PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume - 10 | Issue - 10 | October - 2021 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

nalo **ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER** Surgery **EXPERIENCE WITH PHOTOSELECTIVE** KEY WORDS: Benign prostatic hyperplasia, VAPORIZATION OF THE PROSTATE ON MEN Photoselective vaporisation of the TAKING ORAL ANTICOAGULANTS IN OUR prostate (PVP), oral **TERTIARY CARE CENTRE: MANGALORE** anticoagulants. **Dr Pritam** Affiliation: Department of Urology, AJIMS, Mangalore, Karnataka, India. Sharma Affiliation: Department of Urology, AJIMS, Mangalore, Karnataka, India. **Dr U Chowdary** *Corresponding Author **Panchumarthi***

Dr E Muneendra
KumarAffiliation: Department of Urology, AJIMS, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.Dr Yogendra
ChidrawarAffiliation: Department of Urology, AJIMS, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

BACKGROUND: Photoselective vaporization of the prostate (PVP) is now increasingly performed surgical procedure for benign prostatic obstruction. This approach has become particular favoured for men on anticoagulation agents. This study was to examine the perioperative outcomes in men on oral anticoagulant undergoing PVP.

Methods: This study was conducted from Jan 2015 to Dec 2020. A retrospective, multicentre cohort study was used to assess the incidence of morbidity in patients undergoing PVP while on oral anticoagulants therapy, with particular reference to bleeding complications. Data was analysed for patients who had undergone PVP whilst oral anticoagulants were continued perioperatively.

ABSTRACT

RESULTS: There were a total of 44 subjects who had undergone PVP whilst oral anticoagulants had been continued during the perioperative period. The mean age was 71 +/- 7.4 years. The mean prostate volume, energy utilization and vaporisation time was 72 +/- 36 mL, 324 +/- 201 kJ, and 39 +/- 18 min respectively. The mean postoperative duration of catheterization and duration of hospitalization was 2.1 +/- 2.6 days and 2.1 +/- 2.6 days respectively. There were 2 patients suffered of urinary tract infection and 5 subjects required re-catheterisation for non-hematuric retentions. **CONCLUSIONS:** As our population ages and the presence of an increasing number of co-morbid conditions, BPH

patients are frequently using oral anticoagulants therapy. Our study has failed to identify any significant bleedingrelated outcomes. This study supports the safety of men on oral anticoagulants undergoing PVP. Larger scale, prospective trials will be required to further confirm these findings.

INTRODUCTION

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a chronic condition associated with progressive lower urinary symptoms. It affects approximately 75% of men aged >60 years (1). Firstline therapy is medical and involves the use of alpha blockers, 5-alpha-reductase inhibitors, and anticholinergics either singly or in combination (2).

For many patients, however, these medications do not provide adequate symptom relief, and surgical intervention is necessary to relieve bladder outlet obstructions. Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) has long remained the benchmark surgical modality for BPH because it has a high rate of success in improving symptom scores, urinary flow, urinary post-void residue, and the retreatment rate. However, multiple complications have been observed, including perioperative bleeding, blood transfusions, transurethral resection syndrome, prolonged catheterization, long hospital stays, urinary incontinence, and retrograde ejaculation (3).

Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) is the surgical gold-standard for men with BPH (4), however it requires prior cessation of anticoagulant therapy. Several alternative procedures are available including holmium laser enucleation of the prostate, prostatic artery embolization and photoselective vaporisation of the prostate (PVP, also known as Greenlight_laser prostatectomy) (5,6). The objective of this study was to analyse morbidity and early functional outcomes following PVP where oral anticoagulants therapy was continued throughout the perioperative period.

METHODS

This study was conducted from Jan 2015 to Dec 2020. A retrospective, multicentre cohort study was used to assess the

incidence of morbidity in patients undergoing PVP while on oral anticoagulants therapy, with particular reference to bleeding complications. Data was analysed for patients who had undergone PVP whilst oral anticoagulants were continued perioperatively.

Forty-four patients were identified and assessed in this study. Medications unrelated to bleeding risk were not assessed in this paper, and other medications were continued as per the anaesthetic assessment preoperatively. The indications for surgery were at the discretion of surgeons at each participating centre and were consistent with indications as defined in current practise guidelines. Each patient was consented appropriately prior to surgery by the operating team.

Perioperative factors considered in this analysis include comorbid cardiovascular conditions, types of anticoagulation and anti-platelet agents, International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS), prostate volume and American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score. Follow up was taken at 3 months in which IPSS, Qmax, and PVR was seen.

RESULTS

Forty-four patients were identified and assessed in this study. All patients were using following oral anticoagulants as mentioned in table 1.

Table 1: Oral Anticoagulants

Agent	Patients
Aspirin	11
Clopidogrel	13
Warfarin	4
Apixaban	5

PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume - 10 | Issue - 10 |October - 2021 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

	Dabigatran	6
	Rivaroxaban	5

Almost all patients were on anticoagulation for ischaemic heart disease, with one patient being on treatment for pulmonary embolism and one for cardiac valve replacement.

The following factors we studied in all patients except IPSS in which 16 patients (36%) were unable to be assessed preoperatively due to indwelling catheters.

Table 2: Patient Factors

Patient factor	Value
Age (years)	71 +/- 7.4
BMI (kg/m2)	24 +/- 3.4
ASA	2.4
IPSS	19 +/- 7
Prostate volume (mL)	72 +/- 36

Surgical parameters varied considerably given the wide range of prostate size, where the mean energy utilisation was 324 + -201 kJ, mean laser vaporisation time was 39 + -18 minand mean intervention/operative time was 58 + -24 min.

Despite the age and comorbidities, there were few adverse postoperative outcomes or complications reported as mentioned in table 3.

Table 3: Complications

Complications	Patients affected
Re-catheterisation for urinary retention	5 (11%)
Urinary tract infection	2 (4%)
Haematuria requiring transfusion	0

The majority of patients were discharged Day 2 postoperatively with a mean length of stay 2.6 +/- 2.2 days. The mean postoperative duration of catheterization was 2.1 +/- 2.6 days. In follow up after 3 months improvement was seen in all patients with respect to their previous preoperative IPSS, Qmax, and PVR value except 4 patients who lost their follow up.

DISCUSSION

TURP has long been the gold standard surgical treatment for benign prostatic obstruction. During the past two decades, however, PVP has emerged as a safe and efficacious alternative. The advantages of TURP include a shorter duration of postoperative catheterization, a shorter hospital stay, and reduced blood loss, the last of which has made PVP particularly useful in patients taking antiplatelet or anticoagulant medications.

The mean operating time was 58 minutes, 39 of which were direct laser use. The mean duration of indwelling catheter placement was 24 hours. These parameters are comparable with those found in earlier studies (7–9).

We found clinically and statistically significant improvements in all functional parameters (IPSS, Qmax, and PVR) between baseline and the 3-month follow-up, similar to that reported by other researchers (8-10).

Conversion to standard TURP was not required for any patient; this is a low rate as compare to that reported by Bachmann et al. (7) and Ruszat et al. (11). Chung et al. (12) reported a recatheterization rate of 10%, which is almost similar to our study. Bachmann et al. (7) reported a recatheterization rate of 2.7% of their patients which is better than our study.

Conclusion

As our population ages and the presence of an increasing number of co-morbid conditions, BPH patients are frequently using oral anticoagulants therapy. Our study has failed to identify any significant bleeding-related outcomes. This study supports the safety of men on oral anticoagulants undergoing PVP. Larger scale, prospective trials will be required to further confirm these findings.

Acknowledgement: None

Conflict of interest: None

Funding: None

REFERENCES

- Wei JT, Calhoun E and Jacobsen SJ. Urologic diseases in America project: benign prostatic hyperplasia. J Urol 2008; 179:75–80.
- Gravas S, Bach T, Drake M, et al. Treatment of non-neurogenic male LUTS. European Urology Guidelines (EAU), 2017.
- http://uroweb.org/guideline/treatment-of-non-neurogenic-male-luts/ Mebust WK, Holtgrewe HL, Cockett AT, et al. Transurethral prostatectomy:
- Mebust WK, Holtgrewe HL, Cockett AT, et al. Transurethral prostatectomy: immediate and postoperative complications. A cooperative study of 13 participating institutions evaluating 3,885 patients. J Urol 2002; 167: 999–1003.
- Egan KB. The epidemiology of benign prostatic hyperplasia associate with lower urinary tract symptoms: prevalence and incident rates. Urol Clin N Am 2016;43:289e97.
- Nunes RLV, Antunes AA, Constantin DS. Contemporary surgical treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia. Rev Assoc Med Bras 2017;63:711e6.
- Brassetti A, DE Nunzio C, Delongchamps N, Fiori C, Porpiglia F, Tubaro A. Green light vaporization of the prostate: is it an adult technique? Minerva Urol Nefrol 2017;69:109e18.
- Bachmann A, Tubaro A, Barber N, et al. A European multicenter randomized noninferiority trial comparing 180W GreenLight XPS laser vaporisation and transurethral resection of the prostate for the treatment of benign prostatic obstruction: 12-month results of the GOLIATH study. J Urol 2015; 193:570–578.
- Ben-Zvi T, Hueber PA, Liberman D, et al. GreenLight XPS 180W vs HPS 120W laser therapy for benign prostate hyperplasia: a prospective comparative analysis after 200 cases in a single-center study. Urology 2013;81:853–858.
 Hueber PA, Liberman D, Ben-Zvi T, et al. 180W vs 120W Lithium triborate
- Hueber PA, Liberman D, Ben-Zvi T, et al. 180W vs 120W Lithium triborate photoselective vaporization of the prostate for benign prostatic hyperplasia: a global, multicenter comparative analysis of perioperative treatment parameters. Urology 2013;82:1108–1113.
- Bachmann A, Muir G, Collins EJ, et al. 180-W XPS GreenLight laser therapy for benign prostate hyperplasia: early safety, efficacy and perioperative outcome after 201 procedures. Eur Urol 2012;61:600–607.
- Ruszat R, Seitz M, Wyler SF, et al. GreenLight laser vaporization of the prostate: single-center experience and long-term results after 500 procedures. Eur Urol 2008;54:893–901.
- Chung AS, Chabert C, Yap HW, et al. Photoselective vaporization of the prostate using the 180W lithium triborate laser. ANZ J Surg. 2012;82:334–337.