PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume-8 | Issue-11 | November - 2019 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

ROLE OF CT IN EVALUATION OF PEADIATRIC ABDOMINAL TUMOURS

KEY WORDS: Pediatric Abdominal Tumors, Multidetector Computed Tomography

Radiodiagnosis

Dr. Priyank Jain	Resident, Dept Of Radiology, MGM Medical College, Kamothe, Navi Mumbai-410209.
Dr. Ashutosh	Professor, Dept Of Radiology, Dept Of Radiology, MGM Medical College,
Chitnis*	Kamothe ,Navi Mumbai-410209. *Corresponding Author

Aim: To assess the role of computed tomography in the evaluation of pediatric abdominal tumors.

METHODS AND METHODS: CT evaluation of 40 patients in the age group 0 to 18 years with suspected abdominal mass was done. The lesions were evaluated in terms of location, organ of origin, CT characters like attenuation, enhancement, necrosis, cystic changes, calcifications and extensions. Based on the age of the patient and the CT characters, provisional and differential diagnoses were given.

ABSTRACT **RESULTS:** Majority of patients in our study belonged to infantile age group (<1 year).Neuroblastomas were the most common tumor in our study followed by Wilms' tumor. Malignant tumors outnumbered benign tumors.

CONCLUSIONS: Computed tomography is a very sensitive imaging modality. It is fast, reliable, significantly accurate method for localizing and characterizing various tumors arising from pediatric abdomen. It helps in narrowing differentials and arriving at a final diagnosis in most cases.

INTRODUCTION:

nal

A broad spectrum of tumors can involve the pediatric abdomen originating from various organs and can be benign or malignant. The diagnosis is suggested mainly by the patient age and imaging appearance of the lesion, including its location, shape and internal architecture. Various tumors are common in certain age groups- neonatal, infantile, early childhood and adolescence. Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) with its multiplanar reconstruction is an excellent imaging modality to locate and characterize these lesions. It helps to develop a differential diagnosis, based on CT characteristics and patient age.

OBJECTIVES:

To assess the role of CT in the evaluation of pediatric abdominal tumors - in localization and characterization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- 40 patients in the age group 0 to 18 years with clinically diagnosed abdominal mass were referred for computed tomography in our department of Radiodiagnosis at MGM Hospital, kamothe, Navi mumbai.
- The lesions were evaluated in terms of location, organ of origin, CT characters Including attenuation, enhancement, necrosis, cystic changes, calcifications and extensions.
- Based on the age of the patient and the CT characters, provisional and differential diagnoses were given.

DISCUSSION:

- In our study, Majority of patients in our study were below 1 year of age (18) followed by 1-5 years age group (11)
- There was near equal gender distribution with 22 males and 18 females.
- Of the 40 cases, majority (11) were neuroblastomas, predominantly in infants (< 1 year). It was followed by Wilms tumor (8) especially in the early childhood.
- Of the total cases of renal masses, 8 were Wilms tumor, followed by mesoblastic nephromas (2) Of the 8 cases diagnosed with liver masses, 5 were hemangiomas, followed by hepatoblastomas (4). One case of extra adrenal ganglioneuroblastoma was noted. Two cases of ovarian teratoma and 5 cases of sacrococcygeal teratomas were noted. Two cases of splenic hamartoma noted.
- Malignant pathologies were 28 and 12 cases appeared to be benian
- Computed tomography was 100 % sensitive in detecting calcifications.

- CT with multiplanar reformation was an excellent imaging modality with high diagnostic accuracy, for:
- 1. Localizing the lesions, with respect to organ of origin.
- 2. Characterization, in terms of enhancement, identifying necrotic areas and calcifications, for which CT was 100 %sensitive.
- 3. Extensions and mass effect on adjacent structures.



CT of the abdomen with oral and intravenous contrast demonstrates a very large heterogeneous mass arising from the right kidney, and displacing the remaining renal parenchyma anteromedially. The mass contains mixed solid and cystic areas only only marginally enhances.

On these images there is no convincing evidence of spread into adjacent structures. There is no convincing involvement of the renal vein or IVC. No convincing nodal or distant metastatic disease in the portions imaged.



There is a heavily calcified well-defined oval-shaped soft tissue mass in left suprarenal area displacing the left kidney downward. There are enlarged regional retroperitoneal lymph nodes. No evidence of intraspinal extension. It is partially encasing the aorta and the renal vessels. There is rounded hypodensity in segment four of the liver raising the possibility of liver metastasis. The cuts on the lower chest showed multiple heavily calcified pleural based and

www.worldwidejournals.com

49

PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume-8 | Issue-11 | November - 2019 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

pulmonary nodules/metastasis. As well there are anterior mediastinal calcified masses. The spleen, pancreas appear unremarkable. Apart from the adjacent mass effect. No biliary dilatation No ascites.

Stage IV disease with heavily calcified left suprarenal tumour, multiple pulmonary and pleural-based metastases. On top of differential diagnosis is neuroblastoma.

AGE	MALE	FEMALE		TOTAL
< 1 YR	10	8		18
1-5 YRS	7	4		11
5-10YRS	3	2		5
10-18YRS	2	4		6
	22	18		40
PATHOLOGY			BENIGN	MALIGNANT
WILMS TUMOUR				8
MESOBLASTIC NEPHROMA			2	
NEUROBLASTOMA				11
EXTRA ADRENAL			1	
GANGLIONEU	ROMA			
HEPATOBLASTOMA				4
HEMANGIOMA			5	
OVARIAN TERATOMA			2	
SPLENIC HAMARTOMA			2	
SACRO-COCCYGEAL				5
TEARATOMA				
TOTAL			12	28

ADVANTAGES:

Computed tomography is relatively fast technique and in most cases do not require use of sedation or anesthesia.

LIMITATIONS:

Computed tomography involves use of ionizing radiation, which is especially hazardous in the pediatric life, leading to development of biological effects including carcinogenesis in later age group. However this risk is significantly reduced by using appropriate factors and safety procedures.

CONCLUSIONS:

The pediatric patient with an abdominal mass needs rapid clinical evaluation and appropriate management, which is surgical in majority of cases. Age and imaging appearances provide valuable information for developing differential diagnosis. Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) is a very sensitive imaging modality with high diagnostic accuracy. It is fast, reliable, significantly accurate method for localizing and characterizing various tumors arising from pediatric abdomen. It helps in narrowing differentials and arriving at a final diagnosis in most cases. It also provides significant information for operative management.

REFERENCES:

- Rahhal et al. A Child with an Abdominal Mass. Pediatric Rounds: 37 42.
 Golden CB, Feusner JH. Malignant abdominal masses in children: quick guide
- to evaluation and diagnosis. Pediatr Clin North Am 2002;49:1369–92, viii. 3. Chandler JC, Gauderer MW. The neonate with an abdominal mass. Pediatr
- Clin North Am 2004;51:979–97,ix.
 Lonergan G F et al. Neuroblastoma, Ganglioneuroblastoma and Ganglioneuroma: Radiologic- Pathologic Correlation. Radio Graphics 2002; 22:911–934.
- Lowe L H et al. Pediatric Renal Masses: Wilms Tumor and Beyond. Radio Graphics 2000;20:1585–1603.
- Dong Quotient, Chen J. (2011). CT Scan of Pediatric Liver Tumors, CT Scanning - Techniques and Applications, Dr. Karupppasamy Subburaj (Ed.), ISBN:978-953-307-943-1.
- Hirons MP, Owens CM. Radiology of neuroblastoma in children. Eur Radiol 2001;11:2071-81.
- Fernbach SK, Feinstein KA, Donaldson JS, et al. Nephroblastomatosis: Comparison of CT with US and urography. Radiology 1988; 166:153.
- Remain TAH, Siegel MJ, Shackeyord GD: Wilms' tumor in children: Abdominal CT and US evaluation. Radiology 1986; 160:501-05.
- Chung EM et al. Pediatric Liver Masses: Radiologic-Pathologic Correlation Part 2. Malignant Tumors. RadioGraphics 2011;31:483–507.
- AbramsonSJ, Lack EE, Teele RL. Benign vascular tumors of the liver in infants: Sonogrphic appearance. AJR 1982; 138:629-32.
- Chung EM et al. Pediatric Liver Masses: Radiologic-Pathologic Correlation Part 1. Benign Tumors. RadioGraphics 2010; 30:801–826.
- Smith WL, Franken EA, Mitros FA. Liver tumors in children. Semin Roentgenol 1983; 18:136-48.

- 14. Wells RG, Sty JR. Imaging of sacrococcygeal germ cell tumors. RadioGraphics 1990; 10:701-713.
- Leonidas JC, Carter BL, Leape LL, Ramenofsky ML, Schwartz AM. Computed tomography in diagnosis of abdominal masses in infancy and childhood. Comparison with excretory urography. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 1978, 53, 120-125.