PARIPE	PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH Volume - 9 Issue - 12 December - 2020 PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 DOI : 10.36106/paripex							
20	urnal or p OR	IGINAL RESEARCH	PAPER	General Surgery				
Indian	OPE PNE	OSPECTIVE COMPARAT N VERSUS CLOSED METI UMOPERITONEUM IN L GERY	HOD OF CREATING	KEY WORDS: laparoscopy, trocar, open method, veress needle, pneumoperitoneum				
Dr Akshar Patel*		Third Year Resident, Department of General Surgery, GCS Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Ahmedabad, Gujarat. *Corresponding Author						
Dr Shashank Desai		Professor And Head, Department of General Surgery, GCS Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Ahmedabad, Gujarat.						
ABSTRACT	 Objective: The aim of the study was to compare open and closed method in terms of time require for creation of pneumoperitoneum and to ascertain safety in laparoscopic surgery. Methodology: This was a prospective comparative study carried out at a tertiary care hospital from January 2019 December 2019. We selected 100 patients who were planned for laparoscopic surgery and divided them into two equiproups using the envelop method of randomization. Group A comprised of patients in whom we created pneumoperitoneum by classical veress needle insertion and in Group B by open method. Results: In our study, the mean time require for closed method was 6.92 minutes while by open method it was 4.3 minutes. Complication rate was 18% in closed and 16% in open method. Conclusion: open method is quick but comparable to closed method in terms of complications. 							
Lapar surge techr surge proce First pneur inser	eon to access the peritor niques. Laparoscopy h ery and now it is used edures. and critical step of this moperitoneum with CO	argical procedure that allows a neal cavity by minimal invasive as reduced the trauma from routinely for many operative procedure consist of creating 2 insufflation. Further ports are ents access and their use for	trocar insertion. • Group B – open metho Detailed history was reac clinical examination was recorded in the proformat preparation of local p catheterisation was c	veress needle insertion followed by od for trocar insertion. corded from patients and thorough as performed. The findings were a. After consent for the operation and parts, antibiotic prophylaxis and arried out. The procedure was l anaesthesia in sterile conditions.				
There are five basic method to create pneumoperitoneum- (1) Blind veress needle insertion (2) Open method			of which emerge a blunt	nsertion Method: Ig loaded needle with sharp end, out tip. The patient is position with head ree to displace the intestine cranially.				

- (2) Open method
- (3) Direct trocar insertion
- (4) Modified open method
- (5) Optical trocar insertion.

Approximately 50% of the minor operative complications occur during creation of pneumoperitoneum. Patients with low body mass index and prior abdominal surgery have chances of complications.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES:

1. To compare time required for creation of pneumoperitoneum.

2. To compare safety in terms of intraoperative minor and major complications have occurred in these procedures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

The present study is a comparative prospective study carried out with 100 patients at the Department of Surgery at GCS Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Ahmedabad from January 2019 to December 2019.

Inclusion Criteria:

1. Patients who underwent elective laparoscopic appendicectomy and cholecystectomy between the age of 18 years to 75 years.

Exclusion Criteria:

- 1. Patient not fit for general anaesthesia.
- 2. Previous abdominal surgery.
- 3. Mechanical bowel obstruction.
- 4. Liver cirrhosis or Portal hypertension.
- 5. Pregnancy

The selected patients were randomly divided into two groupsand by lifting the lower abdomen.

Depending upon the shape of umbilicus, either a transverse

or vertical stab is made with a number of 15 or 11 knife. The

shaft of the needle should be held by right hand, keeping the distal length adequate to traverse the entire thickness of the

abdominal wall. While inserting the needle, the little finger

and ulnar border of the right palm is propped against the

abdomen. The abdominal wall is lifted midway between the

pubic symphysis and umbilicus by the left hand. The needle is inserted either at a 45 degree caudal angle (in asthenic or

minimally obese patient) or perpendicular (in markedly

obese patient). As the needle enters the peritoneal cavity, a

distinct click can often be heard. Confirmation of entry into

peritoneal cavity done by Hiss test, saline aspiration test and

drop test etc. After that gradual CO2 insufflation done and needle is stabilized to minimize side to side movements. Once

adequate insufflations done veress needle is removed and

pyramid faceted trocar inserted after the extension of incision

Fig1-Veress Needle

www.worldwidejournals.com



Fig 2 - Insertion Technique In Closed Method

Open Method For Trocar Insertion:

Intraumbilical incision (1-3 cm) is made and the subcutaneous tissue is bluntly dissected and retracted by curved retractors on either side. Two clamps are used to lift the linea alba. A horizontal or vertical defect of about 1.5 cm is made. The peritoneal fat is bluntly dissected till the peritoneum is identified and it is held with a haemostat and incised. Two absorbable sutures are placed on either sides of the fascial defect. The Hasson's cannula with its blunt obturator is advanced into peritoneal cavity until olive abuts the fascia. The obturator is removed and sutures are firmly attached to create a seal with the fascia. The laparoscope is then introduced for surgery.

The abdominal cavity was thoroughly inspected for complications before the intended procedure and complications were divided into minor (bruise, localized emphysema, hematoma, omental injury, bowel serosa injury, leakage of gas) and major (emphysema up to neck, bowel or bladder perforation, major vascular injury) complications depending upon the nature and severity of injuries.

All patients were given appropriate antibiotics, analgesics and iv fluids. They were kept nil by mouth till bowel sounds were heard. Regular dressing was done and sutures were removed on the 10th post operative day



Fig 3- Incision Through The Fascia And Peritoneum. (Open method)





OBSERVATION AND RESULTS

Table-1: Time Taken for Primary Trocar Insertion					
Time taken for primary	Closed method	Open method			
trocar insertion	(n=50) Group A	(n=50) Group B			
3 minutes	-	8(16%)			
4 minutes	-	18(36%)			
5 minutes	2(4%)	22(44%)			
6 minutes	12(24%)	2(4%)			
7 minutes	24(48%)	-			
8 minutes	12(24%)	-			
Total	50	50			

Table 2: Complication At Acess

Complication at access	Closed method	Open method
Minor complications		
Bruise	3	2
Localised emphysema	1	1
Hematoma	3	2
Omental injury	1	-
Bowel serosa injury	-	-
Leakage of gas	1	3
Major complications		
Emphysema up to neck	-	-
Bowel or bladder injury	-	-
Major vascular injury	-	-
Total	9	8

All the 100 patients that participated in this study belonged to the age group of 18 to 75 years were divided in two equal groups. Group A (n=50) underwent closed method and Group B (n=50) underwent open method. Maximum time taken for the primary trocar insertion was 8 minutes and minimum time was 3 minutes in both procedures. In group A the procedure was completed in 7 minutes for 75% of the patients where in group B the procedure was completed in 5 minutes for 95% of the patients. The average time taken for group A was 6.92 minutes while for group B it was 4.36 minutes. This result shows open method is fast and less time consuming compared to closed method. Intraoperative complications during the creation of pneumoperitoneum were 9 in group A and 8 in group B. No major complications were reported in the study. In closed technique 3 cases of bruise and 3 cases of hematoma were reported while in group B 2 cases of bruise and 3 cases of leakage of gas were reported. Complication rate for minor injury for group A was 18% and 16% for group B.

DISCUSSION

Among the various techniques for achieving a pneumoperitoneum and introducing first trocar, two common methods are usually performed. Closed method requires veress needle, which is inserted into the abdominal cavity for CO2 insufflation followed by blind introduction of first trocar. On the other hand open technique begins with a small incision at the umbilical site and all layers of the abdominal wall are incised and then blunt trocar is inserted under direct vision followed by gas insufflations. More than 50% of minor complications arising from a laparoscopic procedure occur before the commencement of the actual operation ie. during the creation of pneumoperitoneum. Veress needle technique take more time because of the routine use of confirmation of entry tests like saline drop test and initial intra- peritoneal pressure test etc. The time taken to complete surgery in both method had no significant difference even after the fact that first trocar insertion was faster in open method. Minor complications were common in both methods out of which bruise and hematoma were common in closed technique and leakage of gas was common in open method. Single case of omental injury was reported in closed technique for which haemostasis was achieved. Localised emphysema was noted in both techniques. No major complications were reported in this study .Complication rate for minor injury was slightly more in closed method compared to open method. The small sample size of this study is its main limitation and a larger

www.worldwidejournals.com

PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume - 9 | Issue - 12 |December - 2020 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

sample size is required to study the parameters more comprehensively. This is a single-centre study and hence these results cannot be generalised. Also, the procedures were performed by multiple surgeons so it is difficult to control the confounding variables.

CONCLUSION

For laparoscopic surgery access to the abdominal cavity is of equal importance to open surgery. Correct port site placement and closure are crucial for the success of the operative procedure. The umbilicus is preferred site for primary trocar insertion. In our study open method is less time consuming but in terms of complication it is comparable to closed method. However an open technique is preferred by most surgeons because it is quick, safe and efficient overall and it does not include blind puncture using veress needle which contain potential for intestinal or vascular injury.

REFERENCES

- International Surgery Journal 2017;4(8):2786-90. Intraperitoneal access by closed method versus open method in laparoscopic surgery to create pneumoperitoneum.
- International journal of contemporary medical research volume 6 issue 7 july2019.Classical closed technique by verres needle insertion versus direct trocar insertion in the creation of pneumoperitoneum in various laparoscopic surgeries.
- IJRCOG 2019; 8:127-130. Verres needle versus direct trocar entry for laparoscopic surgery: a retrospective study.
- 4) JSLS2008; 12: 156-158 Alternative for creation of pneumoperitoneum.
- International surgery journal September 2019 vol 6 issue 9 page 3335-3340. A comparative study of open versus closed method of establishment of pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic surgery.
- 6) Journal of gynaecological endoscopic surgery 2009;1:(1);4-11 Entry complication in laparoscopic surgery.
 7) Journal of minimal access surgery2018; 14:134-9. Outcomes according to
- Journal of minimal access surgery2018; 14:134-9. Outcomes according to body mass index following laparoscopic surgery in patient with colorectal cancer.
- JMEDS 2015;4(15);2432-7. Pneumoperitoneum by direct trocar insertion; safe laparoscopic access.
- 9) Art of laparoscopic surgery . Textbook and Atlas by Dr palanivelu.