# ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

Orthopaedics

# A PROSPECTIVE STUDY ON CLINICAL OUTCOME OF SURGICALLY MANAGED ACETABULUM FRACTURES.

**KEY WORDS:** Acetabulum Fracture, Clinical Outcome, Operative Managed

Dr.Hemanta Kumar Bamidi*	Junior Resident, Department Of Orthopaedics, Hi-Tech Medical College And Hospital, Bhubaneswar, Odisha. *Corresponding Author
Dr.Damodar Panda	Professor, Department Of Orthopaedics, Hi-Tech Medical College And Hospital, Bhubaneswar, Odisha.
Dr.Shahrukh Ahmed	Junior Resident, Department Of Orthopaedics, Hi-Tech Medical College And Hospital, Bhubaneswar, Odisha.
Dr.Kishore Chandra Das	Junior Resident, Department Of Orthopaedics, Hi-Tech Medical College And Hospital, Bhubaneswar, Odisha.
Dr.Siddhartha Shankar Mohanty	Junior Resident, Department Of Orthopaedics, Hi-Tech Medical College And Hospital, Bhubaneswar, Odisha.
Dr.Pramatesh Kashyap Panda	Junior Resident, Department Of Orthopaedics, Hi-Tech Medical College And Hospital, Bhubaneswar, Odisha.

Background: Acetabular fractures are in a rising trend in countries like India due increasing incidence of high-energy trauma like RTA. They play a major role in weight bearing in the lower extremity, so they assume great clinical importance. These fractures have always been a challenge for any orthopaedic surgeon because of the difficulty in achieving anatomical reduction. Conservative treatment of these fractures has been criticized because of its inability to restore joint congruity, thereby causing increased incidence of osteoarthritis. The newer diagnostic tools like the CTscan have helped us to analyze the normal anatomy and plan the surgical management accordingly. Currently, surgical treatment is the treatment of choice as restoration of joint congruity is of paramount importance to reduce the incidence of early hip osteoarthritis.

Aims and Objectives: We aim to evaluate the outcomes of surgically managed acetabular fractures in this prospective

Materials and Methods: :33 patients (30 male & 3 female) admitted to Hi-Tech Medical College & Hospital, Bhubaneswar with acetabular fractures underwent open reduction and internal fixation. All patients were evaluated with Matta et al score with a minimum of follow up of six months.

Results: There were 12(36.4%) patients with bi-columnar fractures, 10(30.3%) had posterior column fractures, 4(12.1%) had posterior wall fractures, 4 (12.1%) had transverse fractures, and one (3.0%) patient had an anterior column fracture. Full weight bearing was attained in 21 (63.6%) patients in 16 weeks and in 12 (36.4%) patients after 16 weeks. 28 (84.9%) patients were free of complications. According to Matta et al score 20 (60.7%) had excellent, 07(21.2%) had good, 5 (15.1%) had fair, and 1(3.0%) had poor results

Conclusions: Open reduction and internal fixation of acetabular fractures is a optimal technique, minimizes recovery time and provides congruent joint reduction. Operative treatment of acetabular fractures results in predictable union and good clinical results with a low rate of complications.

# I.INTRODUCTION

Incidence of acetabulum fractures are growing in countries like India because increased incidence of high-energy trauma like road traffic accidents or falls from a significant height. Unfortunately, these patients along with pelvic fracture also always experience serious injury to surrounding skin and muscles and neurovascular structures. They play a major role in weight bearing in the lower extremity, so they assume great clinical importance. These fractures have always been a challenge for any orthopaedic surgeon because of the difficulty in achieving anatomical reduction.

Conservative management of acetabulum fractures has always been criticized in displaced fractures because of its inability to restore joint congruity leading to early hip osteoarthritis.

Need of present study is to review the effectiveness and complications of the surgical management of acetabular fractures in our institution.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

PLACE OF STUDY: Hi-Tech Medical College And Hospital, Bhubaneswar

PERIOD OF STUDY: November 2018-October 2020

CONSENT: Written informed consent of the patients was obtained

INCLUSION CRITERIA: The patients included in the present study met with the following criteria:

- 1. Age: 18 & above
- 2. Gender:Both
- 3. All closed fractures
- $4. \quad \hbox{All displaced fractures confirmed by X\,ray,} CT\,scan$
- 5. All fracture types based on Letournel and Judet classification involving the anterior column, anterior wall, posterior column, posterior wall except the ones mentioned in the exclusion criteria
- 6. Patients who give informed consent and willing for follow

II.METHODOLOGY

### **EXCLUSION CRITERIA:**

- 1. Age:<18yrs
- 2. Acetabular fracture with femoral head fracture
- 3. Stable non-displaced and minimally displaced fractures
- 4. The intact acetabulum maintains stability and congruity
- a. Low anterior column fractures
- b. Low transverse fractures
- c. Low T-shaped fractures
- Both-column fracture with secondary congruence Wall fracture not compromising hip stability
- 6. Compound fracture
- 7. Associated lower limb fractures of long bones.

## STUDY DESIGN: Prospective study.

After obtaining clearance and approval from the institutional ethical committee and patients fulfilling the predetermined inclusion & exclusion criteria, was included in the study after obtaining informed consent. Minimum of 30 cases suffering from various patterns of acetabular fracture including the anterior or posterior column and anterior or posterior wall and various fracture combinations will be included in the study

Collection of data and evaluation of patients presenting with various patterns of acetabular fractures will be done on following basis.

**History- detailed -** mode of injury, duration, previous treatment received

- Clinical examination both systemic and local with detailed neurovascular examination
- Radiological examination using X ray, CT-Scan and other imaging modalities if necessary.
- Classification of acetabular fractures-Letournel and Judet classification
- Investigations Baseline and others.
- · Diagnosis-Clinical and radiological.
- Surgery-Ilioinguinal approach was used for anterior wall and column fractures. Kocher Langenbeck approach was used for posterior wall and column fractures. Stable fixation of fractures was attained with reconstruction plates, while where possible, compression was achieved with cancellous screws
- Routine antibiotics and analgesics/anti-inflammatory drugs
- Post-Operative evaluation by clinical examination and Xray.
- Assessment of complications. Preoperative, immediate post operative, late post operative.

# **FOLLOW UP**

Clinical follow-up will be done at 4 weeks, 8 weeks, 4months, 6months, 12months intervals regarding healing of fracture, pain, and functional evaluation will be done by clinical grading system by Matta et al.

Radiological follow up by X ray will be done at 2weeks, 4 weeks, 8 weeks, 4months, 6months, 12months intervals in accordance with symptoms.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS:** Descriptive and inferential statistical analysis has been carried out in the present study. Significance is assessed at 5 % level of significance. Chisquare/ Fisher Exact test has been used to find the significance of study parameters on categorical scale between two or more groups.

### III.RESULTS

# Age wise analysis of Matta et al clinical score

Age in		Matta et al clinical score				
years	patients	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	
<20	2	2(100%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	

	•				
21-30	8	6(75%)	0(0%)	2(25%)	0(0%)
31-40	13	9(69.2%)	2(15.4%)	2(15.4%)	0(0%)
41-50	5	1(20%)	3(60%)	0(0%)	1(20%)
>50	5	2(40%)	2(40%)	1(20%)	0(00%)
Total	33	20(60.7%)	7(21.2%)	5(15.1%)	1(3.0%)

P=0.315, not significant, Fisher Exact test

Majority of the patients were in the 21-40 age group, and most of them had Excellent to Good functional outcome.

# Analysis of Matta et al score based on Type of Acetabular fracture

Hacture					
Fracture	No. of				
Classification	Patients	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Bicolumnar	12	8(66.7%)	3(25%)	1(8.3%)	0
Post Columnar	10	8(80%)	2(20%)	0	0
Post Wall	04	4(100%)	0	0	0
Transverse	04	0	1(25%)	2(50%)	1(25%)
Bicolumnar +Transverse	01	0	0	1(100%)	0
Ant. Column	01	0	0	1(100%)	0
Post Wall+Ant. Column	01	0	1(100%)	0	0

P=0.021\*, significant, Fisher Exact test

Out of 33 cases of acetabular fractures operated in this study,12 patients had bicolumnar fracture. In these, 8 patients (66.7%) had EXCELLENT score 3 patients (25%) had GOOD score 1 patients (8.3%) had FAIR score.14 patients had Posterior column and posterior wall fracture. In these, 14 patients (85.7%) had an EXCELLENT score 2 patients (14.3%) had GOOD score.4 patients had a transverse fracture, in these, all 4 patients (100%) had EXCELLENT score. 1 patient had an Isolated Anterior column fracture.

# Distribution of Cases based on Complications

Complications	No. of patients	%
Nil	28	84.9
Sciatic nerve palsy	1	3.0
Urethral injury	1	3.0
Wound infection	3	9.1
Total	33	100.0

In 28 of the total 33 cases, 84.9% of the cases were free of complications. Wound infection occurred in 3 cases (9.1%). Sciatic nerve palsy was seen in 1 cases (3.0%). Urethral injury was seen in 1 case (3.0%)

# IV.DISCUSSION

The management of acetabular fractures is a challenging task. Although anatomical reduction of the articular surface and stable internal fixation has been established as an ideal treatment with good to excellent results, many aspects of the management of these injuries are still controversial <sup>33</sup>. Many others have stated that the clinical results depends directly on the quality of reduction that was achieved by internal fixation. Letournal <sup>40</sup> stated that anatomical reduction of acetabular fractures depends upon the selection of the proper operative exposure. The goal of surgical treatment is to provide the means for good function and excellent painless motion.

This study included 33 skeletally matured patients. They were followed up for 6 months and results were analyzed with respect to the age, sex, mode of injury, type of fracture, time taken for full weight bearing and finally the Clinical outcome of the study was assessed through the clinical grading system by Matta et al.

Our Study included patients in the age groups ranging from 20 to 60 years and the Mean age was 34.25 yrs. Out of 33patients majority of patients in were in the age group of 20-

40 years.Majority of the fractures in our study were Bicolumnar i.e.,24 patients (43.6%), followed by posterior column fractures in 15 patients (27.3%), posterior wall fractures in 10 patients (18.1%), transverse fractures in 5 patients (9.1%) and anterior column fracture in one patient (1.8%).In the present study,Kocher-Langenbeck approach was used in 25 cases (75.7%).ilio-inguinal approach was used in 5 cases (15.2%). Both approaches were used to fix 3 patients with Bicolumnar fracture. Comparison of the various studies is shown in the table below.

Distribution of the Acetabular fractures depending on the SurgicaApproach used:In our present study, there were 3 types of complication encountered – Sciatic Nerve palsy was seen in 1 patients (3.0%) patients (3.0%) (existed pre operatively) urethral injury in one patient (3.0%). Would infection in 3 cases 9.1%. As per Matta et al scoring system, we had excellent Outcome in 20 patients (60.7), Good in 07 patients (21.2%), Fair in 5 patients (15.1%) and Poor in 1 patient (3.0%). The results were on par with other studies. The poor outcome was due to Complications we encountered.

Comparison of Matta et al Score in present study with other studies:

STUDY	MATTA ET AL SCORING SYSTEM			
	EXCELLENT	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Joel Matta et al	37%	47%	14%	2%
Paul D Ruesch et al	51%	30%	Nil	19%
V.A. de Ridder et al	42%	33%	25%	Nil
Keith mayo et al	14%	61%	16%	9%
Present Study	60.7%	21.2%	15.1%	3.0%

#### V. CONCLUSION

Study results indicated that mechanism of injury, age and gender of patient, fracture pattern and quality of reduction had significant effect on functional as well as radiological outcome. With the availability of good imaging facilities, surgeon experience, better instrumentation along with good perioperative care, we believe that the surgical fixation of displaced acetabular fractures would yield better results.

We conclude that the management of fractures of Acetabulum is best achieved by means of Surgical approach. To achieve best results we recommend

- · Proper pre operative evaluation
- Judicious Surgical Planning
- Need for proper instruments and Implants
- Surgical expertise
- Tailored Post operative protocol



DISLOCATION + POST WALL Fx DISLOCATION REDUCED



CT Scan



# POST OP X-RAY

### FOLLOW UP AT 6 MONTHS



### **FLEXION**



#### **CROSS LEGGED SITTING**

### REFERENCES

- Vincenzo Giordano, Ney Pecegueiro do Amaral, Carlos Eduardo Franklin, Alexandre Paliottino, Rodrigo Pires e Albuquerque and Marcos Giordano. Functional Outcome after operative treatment of displaced fractures of the acetabulum: A 12-month to 5-year follow-up investigation. Eur J Trauma EmerSurg 2007;33(5):520-527
- Routt ML Jr, SwiontkowskiMF. Operative treatment of complex acetabular fractures: Combined anterior and posterior exposures during the same procedure. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1990 Jul; 72(6):897-904.
- Lim HH, Tang CL, Krishnamoorthy. Operative treatment of acetabular fractures. SingaporeMedJ.1994 Apr; 35(2): 173-6
   Terry Canale S, James H. Beaty. Fractures of acetabulum and pelvis, Chapter
- Terry Canale S, James H. Beaty. Fractures of acetabulum and pelvis, Chapter 53, In: Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics, llth edition, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Mosby, Elsevier, 2007: Pg. 3309.
   Deo SD, Tavares SP, Pandey RK, El-Saied G, Willett KM, Worlock PH. Operative
- Deo SD, Tavares SP, Pandey KK, El-Saled G, Willett KM, Worlock PH. Operative management of acetabular fractures in Oxford. Injury 2001 Sept; 32(7):581-6.
- Laird A, Keating JF. Acetabular fractures. A 16-year prospective epidemiological study. JBJS 87-B (7):969-973
- PohlemannT.Pelvicinjuries. Assessment and concepts of surgical management. In: AO Principles of fracture, amage, emt, 1st ed. Theime Stuttgart.NewYork 2000:391-413
- Routt MLC, NrkSE, Mills WJ. High energy pelvic injuries. Orthopclin North America; Jan. 2002;33(1):59-72
- Burgess AR, Eastridge BJ, Young JVVR et al. Pelvic injuries: effective classification system and treatment protocols. J. Trauma 1990;30:848-56
- Jones AL, Burgess AR. Fractures of the pelvis In: Rockwood and green's Fracture in adults, 5th ed. Williams & Wilkins 2002: 1469-510
- Matta JM, Dickson KF, MarkovichGd: Surgical treatment of pelvic nonunions&malunions.Clinorthop 1996;329-206
- LetournelErAcetabulum fractures: classification & management, clinical orthopaedics 151:81, 1980. IS.Reilly MC, Zinar DM, Matta JM. Neurological injuries in pelvic ring fractures. Clinorthop 1996;329:28-36
- Copeland CE, Bosse MJ, McCarthy ML, et al. Effect of trauma and pelvic fracture on female genitourinary, sexual and reproductive function. J Orthop Trauma 1997: 1:73-81
- Ceder L, Thorngren KG, Wallden B. Prognostic indicators and early home rehabilitation in elderly patients with hip fractures. ClinOrthopRelat Res. 1980;(152):173-184
- Zuckerman JD, Sakales SR, Fabian DR, Frankel VH. Hip fractures in geria\c patients. Results of an interdisciplinary hospital care program. ClinOrthopRelat Res. 1992;(274):213-225
- Kates SL, Mendelson DA, Friedman SM. The value of an organized fracture program for the elderly: early results. J Orthop Trauma. 2011;25(4):233-237
- Johnson EE, Matta JM, Mast JW, et al: Delayed reconstruction of acetabular fractures 21 to 120 days following injury, clinical orthopaedics 305:20, 1994. Joint Surgery 46-A: 1615, 1964
- Matta JM. Fractures of acetabulum: Accuracy of reduction and clinical results in patients managed operatively within 3 weeks after injury. J Bone joint Surg 1996;78A(11) 1632-44
- 20. Hull JB, Raza SA, Stockley I et al. Surgical Management of fractures of

- acetabulum: the Sheffield experience 1976-1994. 1997;28(1):35-40
- Moed BR, Carr SE, Watson JT. Open reduction and internal fixation of posterior wall fractures of acetabulum. ClinOrthop2000;377:57-67. Rice J, Kaliszer M, Dolan M et al. Comparision between clinical and
- radiological outcome measures after reconstruction of acetabular fractures. J Orthop Trauma 2002;16:82-6
- 23. Ridder, Goldfarb C, Ricci W et al.Functional outcome after isolated acetabular fracture.JOrthopTrauma 2002;16:73-81
- Mears DC, Velyvis JH, Chang CP. Displaced acetabular fractures managed operatively: Indicators of outcome. Clinorthop2003;407:173-86
- Gray's anatomy, 39th Edition; 1421-1460 25.
- Rockwood and Green's fractures in Adults, 7th edition; 1464-1523
- CampbelFs Operative Orthopaedics, 11th Edition: 3309-3334
- $Fractures\ of\ Pelvis\ and\ acetabulum\ by\ G.F. Zinghi, Gianfranco Zinghi; /-274$
- Fractures of Pelvis & acetabulum by Marvin Tile, David.L. Helfet , James 29. F.Kellam 3rd edition; 1-91
- Netter's Concise Atlas of Orthopaedic anatomy, 1st edition; 156-210 Al Hetmi T., Hammouda A., MakkiA. .A Case report on Morel Lavalle 31. Syndrome.Vol.15/No:21NOV2006.
- Deo SD, Tavares SP, Pandey RK, El-Saied G, Willett KM, Worlock PH. Operative management of acetabular fractures in Oxford. Injury 2001 Sep;32(7):581-6
- Vincenzo Giordano, Ney Pecegueiro do Amaral, Carlos Eduardo Franklin, Alexandra Pallottino, Rodrigo Pires e Albuquerque and Marcos Giordano. Functional Outcome after Operative Treatment of Displaced Fractures of the Acetabulum: A 12-month to 5-year Follow up Investigation. European journal of trauma and emergency surgery Volume 33, Number 5, 520-527.

  Kumar A, Shah NA, Kershaw SA, ClaysonAD. Operative treatment of
- 34. acetabular fractures. A review of 73 fractures. Injury. 2005 May;36(5):605-12
- 35. Acetabuar fracture imaging by D.DeanThornton,MD, Chief editor,Felix S Chew (Medscape reference)
- J Mcmaster, J Powell .Acetabular fractures. Current Orthopaedics (2005)
- Volume: 19, Issue: 2, Pages: 140-154
  Steven A. Olson , Joel M.Matta. The Computerized Tomography Subchondral
  Arc : A New Method of assessing Acetabular articular Continuity after 37. Fracture (A Preliminary Report)
- M.Thacker.Post operative management of acetabular fractures.Indian journal of Orthopaedics 2002; volume 36: issue 1:page 29-30
- AO/ASIF Instruments and Implants A Technical Manual by R. Texhamma^ C.
- S Ganorkar, M Thacker, CJ Thacker. Implant selection and placement in acetabular fractures. Indian journal of orthopaedics. 2002. Vol- 36 \ Issue: 1 \ Page:20-21