PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume - 11 | Issue - 08 | August - 2022 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

PARIPEN

ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

EFFECT OF IRON DEFICIENCY ANEMIA ON HbA1c IN DIABETIC, PRE-DIABETIC AND NON-DIABETIC PATIENTS- IS THERE A DIFFERENCE??

Clinical Laboratory

KEY WORDS: Diabetic, glycated haemoglobin, iron deficiency, iron profile , pre diabetic, RBC indices

Dr Aruna Chhikara*

ABSTRACT

ManipalTrutest Gurgaon-122001 *Corresponding Author

Background and objectives: HbAlc is routinely used to follow up blood sugar levels and is a useful index of chronic hyperglycaemia. Besides blood sugar, several factors like hemoglobinopathies, nutritional deficiencies etc. affect HbAlclevels.Present study was conducted to study the effect of IDA on HbAlclevels in Indian diabetic, pre-diabetic and non-diabetic adults. **Materials & Methods:** This is a retrospective cross section study consisting of 1657 patients over a period of 3 months. The diabetic group, prediabetic and normal control groups comprised of 251,373 and 1023 patients respectively . **Results:** The mean HbAlc levels were significantly lower in IDA diabetic group as compared to non-IDA diabetic group. In the prediabetic group the HbAlc levels were higher in IDA group as compared to non-IDA group but the difference was not statistically significant. In the normal control group the mean HbAlc levels were significantly higher in IDA group as compared to non-IDA group. HbAlc did not show significant correlation with RBC indices or iron profile parameters. **Interpretation & Conclusion:** HbAlc levels are higher in IDA patients in pre diabetic and normal controls. On contrary HbAlc levels are lower when IDA coexists with diabetes. It is highly advisable to correct the IDA deficiency in all patients before diagnosing diabetes as well as correction of IDA once the diagnosis so that the HbAlc levels are actual depiction of glycaemic control.

INTRODUCTION:

HbAlc is routinely used to follow up blood sugar levels and is a useful index of chronic hyperglycaemia.

Several factors affect HbAlc levels besides blood sugar, including hereditary disorders, acute or chronic blood loss, haemolytic anaemia and nutritional deficiencies.

Nutritional deficiencies are highly prevalent worldwide and in India. Worldwide, IDA is most prevalent type of nutritional anaemia and contributes to 50% of the total anaemia. (1,2) In India, the prevalence of anaemia is 25% in men aged 15-65 years and 57% in females aged 15-65 years. (3)

Various studies have demonstrated that HbAlc levels are increased in IDA while few other studies not found any significant correlation (4-7). Thus the results are conflicting; also only limited studies are available from India where the nutritional deficiencies are highly prevalent.

Hence the present study was conducted to analyze the effect of IDA on HbAlc levels in diabetic, pre-diabetic and nondiabetic adults.

MATERIALS AND METHOD:

This is a retrospective cross section study and all patients enrolling for blood tests over a period of 3 months were included in the study. The results of HbAlc, CBC and iron profile were analysed.

Groups were divided into normal, pre diabetic and nondiabetic on basis of history and HbAlc levels following ADA guidelines (8).

Anaemia was defined as Hb < 13 g/dl in males and <12 g/dl in females. All the three groups were further divided into IDA and non-IDA and males and females.

Inclusion Criteria:

All cases enrolled for routine blood examination in age group 18-65 years.

Exclusion Criteria:

Known cases of hemoglobinopathy, chronic liver disease, pregnancy and lactation, malignancies, trauma and anaemia caused by cases other than iron deficiency or any chronic /acute illness and patients not undergoing these tests.

Statistical Analysis:

Data was compiled in Microsoft excel and analysed using SPSS version 22.

RESULTS:

A total of 1657 patients were included in the study and divided into three groups based on HbAlc level. The diabetic group comprised of 251 patients, the pre diabetic group comprised of 373 patients and 1023 patients formed the normal control group. The groups were further divided into IDA and non-IDA subgroups. Table 1 shows the demographic details.

Table 1: Demographic Details Of Study Population

	Normal	group	Pre Dial group	betic	Diabetic group		
	IDA	Non IDA	IDA	Non IDA	IDA	Non IDA	
Total number	392	631	109	264	71	180	
Male	62	373	28	163	34	113	
Female	330	258	81	101	37	67	
Mean Age	36.12 ±	33.77 ±	47.63 ±	46.05	56.23	51.15	
(yrs)	10.73	9.95	14.38	±	±	±	
				13.14	13.19	12.46	
Male Mean	38.61 ±	36.42 ±	52.11 ±	43.87	58.44	48.03	
age (years)	14.3	9.33	15.88	±	±	±	
				11.64	13.33	11.63	
Female Mean	35.65 ±	34.84 ±	46.09 ±	49.57	54.19	56.42	
Age (years)	9.88	10.74	13.59	±	±	±	
				14.64	12.91	12.11	

Diabetic Group:

A total of 251 patients were included in the diabetic group. 71 patients fomed IDA subgroup and 180 were in non-IDA subgroup. Table 2 shows the mean and p values of the various parameters analysed.

Table 2: Mean Values Of Various Parameters In Diabetic Groups

Param	IDA	Non	р	IDA	Non-	р	IDA	Non-	р
eters	diab	IDA	val	diab	IDA	valu	diabe	IDA	value
	etic	diab	ue	etic	diab	е	tic	diabet	
	gro	etic		male	etic		fema	ic	
	up	grou		subg	male		le	female	
		p		roup	subg		subgr	subgr	
					roup		oup	oup	

www.worldwidejournals.com

138

ARIPEX		-																	_
HbAl							7.48±		0.007						6.02±	-		6.03	0.6
c (%)	±	±	29	±	1.72	6	1.15	1.86		(%)	±	±	94	0.22	0.21	17	0.21	±	
	-	1.77		2.26							0.21	0.21						0.21	
Avera	180.		0.0					194.17	0.007	Avera	126.	126.	0.2	127 6	126.15	0.2	126.63	126.2	0.6
ge		96±	29	9±	3±	6	8±	±		ge	09±	18±	94	5±	±	17	±	4±	0.0
blood				64.74	49.5		33.03	53.35		blood	6.16	5.9	Ŭ 1		_ 5.91		6.15	5.9	
gluco	2	4								glucos									
se (mg/d										e(mg/									
(ilig/u l)										dl)									
Hb(11.0	13.9	<0	1151	14.45	<0	10.57	12.98±		Hb (11.0	13.0	<0.	11.95	14.59	<0.	10.78±	12.78	<0
g/dl)	2±	±	001		±		±	0.68		g/dl)	8±	9±	001		±		1.21	±	01
g,)		1.13	001	_	- 0.98	001	1.1	0.00		5,	1.27	1.15		1.06	0.8			0.67	
					0.00				<0.0	MCH	24.4	27.7	<0.	25.51	28.1±	<0.	24.06±	27.15	<0
									01	(pg)	3±	4±	001		2.14		3.58	±	01
MCH	24.3	27.5	<0.	25.67	27.91	<0.	23.21	26.86±	< 0.0		3.52	2.07		3.16				1.83	
(pg)	9±	2±	001	±	±	001	±	2.11	01	MCV	77.9	85.9	<0.	80.7±	86.59	<0.	77.04±	84.96	<0
u <i>3</i> ,	4.35	2.14		5.04	2.08		3.24			(fl)	8±	6±		8.24	±		9.26	±	01
MCV	77.8	84.8	<0.	80.52	85.71	<0.	75.32	83.6±	<0.0		9.11	5.62		-	5.81			5.17	
(fl)	1±	9±	001		±	001	±	5.67	01	MCHC	31.2	32.2	<0.	31.56	32.43	<0.	31.12±	31.96	<0
	11.1	5.59		13.02	5.04		8.32			(g/dl)		5±	001	±	±		1.25	±	01
	6									(9)	1.22	0.84		1.1	0.85			0.75	
MCH	31.2	32.4	<0.	31.73	32.55	<0.	30.73	32.15±	<0.0	Turner	56.9	101.	-0	82.43	07.00	0.0	48.1±	107.4	-0
С(1±	±	001	_	±	001	±	0.81	01	Iron (µg/dl		$101.23\pm$	<0. 001		91.39 ±	0.0 13	48.1± 21.48	101.4 2±	<0 01
g/dl)	1.26	0.93		1.17	0.97		1.16			(hð\ar	29.0 27		27.0 3	⊥ 33.18		13	21.48	26.13	-
Iron	51.4	95.6	<0.	56.73	96.62	<0.	46.6±	98.42±	0.047	l)		9		55.10	20.04				
(µg/dl	5±	6±	001	1	±	001	24.83	29.12			-	Ŭ							
)	25.9	28.2		26.37	27.84					TIBC	393.	307.	<0.		303.13		384.94		
		6								(µg/dl		45±	001		±	001		3±	01
						-)	44.5	55.8		50.42	57.14		41.65	53.25	
TIBC			<0.		303.9	<0.	353.9	302.58			9	5							
(µg/dl			001		3±	001	±	±	01	UIBC	336.	206.	<0.	325.2	205.79	<0.	340.84	207.1	<0
)	72.1 6	61.6 8		84.64	63.56		59.34	58.85		(µg/dl	83±	3±	001		±	001		3±	01
	<u> </u>	-)	29.4	37.4		57.82	39.58		45.7	33.9	
UIBC			<0.		207.3			204.29	<0.0		9	5		±					
(µg/dl			001		3±	001	8±	±	01	Transf	14.5	32.6	<0.	20.44	31.87	<0.	12.49±	33.86	<0
)	67.6 1	47.8 3		19.19	52.98		55.24	37.88		errin	3±	3±	001	±	±		5.75	±	01
m	-	-	-0	10.00	01.00	-0	10.00	00.15		(%)	7.34			_	5.62			4.46	
Transf errin	14.5 7±	31.8 6±	<0. 001		31.86 ±		13.28 ±	32.15± 5.19	<0.0 01	Ľ									
errin (%)	1± 6.46		1001	± 6.86	± 6	001	± 6.48	5.19	01	The me	an Hb	, MCV	MCI	Hand	ACHC o	of IDA	A and no	n-IDA	we
(70)	0.40	5.1		0.00	0		0.40			ai amifi a		1	in T			~~~	pared	** ***	

The mean CBC indices were significantly lower in IDA group as compared to non-IDA group overall as well in males and females. (Table 2). Though HbA1c values were lower in IDA group as compared to non IDA group, the difference was statistically significant only for females. The mean Iron, and transferrin saturation of IDA and non-IDA were significantly lower and UIBC and TIBC were significantly higher in IDA group as compared to non-IDA group, overall as well in males and females. (Table 2).

HbAlc values did not significantly correlate with RBC indices or iron profile.

Pre-diabetic Group:

A total of 373 patients were included in the pre-diabetic group, comprising of 109 IDA and 264 non-IDA patients. Table 3 shows the mean and p values of the various parameters.

Table 3: Mean Values Of Various Parameters In Pre-Diabetic Groups

Para	IDA	Non	р	IDA	Non-	р	IDA	Non-	р
mete	pre-	IDA	valu	pre-	IDA	valu	pre-	IDA	valu
rs	diabe	pre-	е	diab	pre-	е	diabet	pre-	е
	tic	diab		etic	diabe		ic	diabet	
	grou	etic		male	tic		femal	ic	
	р	grou			male		е	female	
		р		roup	subgr		subgr	subgro	
					oup		oup	up	

www.worldwidejournals.com

Table 4: Mean Values Of Various Parameters In Normal Control Groups

group overall as well as in male and female subgroups. The HbAlc levels were higher in IDA group as compared to non-

IDA group overall and in males and females, but the

difference was not statistically significant. The iron profile

paramewters showed a significant difference in both males

and females. Though HbAlc was higher in IDA group as

comopared to non IDA group, the difference was not

HbAlc did not significantly correlate with RBC indices or iron

A total of 1023 patients were included in the normal control

group, including 392 IDA and 631 non IDA patients. Table 4

shows the mean and p values of the various parameters in this

statistically significance.

Normal Control Group:

profile in this group.

aroup.

Param	IDA	Non	р	IDA	Non-	р	IDA	Non-	р
eters	grou	IDA	val	male	IDA	valu	fem	IDA	valu
	р	gro	ue	subgr	male	е	ale	female	е
		up		oup	subg		sub	subgro	
					roup		gro	up	
							up		
HbAl	5.35±	5.34	<0.	5.38±	5.36	0.67	5.35	5.3±	0.041
c (%)	0.26	±	001	0.23	±	6	±	0.27	
		0.26			0.26		0.27		

P	ARIPEX	- INDI	AN JO	URNA	LOFR	ESEAF	RCH	Volur	ne - 11	Issue -	0
	ge	106. 97± 7.52	106. 49± 7.55	<0.0 01	107.5 7± 6.67	107. 15± 7.38	0.67 6	85±	105.55 ± 7.71	0.041	
	-	10.8 7± 1.44	14.0 8± 1.26	<0.0 01	11.95 ± 1.48	14.8 5± 0.92	<0.0 01	10.6 7± 1.34	12.96± 0.74	<0.0 01	
	MCH (pg)	24.5 6± 4.2	28.4 4± 2.22	<0.0 01	26.93 ± 5.84	28.7 6± 2.36	<0.0 01	24.1 2± 3.66	27.97± 1.9	<0.0 01	
	MCV (fl)	78.5 ± 10.7 6	87.0 4± 5.98	<0.0 01	84.02 ± 15.59	3±	0.00 2	77.4 6± 9.26	86.34± 5.17	<0.0 01	
	MCHC (g/dl)		32.6 6± 0.91	<0.0 01	31.9± 1.4	32.8 6± 0.93	<0.0 01		32.38± 0.81	<0.0 01	
	Iron (µg/dl)	82.1 2± 38.3 6	95.5 ± 28.3 4	<0.0 01	89.32 ± 45.45	94± 28.2 9	0.25 7	80.7 5± 38.8	97.68± 28.32	<0.0 01	
	TIBC (µg/dl)	323. 4± 68.5 4	300. 96± 62.0 7	<0.0 01	320.7 4± 76.2	300. 38± 65.1	0.02 7	323. 48± 67.1 2	301.78 ± 57.74	<0.0 01	
	UIBC (µg/dl)	240. 93± 81.4 2	205. 52± 47.5 7	<0.0 01	231.3 1± 87.44	45±	0.00 2	242. 73± 80.2 5	204.19 ± 38.79	<0.0 01	
	Transf errin (%)	26.5 7± 11.4	31.6 2± 5.73	<0.0 01	29.12 ± 10.43	1±	0.01 9	26.0 9± 11.6	32.06± 5.41	<0.0 01	

The mean Hb, MCV, MCH and MCHC were significantly lower in IDA group as compared to non-IDA group. The mean HbAlc levels were significantly higher in IDA group as compared to non-IDA group, similar to as obtained in pre-diabetic group. Female subgroups of IDA and non-IDA groups also showed similar results. In the male subgroup, Hb, MCV, MCH and MCHC were significantly lower in IDA group as compared to non-IDA group. Though HbAlc levels were also lower in IDA group in male category, the difference was not significant.

The Iron profile showed a significant difference in the IDA and non IDA groups. For serum iron though the values were lower in IDA group as compared to non-IDA group for both males a females , the difference in Iron values was only significant for females.

HbAlc did not significantly correlate with RBC indices or iron profile in normal control group as well.

DISCUSSION:

In the diabetic study population, the mean HbAlc levels were 7.91 \pm 1.81 in IDA group and 8.46 \pm 1.77 in non-IDA group. The difference was statistically significant (p <0.05). Similar results were obtained by Solomon et al in year 2019 in their study on 174 diabetic patients. The reason for low HbAlc in IDA patient as compared to non-IDA patients is the degree of anaemia in the study population (4). Several authors have studied effect of IDA on HbAlc in non-diabetic patients. They all concluded that HbAlc concentration tends to be lower in the presence of iron deficiency anaemia. (9-13).

The HbAlc values were significantly lower in diabetic female IDA pt. as compared to non-IDA patients. In the male category though the HbAlc values were lower in diabetic IDA subgroup the difference was not statistically significant. The difference between different results in male and female category could be due to the reason that females are

08 |August - 2022 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex physiologically more susceptible to development of anaemia, most commonly nutritional anaemia due to different dietary habits, hormones and loss of blood during menstrual cycles.

A significant difference was obtained in Hb and RBC indices and iron profile in IDA and non-IDA groups in both males and females subgroup. However the correlation of HbA1c with these parameters was not statistically significant. These findings are similar to as obtained by several other authors (4, 13,14).

In the pre-diabetic study population, the mean HbA1c levels were 6.05 \pm 0.21 in IDA group and 6.02 \pm 0.21 in non-IDA group. Though HbAlc was higher in pre-diabetic IDA pt. the difference was not statistically significant. Also HbAlc was higher in both pre-diabetic amle and female IDA pt. as compared to non IDA patents, but the difference was not statistically significant. Madhu SV et al in their study on 62 IDA patients and 60 normal controls also observed higher HbAlc levels in IDA patients as compared to non-IDA patients. They hypothesized that IDA leads to false high estimation of HbAlc levels and hence should not be used as sole criteria for diagnosis of diabetes. They observed a strong negative correlation of HbA1c with iron profile (15). The current study shows a significant difference in Hb , RBC indices and iron profile in IDA and non-IDA male and female groups with a significant correlation with. The difference in findings could be due to large sample size in present study.

In the normal control study population, the mean HbAlc levels were 5.35 ± 0.6 in IDA group and 5.34 ± 0.26 in non-IDA group and the difference was statistically significant. The mean HbAlc values were also significantly higher in normal male and female IDA group as compared to non IDA subgroup. These findings are similar to those obtained by several authors. Ford et al., in 2011, Silva et al., in 2015, Shekhae et al., in 2014 and Chhabra et al., in 2015 also obtained higher HbA1c level in IDA patients(12, 16-18). On contrary Sinha et al., in 2012, Cavagnolli et a., in 2015 and Kalasker et al., in 2014, obtained HBA1c as lower in IDA group (9-11). In present study a significant difference was obtained in Hb and RBC indices and iron profile in IDA and non-IDA groups as well as between males and females subgroup. However the correlation of HbAlc with theses parameters was not statistically significant.

Various propositions have been made to explain the increase in glycated haemoglobin level in IDA patients. Coban E et al proposed that in IDA there is alteration of quaternary structure of haemoglobin and hence glycation of beta chain occurs more readily (19). El Agouza et al proposed that the increase in glycated Hb levels in non-diabetic anaemic patients occurs due to decrease in Hb levels of these patients (20).

The current study is the largest study on Indian population studying the effect of IDA on HbA1c in diabetic, pre-diabetic and normal controls. Having a larger size of study population, we suggest that IDA causes an elevation in HbA1c levels in pre-diabetic and normal controls. But in the diabetic population, the levels of HbAlc are lower in IDA group as compared to non-IDA group. This could be due to effect of several confounding factors. These confounding factors tend to lower Hb levels more, and include effect of pro inflammatory cytokines and micro and macrovascular complications of diabetes like diabetic nephropathy (21). This study becomes highly relevant in Indian scenario as there is a very high prevalence of IDA in Indian population. HbAlc besides being effected by blood glucose levels, also gets effected by level of haemoglobin, as well as nutritional deficiencies. As there is a significant difference in HbAlc levels in IDA and non-IDA groups for diabetic, pre-diabetic as well as normal control, we highly recommend to correct the IDA deficiency in all patients before diagnosing diabetes as

PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume - 11 | Issue - 08 |August - 2022 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

well as correction of IDA once the diagnosis is made as HbA1c levels are used to monitor glycaemic control in these patients.

CONCLUSION:

The results in current study show that HbAlc levels are higher in IDA patients in pre diabetic and normal controls. On contrary HbAlc levels are lower when IDA coexists with diabetes. As HbAlc is used to diagnose as well as monitor diabetes we highly recommend correction of iron deficiency before clinical diagnosis as well as when seen during monitoring, so as to correctly depict the glycaemic control.

Conflicts of interest-Nil

Funding-NA

Acknowledgement:

Special thanks to scientific officers Ms Rajanigandha Utale, Bhagyashree Salunke

REFERENCES:

- World Health Organisation. Anaemia facts. WHO, Geneva: Accessed on 2017 Mar 21: Available at: www.who.int/topics/anaemia/en/.
- Mishra P, Ahluwalia SK, Garg PK, Kar R, Panda GK. The prevalence of anaemia among reproductive age group (15-45 Yrs) women in a PHC of rural field practice area of MM medical college, Ambala, India. J Women's Health Care. 2012; 1:113. 1, 2.
- NFHS-5 data Government of India. National family health survey 5- key indicators:2019-2021. National Family Health Survey. 2020; 4:1–8.
- Solomon A, Hussein M, Negash M, Ahmed A, Bekele F, Kahase D. Effect of iron deficiency anemia on HbAlc in diabetic patients at Tikur Anbessa specialized teaching hospital, Addis Ababa Ethiopia. BMC Hematol. 2019 Jan 9; 19:2. doi: 10.1186/s12878-018-0132-1. PMID: 30647919; PMCID: PMC6 3275 02.
- Katwal PC, Jirjees S, Htun ZM, Aldawudi I, Khan S. The Effect of Anemia and the Goal of Optimal HbA1c Control in Diabetes and Non-Diabetes. Cureus. 2020 Jun 3;12(6):e8431. doi: 10.7759/cureus.8431. PMID: 32642346; PMGID: PMC7336595.
- Kuang L, Li W, Xu G, You M, Wu W, Li C. Systematic review and meta-analysis: influence of iron deficiency anemia on blood glycosylated hemoglobin in diabetic patients. Ann Palliat Med. 2021 Nov;10(11):11705-11713. doi: 10.21037/apm-21-2944.PMD:34872295.
- Bansal RK, Yadav YR, Kulkarni HS, Sonam, Garg S, Jain P et al. Effect of Iron Deficiency Anemia on HbA1c in Non-Diabetics. Jour of Diab and Endo Assoc of Nepal 2020;4 (1):(10-16)
- American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(1):S62–9.
- Sinha N, Mishra TK, Singh T, Gupta N. Effect of Iron deficiency Anemia on haemoglobin Alclevels. Ann Lab Med. 2012;32(1):17–22. https://doi.org/10. 3343/alm.2012.32.1.17.
- Cavagnolli G, Pimentel AL, Freitas PAC, Gross JL, Camargo JL. Factors affecting A1C in non-diabetic individuals: review and meta-analysis. Clin Chim Acta.2015;445:107–14.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2015.03.024.
- Kalasker V, Madhuri S, Kodliwadmath MV, Bhat H. Effect of Iron deficiency Anemia on glycosylated haemoglobin levels in non-diabetic Indian adults. Int J Med Health Sci. 2014;3(1):40–3.
- Ford ES, Cowie CC, Li C, Handelsman Y, Bloomgarden ZT. Iron-deficiency anemia, non-iron-deficiency anemia and HbAlc among adults in the US. J Diabete. 2011; 3(1):67–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-0407.2010.00100.
- Christy AL, Manjrekar PA, Babu RP, Hegde A, Rukmini MS. Influence of Iron deficiency Anemia on haemoglobin A1C levels in diabetic individuals with controlled plasma glucose levels. Iranian Biomed. 2014;18(2):88–93
- Manisha G, Nitin S, Ranjana M, Singh GA, Ritu G. Study of glycosylated haemoglobin in Iron deficiency Anemia. Sch J App Med Sci. 2016; 4(2C):532-5.
- Madhu SV, Raj A, Gupta S, Giri S, Rusia U. Effect of iron deficiency anemia and iron supplementation on HbAlc levels - Implications for diagnosis of prediabetes and diabetes mellitus in Asian Indians. Clin Chim Acta. 2017 May; 468:225-229. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2016.10.003. Epub 2016 Oct 5. PMID: 27717800.
- Siliva JF, Pimentel AL Silva JF, Camargo JL. Effect of iron deficiency anemia on HbAlc levels is dependent on the degree of anemia. Clin.Biochem. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2015.09.004.
- Shekhar H, Mangukiya KK, Kaur A, Jadeja P. Effect of Iron deficiency on glycation of hemoglobin in non-diabetics. JJSN.2014;5(3):477-9
 Chhabra RJ, Dhadhal R, Sodvadiya K. Study of glycated Haemoglobin
- Chhabra RJ, Dhadhal R, Sodvadiya K. Study of glycated Haemoglobin (HbAlc) level in non-diabetic Iron deficiency Anemia. IJIRR.2015;2(3):540–2.
- Coban E, Ozdogan M, Timuragaoglu A. Effect of iron deficiency Anaemia on the levels of hemoglobin Alc in nondiabetic patients. Acta Haematol 2004; 112:126-128.
- El-Agouza I, Abu Shahla A, Sirdah M. The effect of iron deficiency anaemia on the levels of haemoglobin subtypes: possible consequences for clinical diagnosis. Clin Lab Haematol. 2002 Oct; 24(5):285-9. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2257.2002.00464.x. PMID: 12358889.
- Soliman AT, De Sanctis V, Yassin M, Soliman N. Iron deficiency anemia and glucose metabolism. Acta Biomed. 2017 Apr 28; 88(1):112-118. doi: 10.23750/abm.v88i1.6049.PMID:28467345; PMCID:PMC6166192.