences between the groups on comparing MRSA bacteria, highlighting the presence of wide range in distribution of the same. Organisms which were non significant in distribution between the three groups showed the uniformity of its presence, irrespective of the environment they are subjected to.

CONCLUSION

Use of cellular telephone by the Dental care personnel excessively while in hospital can potentially act as "Trojan Horses' or 'carrier' of pathogenic bacteria. The benefit of using cellular telephones in the hospital should be weighed against the risk for unperceived contamination.

REFERENCES

- Karabay O, Kocoglu E, Tahtaci M (2007). The Role of mobile phones in the spread of bacteria associated with nosocomial infections. J. Infect. Dev. Countries 1:72-73.
- Al-Abdalall, A.H.A., 2010. Isolation and identification of microbes associated with mobile phones in Dammam in Eastern Saudi Arabia. J. Farm. Community Med., 17:11-14.
- Ekrakene T, Igeleke CL (2007). Micro-organisms associated with public mobile phones along Benin-sapele Express Way, Benin City, Edo State of Nigeria.J.Appl.Sci.Res.3:2009-12.
- Brady RRW, Wasson A, Stirling I, McAllister C, Damani NN (2006). Is your phone bugged? The incidence of bacteria known to cause nosocomial infection on healthcare workers' mobile phones. J. Hosp. Infect. 62:123-25.
- Schultz M, Gill J, Zubairi S, Huber R, Gordin F. Bacterial contamination of computer keyboards in a teaching hospital. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2003;24:302–3.
- Hendley JO, Wenzel RP, Gwaltney JMJ. Transmission of rhinovirus colds by self-inoculation.N Engl J Med 1997;288:1361–64.
- Bures S, Fishbain JT, Uyehara CF, Parker JM, Berg BW. Computer keyboards and faucet handles as reservoirs of nosocomial pathogens in the intensive care unit. Am J Infect Control 2000;28:465–70
- Ulger F, Esen S, Dilek A, Yanik K, Gunaydin M, Leblebicioglu H. Mobile phones contaminated with nosocomial pathogens? Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 2009;8:7.
- Singh S, Acharya S, Bhat M, Rao SK, Pentapati KC. Mobile phone hygiene: Potential risks posed by use in the clinics of an Indian Dental School. J Dent Edu 2010,74(10)1153-8.
- Jayalakshmi J, Appalaraju B, Usha S. Cellphones as reserveoirs of noso-comial pathogens. J Assoc Physicians India 2008;56:388–9.
- Goldblatt JG, Krief I, Klonsky T, Haller D, Milloul V, Sixsmith DM, et al. Use of cellular telephones and transmission of pathogens bymedical staff in New York and Israel. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol2007;28:500–3.