PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH Volume-8 Issue-5 May-2019 PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 199			
ARIPEN PR	ORIGINAL RESEARCH PA ANAGEMENT OF SUBTROCH MUR WITH PROXIMAL FEMO COSPECTIVE STUDY	PER IANTERIC FRACTURE ORAL NAIL (PFN)-A	Orthopaedics KEY WORDS: Seinsheimer classification; Proximal Femoral Nail
Dr. Arjun Singh Rathore	Junior Resident Departmen Hospital, Jaipur	t of Orthopaedics Mahat	ama Gandhi Medical College &
Dr. S. P. Gupta*	Professor & Head Departme & Hospital, Jaipur *Correspo	ent of Orthopaedics Mah onding Author	atama Gandhi Medical College
INTRODUCTION : S conducted to asses subtrochanteric femo MATERIALS AND M PFN at a tertiary care of RESULTS : The mean of CONCLUSION : The good pre operative p importantly a skilled a	ubtrochanteric fractures of the femur a s the utility and effectiveness of Pr oral fractures. IETHODS : Prospective study of 30 pat centre from April, 2016 to March, 2017 age of the patients is 50.17 years with m successful outcome depends upon pro planning, accurate instrumentation, go and experienced orthopaedic surgeon.	are common injuries in adults a oximal Femoral Nail (PFN) a ients with subtrochanteric frac naximum of 74 years and minim per patient selection, good un bod image intensifier, perfectl	Ind old age population. The study was s treatment modality of choice for ctures of femur who were treated with hum of 21 years. derstanding of fracture biomechanics, y performed osteosynthesis and most
INTRODUCTION Subtrochanteric fractures o adults and constitute a orthopaedic wards.	f the femur are commoninjuries in vast majority of admissions in	 Exclusion Criteria Pediatric injuries. Grossly compound fraction 	tures.
 Pistorically these fractures were treated with broadly two modalities of internal fixation i.e. sliding compression hip screw with side plate assembly and intra medullary fixation devices. Proximal Femoral Nail was introduced in 1997 by AO-ASIF have shown better results in the management of these fractures because of its improved well proven design, optimal stability, additional anchoring, dynamic locking, option for secondary dynamic locking, ease of insertion and prevention of later fracture. This prospective study is conducted to assess the utility and effectiveness of Proximal Femoral Nail, as the treatment modality of choice for Subtrochanteric femoral fractures. 		 Pre Operatively Nail diameter was der diameter of the femur ray and neck shaft ang an AP X-ray using gonid Length of nail, long of personality. Patients were assesse weeks, 3 months and depending upon the fra These findings were of that was developed. Healing was judged b site) and radiological (k trabeculations across t outcome was assessed 	termined preoperatively by measuring at the level of isthmus on an AP view x- le was measured on unaffected side on ometer. or short depending upon the fracture d clinically and radiologically after 6 I then between 6 months and 1 year acture union. locumented according to the protocol y clinical (pain and motion at fracture oridging callus filling the fracture site or he fracture site) criteria and functional according to KYLE's CRITERIA.
 AIMS & OBJECTIVES To study the various fregion of femur. To study the managen femur with proximal fem To study operative diprocedure. To determine correct indinail in subtrochanteric fe To evaluate the results in ultimate functional outcomedure. To assess post operative of hip range of motion ar MATERIALS AND METHOD This prospective study consist femur fracture, who were ad Department of Mahatma G Sitapura Jaipur by Proxima 	racture patterns of subtrochanteric nent of subtrochanteric fracture of oral nail. fficulties encountered during the dications for use of proximal femoral moral fractures. terms of clinic radiological union and ome. rehabilitation of the patient in terms of walking ability. PS ts of thirty patients of subtrochanteric mitted and treated in the orthopaedic andhi Medical College and Hospital, I Femoral Nail from April, 2016 to	 RESULTS All patients operated vand none was lost to counseling. All the cases included underwent surgery as e The mean age of the p 74 years and minimum There were 21 male par 18 patients had simple had fall from height as: 19 patients had fractur According to Seinsheil were type IIA, two wer IIIA, three were type IIE All the patients were of days after admission. Twelve patients were i with long PFN. The average blood loss was 76 minutes. 	were followed up till eventual recovery of follow up due to the pre operative in our study were fresh fractures who early as possible in our set up. atients is 50.17 years with maximum of of 21 years. tients and 9 female patients. e fall, 9 had road traffic accident and 3 the mechanism of injury. e on right side and 11 on left side. mer classification one was type I, four re type IIB, six were IIC, eight were type 3 and three each were type IV and V. perated on an average interval of 10.54 mplanted with short PFN and eighteen is was 120 ml and mean operative time

This was done to study the epidemiology of subtrochanteric fractures and to testify the anatomical and functional outcomes of treatment with proximal femoral nail.

Inclusion Criteria

- All patients with subtrochanteric femur fracture.
- Age groups from 18 years and above. •

- closed means in 27 cases and open reduction was performed in only 3 patients.
- Intra operatively one patient had failure to put derotation . screw, one had failure to put distal locking screw and two had varus angulation.
- In our study, we had no early postoperative complications. Percentage of late postoperative complications was 20% yet • they affected only 10% (3) of patients.

www.worldwidejournals.com

61

PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

- 2 patients had delayed union along with restriction of hip range of motion which achieved radiological union after dynamization and one patient had non-union with shortening of more than 2 cm.
- The patient with non-union had to be re-operated and revision bipolar hemiarthroplasty was done after implant removal.
- The average radiological fracture union was 12.34 weeks and the mean duration of hospital stay was 26 days.
- Functional outcome according to Kyle's Criteria which shows excellent results in 90% of patients (27), good result in 3.34% (1), fair outcome in 3.34% (1) and poor result in 3.34% (1) patient.
- The patients with excellent functional outcome achieved independent mobility status with no pain or limp.
- Patients with good and fair functional outcomes had mild pain and used support to walk where as the patient with poor outcome had non-union and shortening which was revised to cemented bipolar hemiarthroplasty after implant removal.

CONCLUSION

- From this, we conclude that Proximal Femoral Nail is an excellent implant for the treatment of subtrochanteric fractures of femur.
- Use of proximal femoral nail in these fractures provides various advantages as closed reduction, preservation of fracture hematoma, minimal tissue damage, reduced moment arm and biomechanically superior stabilization as compared to extramedullary devices.
- The successful outcome depends upon proper patient selection, good understanding of fracture biomechanics, good pre operative planning, accurate instrumentation, good image intensifier, perfectly performed osteosynthesis and most importantly a skilled and experienced orthopaedic surgeon.



Photo : Image intensifier.



Photo : Patient positioning on fracture table.



Photo : Proximal Femoral Nailing System (implant set)



Pre Op X-Ray.



Post Op X-Ray.



Post Op X-Ray at 4 months.

REFERENCES:

- LaVelle DG. Fractures and dislocations of Hip. In: Canale S, editor. Campbell's Oper. Orthop. 11th ed. Elsevier Mosby; 2008. p. 3262–90.
 A, Bedi, Toan Le T. Subtrochanteric femur fractures. Orthop. Clin. North Am.
- A, Bedi, Toan Le T. Subtrochanteric femur fractures. Orthop. Clin. North Am. 2004;35(4):473–83.
- Boldin CS, Fankhauser F, Peicha F, Grechenig G, Rudolf. The proximal femoral nail (PFN)-a minimal invasive treatmne tof unstable proximal femoral fractures:a prospective study of 55 patients with a follow-up of 15months. Acta Orthop. Scand. 2003;74(1):53–8.
- M.J. Parkar, B.K. Dutta, C. Shivaji et al. Subtrochanteric fracture of the femur injury 28 (1997) pp 91-95.
- RF Kyle, ME Cabanela, TA Russell et al. Fractures of the proximal part of the femur. Instrcourslect,44(1995) pp 227-253.
- P Mereddy, S Kamath, M Ramakrishnan, et al. The AO/ASIF proximal femoral nail antirotation (PFNA) a new design for treatment of unstable proximal femoral fractures.