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Type 2 diabetes is often associated with various coexisting comorbidities like-hypertension and dyslipidemia. They are very much associated with ASCVD. Effects of diabetes are more predicting. If we can control multiple risk factors besides Diabetes, we will be able to tackle the ASCVD more efficiently \& effectively. The other risk factors for ASCVD like Hypertension, Dyslipidemia, and obesity are prevalent in our country. There is a combination of 5 risk factors collectively named as syndrome $X$ including Hypertension ( $B P>130 / 85 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ ), insulin resistant DM, hypertriglyceridemia, decreased HDL cholesterol and increased Waist hip ratio. Syndrome $X$ predispose to
ABSTRACT atherosclerotic changes in the carotids. High blood pressure is reported in over two-thirds of patients with type 2 diabetes, and its development coincides with the development of hyperglycaemia. Dyslipidemia is frequent among patients with type 2 DM (T2DM) (prevalence $>75 \%$ ) and is mainly a mixed dyslipidemia [increase in triglycerides (TGs), low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and small-dense (atherogenic), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) particles](2). It was also reported that microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria increased the mortality rate by $60-80 \%-(3)$. Albuminuria can be measured by urinary Albumin-to Creatinine ratio (ACR) in a random spot urine collection-(4).The carotid IMT is significantly higher in diabetic patients than that in non-diabetic patients(5), and the increased IMT can predict future events of silent brain infarction and coronary heart disease in the patients with T2DM(6). Our objective is to find out the prevalence of microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria, hypertension, dyslipidemia, Diabetic kidney disease (serum $\mathrm{Cr}>1.5$ ) and presence of plaque in carotid arteries in type 2 diabetes patients in our country. Another objective was to find out the distribution of glycemic parameters (FBS, PPBS, HbAlc) and BMI among study groups.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Study Design: Cross sectional, Single Centre, hospital based prospective and observational study.
2.Place Of Study: Nilratan Sircar Medical College, Kolkata.
2. Period Of Study: January 2018 to June 2019.

## 4. Study Population:

Patients attending OPD and IPD of General Medicine Department, Nilratan Sircar Medical College, Kolkata and fulfill inclusion \& exclusion criteria of the study.
5. Sample Size: 50.
6. Sample Selection:Randomized

## 7. Inclusion Criteria:

1.Diagnose case of type 2 diabetes mellitus
2.Age above 18 years
3.Both sexes

## 8. Exclusion Criteria:

| Type l <br> diabetes <br> mellitus | Secondary <br> diabetes | Gestational <br> diabetes | Connecti <br> ve tissue <br> disorders <br> or <br> vasculitis | Overt renal <br> failure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Valvular <br> heart <br> disease or <br> atrial <br> fibrillation | Ischemic <br> heart <br> disease <br> with <br> medications | Patients <br> with <br> ischemic <br> stroke. <br> infection | Urinary <br> tract <br> infection | Congestive <br> cardiac <br> failure |

## Method Of Data Collection:

| Interview \& | Physical | Laboratory | Record |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| History taking | examination | examination, | analysis. |

## Parameters To Be Studies:

CLINICAL: 1. Presenting clinical features of type 2 diabetes mellitus as polyuria, polydipsia. 2. Complications of type 2 diabetes mellitus as nephropathy, neuropathy or retinopathy and. 3.Duration of diabetes.

GENEREL \& SYSTEMIC EXAMINATION:

## LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS:-

1.The following laboratory parameters were carried out:

| Complete <br> haemogr <br> am | Fasting <br> Blood <br> Glucose | PPBS | HBAl <br> C | Spot <br> urine <br> ACR | Serum <br> electrolytes - <br> Sodium, <br> potassium, <br> chloride etc. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Blood <br>  <br> creatinine | Urine <br> RE/ME | Lipid <br> profil <br> e:. | ECG <br> in all <br> leads | Echocard <br> iography <br> in <br> selected <br> cases | Brain imaging <br> in suspected <br> cases of CVA. |

## 2. Specific Investigation:

Urinary albumin Creatinine ratio(ACR) was calculated by dividing the urinary albumin concentration in micrograms by the urinary creatinine concentration in milligrams. Normal UACR is generally defined $<30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{Cr}$, and increased urinary albumin excretion is defined as $>30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}$ Cr. However, UACR is a continuous measurement, and differences within the normal and abnormal ranges are associated with renal and cardiovascular outcomes.

## OBSERVATION AND ANALYSIS:

Age Distribution: 10 cases out of 50 cases in the study are below the age of forty years. (Table-1) comprising $20.0 \%$ of total cases, 25 cases are between 40-60 years comprising 50.0 $\%$ of total cases, 15 cases are 60 yrs or more comprising $30.0 \%$ of total cases.(Table-2))
Sex Distribution: 22 cases out of fifty cases in the study are Female Comprising 44.0 \% of total cases, 28 cases are male comprising $56.0 \%$ of total cases(Table 3)
Fasting Blood Sugar: out of 50 cases, 26 cases are in the range OF 100-126 of FBS, comprising of $52 \%$ of cases, 24 cases are > 126, comprising $48 \%$ of FBS (Table 4.)
PPBS distribution: out of 50 cases, 27 cases are in the range of 140-200 of PPBS, comprising of $54 \%$ of cases, 15 cases are $>200$, comprising $30 \%$ of PPBS (TABLE 5.)
HbAlc: 43 cases out of fifty cases in the study are HbAlc ( $>6 . .5$ ) Comprising $86.0 \%$ of total cases, 7 cases are HbAlc
( $\leq 6.5$ ) comprising $14.0 \%$ of total cases (TABLE 6.)
Total Cholesterol-(TC) - Out of 50 cases, 24 cases are abnormal of TC, comprising of $48 \%$ of cases, 26 cases are normal, comprising $52 \%$ of TC. (Table-7)
TG- triglyceride- Out of 50 cases, 31 cases are abnormal of TG , comprising of $62 \%$ of cases, 19 cases are normal, comprising $38 \%$ of TG (Table-8)
LDL-Cholesterol- Out of 50 cases, 21 cases are abnormal, comprising of $42 \%$ of cases, 29 cases are normal, comprising 58 \% of LDL-C (Table-9)
HDL-C- Out of 50 cases, 40 cases are abnormal, comprising of $80 \%$ of cases, 10 cases are normal, comprising $20 \%$ of HDL-C. (Table-10)
Urine ACR- Out of 50 cases, 24 cases are abnormal of Urine ACR, comprising of $48 \%$ of cases, 26 cases are normal, comprising $52 \%$ of Urine ACR (table-1 1)
Hypertension-30 cases out of fifty cases in the study are no Hypertension Comprising 60.0 \% of total cases, 20 cases are Hypertension present comprising $40.0 \%$ of total cases(table13)

PRESENCE OF CAROTID PLAQUE: 30 cases out of fifty cases in the study are no Presence of plaque Comprising 60.0 $\%$ of total cases, 20 cases are Presence of plaque (yes) comprising $30.0 \%$ of total cases (table-14).

CIMT- out of 50 cases, 11 cases are increased of CIMT, comprising of 22 \% of cases, 39 cases are normal, comprising 78 \% of CIMT (table-15)

SERUM CREATININE: 4 cases out of fifty cases in the study are no Serum creatinine (>l.5) Comprising 8.0 \% of total cases, 46 cases are Serum creatinine (NORMAL) comprising $92.0 \%$ of total case-Table 16.

BIMI distribution-Out of 50 cases, 12 cases are in the range of $<22.9$ of BMI, comprising of $24 \%$ of cases, 20 cases are 23-27.5 , comprising $40 \%$ of BMI and $>27.3 \mathrm{BMI}$ is $36 \%$. Table- 17

CIIMT * AGE: Out of 50 cases 10 cases bellow the age of 40 years, among them none has increased CIMT, 19 cases between 40-60 years among them 6 cases and 10 cases above 60 years, of them 5 have increased CIMT respectably.

CIMT * DURATION OF DIM: From the Pie diagram 18, it is observed that there is maximum increased CIMT for 8 cases comprising of $16 \%$ for $>10$ years of duration of DM.

## DISCUSSION:

A study by Gupta et al(7) found tobacco use, obesity, high blood pressure, high low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and diabetes to correlate with vascular events. Study, showed 92.5\% prevalence of high CIMT in T2DM patients with ischemic stroke, $80 \%$ prevalence in T2DM patients without any stroke and $20 \%$ prevalence in non-diabetics. These prevalence rates vary as per the accompanying risk factors. As per the ultrasonography measurements, it was observed that among patients with increased CIMT ( $>0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), male: female ratio was 3.75:1, suggesting that males are more vulnerable to have increased CIMT. As the age and BMI progresses, the risk of having increased CIMT goes up. In all age groups, males scored over females in having increased CIMT. Smokers had statistically significant higher prevalence of having increased CIMT. Hypertensive patients had significantly higher chances of getting increased CIMT than nonhypertensives. But though the subjects with duration of T2DM > 10 years had a higher prevalence of increased CIMT, it was statistically not significant in comparison to subjects with duration of T2DM <10 years. As the age and BMI progresses, the risk of having increased CIMT goes up. In all age groups, males scored over females in having increased CIMT. Smokers had statistically significant higher prevalence of having increased CIMT. Any increase in BMI above normal
weight levels is associated with an increased risk of being diagnosed as having complications of diabetes mellitus. For men, the increased risk of these complications occurred at higher BMI levels than in women. Ocular complications occurred at higher BMI levels than other complication types in both men and women(8).

Hypertensive patients had significantly higher chances of getting increased CIMT than nonhypertensives. But though the subjects with duration of T2DM $>10$ years had a higher prevalence of increased CIMT, it was statistically not significant in comparison to subjects with duration of T2DM $<10$ years.

The three glycemic parameters (FPG, PPPG, and HbAlC ) and the lipid parameters like total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and triglyceride were all significantly higher in patients with increased CIMT. Though HDL cholesterol had a negative association with the occurrence of increased CIMT, it was not significant. Diercks et al. similarly showed that urine albumin excretion was strongly related to subclinical atherosclerosis in the presence of increased CIMT in patients with T2DM (9). The Framingham study and Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial (MRFIT) showed a 2-3 fold elevation in the risk of clinically evident atherosclerotic disease in patients with T2DM(10). The risk factors predispose to increased IMT and correlate well with lack of coronary artery disease, whereas an increasing CIMT above normal level ( $>$ 0.8 mm ) is associated with increasingly severe coronary artery disease, an increased risk of myocardial infarction and also stroke.

## CONCLUSION-

Most common age group of diabetes was 40-60yrs (50\%). Male predominance of diabetes was seen $56 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s} 44 \%$. Regarding glycemic parameters FBS $>126$, PPBS $>200$, HbAlc>6.5\% were associated with $48 \%, 30 \%, 86 \%$ respectively. Hypertension was coexisting with diabetes in $40 \%$ cases. In our study diabetes patients are mostly having abnormalities of hypertriglyceridemia, then low HDL, then total cholesterol, then LDL cholesterol. Which tally with Indian lean diabetics-abdominal obesity. $27.3 \%$ cases having high BMI >27.3. Not very significant patients were having significant kidney disease (8\%). Atherosclerotic plaque (30\%) was not very common in our study. CIMT increased only in $22 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s} 78 \%$. Risk of carotid atherosclerosis increased with age and duration of diabetes $>10$ years.

Limitation of the study- STUDY DESIGN: A longitudinal study with baseline and follow up in type 2 diabetic patients would have been more suited to determine the relationship between the occurrence of atherosclerosis and Syndrome X. SELECTION BIAS: The study being a hospital-based study, there is always a chance of selection bias SAMPLE SIZE: A larger number of study populations would have made this study more accurate and leaded further weightage to the results. 50 patients were too small to come into a conclusion. REFERRAL BIAS: Referral bias may have influenced the study result in this study.
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| Table 1. American Diabetic Association Classification <br> of albuminuria |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spot Collection | Timed <br> Collection | $24-\mathrm{hr}$ <br> Collection | Category |
| Less than 30 <br> $\mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{mg}$ <br> creatinine | Less than 20 <br> $\mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{min}$ | Less than <br> 30 mg | Normal |
| $30-300 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{mg}$ <br> creatinine | $20-200$ <br> $\mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{min}$ | $30-300 \mathrm{mg}$ | Microalbumin <br> uria |


| More than 300 <br> $\mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{mg}$ <br> creatinine | More than 200 <br> $\mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{min}$ | More than <br> 300 mg | Clinical <br> albuminuria |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table 2. Age Distribution |  |  |  |
| AGE ( IN YEARS ) | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |  |
| <40 YEARS | 10 | 20.0 |  |
| 40-60 YEARS | 25 | 50.0 |  |
| $>60$ YEARS | 15 | 30.0 |  |


| Table 3. Sex distribution |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SEX | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
| FEMALE | 22 | 44.0 |
| MALE | 28 | 56.0 |
| Table 4.-FBS distribution |  |  |
| FBS | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| $<100$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| $100-126$ | 26 | 52.0 |
| $>126$ | 24 | 48.0 |
| TABLE 5. PPBS distribution |  |  |
| PPBS | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| $<140$ | 8 | 16.0 |
| $140-200$ | 27 | 54.0 |
| $>200$ | 15 | 30.0 |


| TABLE 6. HbAlc distribution |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HbAlc | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
| $>6.5$ | 43 | 86.0 |
| $\leq 6.5$ | 7 | 14.0 |

TABLE 7. TC distribution

| TC | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ABNORMAL <br> $(>200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | 24 | 48.0 |
| DESIRABLE <br> $(<200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | 26 | 52.0 |
| TABLE 8. TG | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| TG | 31 | 62.0 |
| ABNORMAL <br> $(>150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | 19 | 38.0 |
| DESIRABLE <br> $(<150 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ |  |  |


| Table 9-LDL-Distribution- |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LDL-C | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| ABNORMAL <br> ( $>100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | 21 | 42.0 |
| DESIRABL <br> $(<100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl})$ | 29 | 58.0 |
| TABLE 10. HDL-C DISTRIBUTION |  |  |
| HDL-C | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| ABNORMAL <br> $(<50 ~ m g / d l)$ | 40 | 80.0 |
| DESIRABLE <br> (>50 mg/dl) | 10 | 20.0 |

TABLE 11. URINE ACR DISTRIBUTION

| Urine ACR | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ABNORMAL <br> $(>30 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{mg}$ <br> Creatinine $)$ | 24 | 48.0 |
| NORMAL <br> $(<30 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{mg}$ <br> Creatinine $)$ | 26 | 52.0 |
| TABLE 13. DISTRIBUTION OF HTN |  |  |
| Hypertension | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
| NO | 30 | 60.0 |
| YES | 20 | 40.0 |


| TABLE 14. PLAQUE DISTRIBUTION |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRESENCE OF PLAQUE | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
| NO | 30 | 60.0 |
| YES | 20 | 40.0 |

[^0]| TABLE 15. CIMT DISTRIBUTION |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CIMT | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| INCREASED (> 0.8 mm$)$ | 11 | 22.0 |
| NORMAL ( <= 0.8 mm$)$ | 39 | 78.0 |

Table 16: SERUM CREATININE

| SERUM CREATININE | FREQUENCY | PERCENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $>1.5$ ( ABNORMAL ) | 4 | 8.0 |
| $\leq 1.5$ (NORMAL ) | 46 | 92.0 |
| Table 17. BMI DISTRIBUTION |  |  |
| BIMI | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
| $\leq 22.9$ | 12 | 24.0 |
| $23-27.5$ | 20 | 40.0 |
| $>27.5$ | 18 | 36.0 |

DURATION OF DM $=>10$ YRS $=5-10$ YRS $=<5$ YRS
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