



ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

Neuroscience

PSYCHIATRIC MORBIDITY AND QUALITY OF LIFE IN INFERTILE FEMALES: A CROSS-SECTIONAL, CASE-CONTROLLED HOSPITAL-BASED STUDY.

KEY WORDS: Ferti-QoL, Social support, infertility, mental health, Kashmir.

Dr Iqbal Ahmad Wahipora

Tangmarg, JK, India-193402

ABSTRACT

Background: Infertility is a huge global problem with a significant mental health burden. Infertility could become a source of continuous stress leading to psychosocial issues including stress, anxiety, depression, and low self-esteem in these women. Mental health issues in conjunction with the quality of life among infertile women have been less studied in third world countries. Further qualitative reflectors like the quality of life are less studied. **Objective:** To study the effect of infertility on mental health and quality of life. **Methods:** A cross-sectional, case-controlled study was conducted between January 2021 and November 2021 to study the effect of infertility on mental health and quality of life. A semi-structured questionnaire was used for sociodemographic and clinical variables. Oslo Social Support Scale-3 and ferti-QoL were used to study social support and quality of life respectively. Psychiatric morbidity was assessed using ICD 10 symptom checklist. A total of 56 cases and 102 controls were studied. **Results:** The mean age of cases and controls was 30.4 ±3.5 years and 31.9 ±2.9 years respectively. Young infertile females, primary infertility and female factor for infertility were associated with higher psychiatric morbidity. Psychiatric morbidity was seen in 46.4% of infertile women. Ferti QoL score for the infertile group was 64.61 ±5 with the lowest score in the emotional domain (45.10) and mind-body domain (54.86) (p<0.0001). The scores in the relational domain and social domain were higher (85.2 and 73.3 respectively). The scores in the mind-body domain and emotional domain were poor among the infertile women regardless of the presence of psychiatric morbidity (48.27 vs 59.80 and 43.57 vs 46.57) (P<0.0001-0.04). **Conclusions:** Our study emphasizes the role of more qualitative instruments like Ferti-QoL in studying the well-being of infertile women. Even in absence of psychiatric morbidity, the QoL score could still predict mental wellbeing in fertility-related issues.

INTRODUCTION

Procreation is an essential human desire and hence, infertility causes a great deal of psychosocial distress.¹ Infertility can affect both men and women and is defined by WHO as the failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after 12 months or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse.^{2,3} Infertility is further categorized into primary and secondary. Primary infertility is defined as the non-achievement of the first pregnancy. In contrast, secondary infertility is defined as the inability to achieve a subsequent pregnancy irrespective of the previous pregnancy outcome.⁴

The prevalence of infertility has been increasing alarmingly with more than 48 million couples and 186 million individuals worldwide.⁵ Sufficient evidence indicates that infertility is a major cause of stress among women and more so among women with primary infertility.⁶ Infertility is a source of intense painful emotional experience leading to psychosocial issues including stress, anxiety, depression, and low self-esteem in these women.^{7,8} All these factors result in poor mental and social well-being of these women and the same reflects in poor quality of life among these females.⁹

In India, the overall prevalence of infertility lies in between 3.9% and 16.8%.¹⁰ Most studies by Indian researchers have focused on the psychiatric diagnoses that occur during infertility treatment. The reported morbidity has been between 30 to 60%.¹¹⁻¹³ Mental health issues in conjunction with quality of life among infertile women have not been thoroughly addressed in third world countries. If at all, this distress has been measured in the form of quantitative variables like morbidity but qualitative reflectors like the quality of life are less studied.^{10,12,14,15}

We planned this study in Government Medical College, Anantnag which has been functioning as the only tertiary care center in south Kashmir since 2019. It caters to a population of 2.67 million approximately spread over five districts and two regions of Jammu and Kashmir. This study aimed to assess the impact of infertility on mental health and quality of life in females.

MATERIALS & METHODS:

Study design and setting:

The cross-sectional study was conducted at the outpatient infertility clinic of a teaching hospital (Government Medical College, Anantnag). The study was time bound and conducted over 11 months (January 2021 to November 2021).

Study participants and sampling:

Patients who fulfilled the definition of infertility and consented to participate were selected from the outpatient infertility clinic of a teaching hospital (Government Medical College, Anantnag) and labeled as cases. The operational definition of infertility was the failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after 12 months of regular and unprotected sexual intercourse.² Patients with a history of previous psychiatric illness, mental retardation, history of chronic medical illness or surgical illnesses with a protracted course, receiving treatment for active medical illness, or receiving contraceptive medications were excluded.

Fertile females with at least one living child in the reproductive age group (18-45 years) visiting the hospital for minor obstetric/ gynaecological ailments and socio-demographic characteristics similar to that of the cases were selected from the gynaecological out-patient department of the same hospital and labelled as controls. Patients who had delivered in the past six weeks, underwent a major surgery, survived an obstetric/ gynaecological/ surgical/medical complication in the recent past were excluded from the control group.

The purpose of the study was explained and informed consent was obtained from the respondents. Responses were obtained using a face-to-face interview after the questionnaire was explained in lay language. Responses were recorded by resident doctors who were well versed in questionnaire administration and interview techniques.

Data collection tool and technique :

A semi-structured questionnaire with standardized, validated scales was used to obtain the socio-demographic and clinical characteristics, social support, presence of psychiatric morbidity, and quality of life.

Socio-demographic and clinical information which included age, duration of infertility/marriage, educational level,

occupation, domicile, socioeconomic status (Modified Kuppaswamy scale), type of infertility, cause of infertility, and modality of treatment sought were recorded. Social support was evaluated using the Oslo Social Support Scale-3. It is a brief and validated instrument to assess the level of social support and comprises a set of three questions. Responses were derived both from sum total and item-by-item rating.¹⁶

The presence of psychiatric diagnosis was done using the ICD-10 symptom checklist for mental disorders, version 1.1. This symptom checklist is based on the 10th revision of the International Classification of Diseases, a medical classification list by the World Health Organization and is a highly validated, widely accepted, and uniform instrument to assess the presence of psychiatric morbidity.¹⁷

Quality of life was assessed using Ferti-QoL, an internationally validated and standardized instrument for women experiencing fertility problems. Ferti-QoL is a more sensitive, reliable, and valid measure of Quality of life in infertile women than general measures of Quality of life such as WHO-QoLBREF and SF-36.¹⁸

It measures the effect of infertility problems in different areas such as self-esteem, emotions, general health, partnership, family and social relationships, work-life and future life plans. The personal QoL dimension includes two domains, a six-item emotional domain, and a six-item mind-body domain. The interpersonal QoL dimension includes a six-item relational domain and a six-item social domain. Only the four core domains of ferti QoL were studied. The maximum possible scaled score obtainable is 100 representing the highest QoL and a value of 75 is taken as the benchmark normal value.^{19,20}

The data was compiled in an excel master sheet and SPSS version 19 was used to analyse it. The Chi-square test was used to evaluate the differences between dependent categorical variables in the population. The student's t-test was used when two independent groups were compared, and the t-test was extended to ANOVA when more than two groups were compared. A P-value of less than 0.05 was taken as statistically significant.

Ethical consideration:

Complete privacy and confidentiality were ensured during the process of study. Ethical approval was taken from the Ethics Committee of Government Medical College Anantnag. All procedures followed during the study were the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 as revised in 2013.

RESULTS:

Sociodemographic variables:

Of the 206 women sampled, 56 meeting the definition of infertility were taken as cases and 102 fertile women with matched socio-demographic profile were included as controls. The mean age of cases was 30.4±3.5 years and that of controls was 31.9±2.9 years. The majority of these women belonged to the age group 25-35 years. Majority of women were married for less than 8 years [n=37 (cases); n=78 (controls)].

More than half (65%; 33 cases and 70 controls) of the study population was either illiterate or educated up to the primary level. The majority of cases and controls were homemakers (75% and 78% respectively). Most of the study population was rural [n=37 (cases); n=65 (controls)]. The distribution of both cases and controls was comparable across various socioeconomic classes. [Table 1]

Twenty-two patients in the case group were seeking drug therapy while 14 had underwent diagnostic and therapeutic procedures. Thirty-seven infertile women sought help from faith healers. Only two infertile patients did not seek any remedy. The total figures are more than 56 as many of them

had sought more than one type of treatment. The majority of cases (n=47) as well as controls (n=82) had good or strong social support.

Psychiatric morbidity:

Psychiatric morbidity was seen in 46.4% of the infertile women (n=26). The most prevalent morbidity was panic disorder (n=8), followed by depression (n=5) and somatization (n=4). Only 15.6 % (n=16) of fertile women fulfilled the criteria for a psychiatric illness with an almost equal distribution among different diagnoses. One participant in each fertile and infertile group reported suicidality.

Among the cases, higher psychiatric morbidity was present in the age group of 25-35yrs (53.9%) and a similar pattern was seen in controls. Psychiatric morbidity was significantly higher in the cases across all age groups (p=0.005-0.05). We also observed that psychiatric morbidity was significantly higher in the earlier years of marriage as compared to the later years (69.2%&56.2% vs 30.7%&43.8%;p<0.0001).

Psychiatric morbidity was more prevalent both in cases and controls in illiterate or lower educational groups (primary and secondary school level). There was a statistically significant difference in the psychiatric morbidity between cases and controls in the illiterate group (p<0.0001).

Homemakers suffered psychiatric morbidity more often than working women both in the cases and controls (84.6% and 75% respectively). Infertile homemakers demonstrated a significantly higher psychiatric morbidity when compared with fertile homemakers (p<0.0001). Rural infertile population had a significantly higher psychiatric morbidity (80.7%;p<0.0001).

Psychiatric morbidity was higher in the upper lower, lower middle, and upper middle socio-economic classes, both in case and control groups (80.7% and 93.7% respectively). This difference in the psychiatric morbidity between cases and controls was statistically significant (p=0.01-0.05). Women with secondary infertility demonstrated lower psychiatric morbidity as compared to women with primary infertility (92.3% vs 7.7%). Coexistent or independent male factor for infertility was associated with a lower mental health burden.

Social Support and Quality of Life:

Total and individual domain scores were significantly better in controls compared to cases (p 0.04 -0.0001). The total score on Ferti QOL for the infertile group was 64.61±4.7 with the least score in the emotional domain (45.10) and mind-body domain (54.86) with p<0.0001. The scores in the relational and social domains were significantly higher (85.2 and 73.3 respectively).

Regardless of the presence of psychiatric morbidity, the scores in the mind-body domain and emotional domain were poor among the infertile group (48.27 vs 59.80 and 43.57 vs 46.57) with p<0.0001. Similarly, the scores in the social and relational domains were better irrespective of the psychiatric diagnosis (73.10 vs 73.49 and 78.60 vs 88.63 respectively). These results were statistically significant (P<0.0001-0.04). [Table 2]

Comparatively, women in the fertile group reported a higher total Ferti QOL score of 82±4.8. Among fertile women, the scores for different domains of Ferti QOL were above the benchmark of 75 (p<0.0001). The presence of psychiatric morbidity did not decrease the QoL scores below the benchmark of 75 in the fertile group. Women with good or strong social support were affected less commonly with psychiatric illness when compared with women who lacked social support. (p=0.001-0.006). [table 2]

Table 1: Comparison between infertile group as fertile group as regard sociodemographic and clinical variables.

Variable		Infertile group / cases		Fertile group / controls		Chi Squar e	P Value
		Total case n=56 (%)	cases with psychiatric illness n=26(%)	Total controls n=102(%)	controls with psychiatric illness n= 16(%)		
Age in years	18-25	7/56(12.5)	2/26(7.7)	14/102(13.7)	2/16(12.5)	.61	>0.05
	25-35	28/56(50.0)	14/26(53.9)	56/102 (54.9)	9/16 (56.2)	0.20	0.005
	35-45	21/56(37.5)	10/26(38.4)	32/102 (31.4)	5/16 (31.2)	6.39	0.01
	Mean age	30.4±3.5	31.9±2.9				
Duration of marriage (infertility) in years	<8	37/56 (66.0)	18/26(69.2)	78/102 (76.4)	9/16 (56.2)	23.22	<0.0001
	>8	19/56 (34.0)	8/26(30.7)	24/102 (23.6)	7/16 (43.8)	0.25	0.61
Educational Level	Illiterate	20/56 (35.7)	11/26(42.3)	42/102 (41.1)	6/16 (37.5)	11.29	<0.0001
	Primary	13/56 (23.2)	4/26(15.3)	28/102 (27.4)	5/16 (31.2)	.86	0.35
	Secondary	11/56 (19.6)	5/26(19.3)	20/102 (19.6)	4/16 (25.0)	2.23	0.13
	Graduate and higher	12/56 (21.4)	6/26(23.1)	12/102 (11.7)	1/16 (6.3)	5.04	0.02
Occupation	Housewife	42/56 (75.0)	22/26(84.6)	80/102 (78.4)	12/16 (75.0)	19.14	<0.0001
	Employed	14/56 (25.0)	4/26(15.4)	22/102 (21.6)	4/16 (25.0)	0.53	0.46
Domicile	Rural	37/56 (67.0)	21/26(80.7)	65/102 (63.7)	11/16 (68.7)	17.38	<0.0001
	Urban/Semi urban	19/56 (34.0)	5/26(19.3)	37/102 (36.3)	5/16 (31.2)	1.40	0.23
Socioeconomic status (Modified Kuppuswamy scale)	Upper	5/56 (8.9)	3/26(11.5)	4/102 (3.9)	0	2.75	0.09
	Upper Middle	15/56 (26.7)	7/26(27.0)	23/102 (22.5)	4/16 (25.0)	3.78	0.05
	Lower Middle	20/56 (35.7)	9/26(34.6)	43/102 (42.1)	7/16 (43.8)	5.94	0.01
	Upper Lower	12/56 (21.4)	5/26(19.3)	28/102 (27.4)	4/16 (25.0)	3.61	0.05
	Lower	4/56 (7.1)	2/26(7.7)	4/102 (3.9)	1/16 (6.3)	0.53	0.46
Type of Infertility	Primary	49/56 (87.5)	24/26(92.3)	-	-	-	-
	Secondary	7/56 (12.5)	2/26(7.7)	-	-	-	-
Cause of infertility	Female factor	33/56 (58.9)	18/26 (69.2)	-	-	-	-
	male factor	8/56 (14.2)	2/26(7.7)	-	-	-	-
	Both male & female	15/56 (26.7)	6/26(23.0)	-	-	-	-
Type of infertility treatment	Faith healer	37/56 (66.0)	18/26(69.2)	-	-	-	-
	Drug Therapy	22/56 (39.2)	10/26(38.4)	-	-	-	-
	IVF Surgery	14/56 (25.0)	4/26(15.3)	-	-	-	-
	No treatment sought	2/56 (3.5)	0	-	-	-	-
Oslo Social Support Scale score	Minimal	9/56(16.0)	6/9(66.7)	20/102(19.6)	2/10(10.0)	9.97	0.001
	Good/Fair	27/56 (48.2)	13/27(48.1)	53/102 (51.9)	10/53(18.7)	7.48	0.006
	strong	20/56 (35.7)	7/20(35.0)	29 /102(28.4)	4/29(13.7)	3.05	0.08
Psychiatric Diagnosis	Depression	6/56 (10.7)	-	2/102 (1.96)	-	-	-
	Mania		-	2/102 (1.96)	-	-	-
	Panic disorder	8/56 (14.2)	-	4/102(3.9)	-	-	-
	Obsessive compulsive disorder	2 /56(3.5)	-	2/102 (1.96)	-	-	-
	GAD/other anxiety disorders	4/56 (7.1)	-	3/102 (2.9)	-	-	-
	Somatization	5/56 (8.9)	-	2/102 (1.96)	-	-	-
	Suicidality	1/56 (1.7)	-	1/102 (0.09)	-	-	-
	No psychiatric diagnosis	30/56 (53.5)	-	86/102 (84.3)	-	10.82	0.004

{ denominators indicate the respective proportions}.

Table 2: Relationship between Ferti- QOL scale and infertility.

Variable		Mind-body domain		Emotional domain		S o c i a l domain		Relational domain		TotalFerti-QOL	
		Score	SD	Score	SD	Score	SD	Score	SD	Score	SD
infertile group / cases	Psychiatric morbidity Present (n=26)	48.27	4.1	43.57	5.4	73.10	3.2	78.60	4.7	52.6	4.2
	Psychiatric morbidity Absent (n=30)	59.80	3.0	46.57	4.2	73.49	2.8	88.63	3.7	66.5	3.4
	Total (n=56)	54.86	3.2	45.10	4.1	73.3	3.5	85.2	5.2	64.6	4.7
fertile group/controls	Psychiatric morbidity Present (n= 16)	77.98	4.6	75.21	2.6	75.10	5.6	86.72	5.7	79.4	4.4
	Psychiatric morbidity Absent (n=86)	92.02	2.8	80.20	4.9	79.41	3.2	86.10	4.8	84.8	3.8
	Total (n=102)	84.17	4.7	79.10	3.8	78.44	4.5	86.32	5.0	82.0	4.8
	T-stat	14.61		12.34		3.86		0.62		7.75	
	P value	<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.04		<0.0001	

DISCUSSION

Globally infertility has been on a rise for a long time, affecting approximately 8-12% of couples.^{5,21} In addition to social stigmatization, marital disharmony, and economic consequences, infertility has been associated with a high prevalence of mental health issues. All these factors eventually are reflected as poor quality of life in the affected women.²²⁻²⁴

Sociodemographic factors have been seen to affect the mental health of infertile women significantly.²⁵ Younger infertile women were found to seek medical help more often, and psychiatric morbidity affected a larger proportion of this group. As has been seen, women early in their married/infertile life tend to seek frequent consultations and try multiple treatment options which has strongly been associated with increased stress and subsequent development of mental health issues.²⁶ Older women presented to the infertility clinic less often, as they could have exhausted options in medical treatment, accepted childlessness as God's wish, and sought other means of completing a family like, adoption.²⁷ This positive effect of age indicates that with maturity, women develop resilience and are better equipped to tackle the infertility experience.^{28,29}

The prevalence of primary and secondary infertility varies in different regions of the world with a reported higher prevalence of primary infertility in the developing world. Being part of the developing world, a higher representation of primary infertility cases was expected in our study population.³⁰ Similar trend has also been seen elsewhere in developing world.³¹

Researchers have found that significantly more patients with primary infertility have psychopathology than patients with other forms of infertility and healthy controls.³² We also observed a higher prevalence of psychiatric morbidity in women with primary infertility. As observed in the present study, the coexistent or independent male factor for infertility has been seen to help females share the burden of infertility equally with males and lesser morbidity development.³³ As in other areas of the developing region, a multipronged approach with a strong reliance on faith healers was expected.³⁴ Khayata et al, who investigated the treatment-seeking in infertile couples also observed the multimodal approach of infertile women while help-seeking.³⁵

Forty-six percent of the infertile women had psychiatric morbidity, which was comparable to other studies from India reporting morbidity of 30 to 60%.¹⁰⁻¹⁵ Although we didn't study the longitudinal development or causation of psychiatric morbidity among the study participants, the percentage is well above the baseline mental health issues in this region.³⁶ So presumably the presence of mental health issues could be due to the effects of infertility-related direct and indirect stress.³⁷ Since perceived support has been shown to mitigate the effect of psychological distress, and it is an important tool to deal with the stress related to infertility, fair/strong social support in most cases could explain the non-development of mental health problems in half of the cases.³⁸⁻⁴⁰

Further our study population had controls matched with respect to most of the sociodemographic variables, social support, and quality of life could be reliably evaluated to understand the effect of infertility on mental well-being. Quality of life in our patients was assessed by Fertility-QoL, which is an instrument specific to assess the living condition in case of fertility-related issues.^{20,41} The overall QoL scores were significantly lower in the infertile group compared to the control group. Previous studies from India and other countries have shown similar results with significantly lower values of total Ferti QoL scores in infertile women.^{14,15,42}

Among both cases and controls, the QoL scores seemed to be

unaffected by the presence of psychiatric morbidity. Further infertility seemed to be an independent variable affecting QoL scores regardless of associated psychiatric morbidity. However, Sule observed that quality of life scores in couples with infertility was affected by the presence of psychiatric morbidity. The respective total QoL score in Sule's and our study were 55.8 and 69.8 vs 52.5 and 66.5 with and without psychiatric morbidity. In either of these studies the QoL scores in infertile women were well below benchmark (75) regardless of morbidity. Further Sule did not compare it with control group, which would have checked the effect of infertility.¹¹

Emotional and mind-body domains consistently and significantly scored lower even in absence of psychiatric morbidity which suggests that infertility could be an independent variable that affects these domains of QoL. This finding was in agreement with many studies conducted worldwide including the original developmental study of FertiQoL by Boivin *et al.*^{19,43,44} Desai et al while studying the Indian population also observed that the emotional domain is the worst affected by infertility-related issues.¹⁴ However Singh et al in Patna city observed a lower social domain score in infertile women from a predominant urban sample.⁴⁵

Our case group scored better in the social and relational domains as compared to the mind-body and emotional domains. This might be because infertile women usually receive more care and social support leading to a better sense of social well-being. As has been observed that good social support helps to mediate stress and maintain social and interpersonal areas of the human psyche.⁴⁶ A significant role is also played by the intact societal and family fabric present in this part of the world.⁴⁷ Since social and relation domains are directly related to the presence of social support and good interpersonal relationships, these scores remained consistently in the normal range even if some of these females had mental health morbidity.

Limitations and recommendations:

The sample size was modest which restricted the statistical power to conclude. The sample taken during a year could be confounded by the environmental and financial factors prevailing during that year. The cross-sectional design of our study did not enable us to understand whether the psychiatric morbidity truly was a result of the experience of infertility. The direct comparison of low QoL versus morbidity as a consequence of infertility was not studied. Further research is required, including a diverse sample and longitudinal design to establish the temporal association with greater certainty vis a vis change in QoL score.

CONCLUSIONS:

Our study emphasizes the role of more qualitative instruments like Ferti-QoL in studying the well-being of infertile women. Even in absence of psychiatric morbidity, the QoL score could still predict mental well-being in fertility-related issues. Many of these females, although well-maintained in social and interpersonal relations, may suffer in emotional and psychological aspects of health.

Infertile females score lower in QoL even without the development of obvious psychiatric morbidity. Rather than looking for psychiatric morbidity, focusing on overall well-being with instruments like Ferti QoL could be a better reflection of mental well-being. It also reflects the unmet mental health needs of this section.

DECLARATIONS:

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

Ethical approval for study was taken from the Ethics Committee of Government Medical College Anantnag. Written Informed consent to participate was taken from all participants and or their legal guardians. No human

experiments were carried out during the study. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication: NA

Availability of data and materials:

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to the fact that it belongs to a hospital database and its public availability could compromise the confidentiality of participants and other patients registered in the database. However, this data can be made available from the corresponding author on reasonably serious request.

Authors' contributions:

Mansoor , Seema and Nawaz conceived the idea. Junaid, Tajali, Pinki, Nawaz collected the data... Mansoor , Seema, Tajali and Nawaz analysed the data... all the authors contributed in writing the manuscript and all of them reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests: none declared

Funding: nil

Acknowledgements/support: nil

REFERENCES:

1. Khodakarami N, Hashemi S, Sedigh S, Hamidi M, Taheripannah R. The experience of living with infertility: a phenomenological study. *The fertility and infertility quarterly*. Winder. 2009;10(4):287-9.
2. World Health Organization (WHO). International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-11) Geneva:WHO 2018. <https://www.who.int/standards/classifications/classification-of-diseases>. Accessed 2022 June 12.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Public Health Action Plan for the Detection, Prevention, and Management of Infertility. Atlanta, Georgia: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; June 2014. https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/infertility/pdf/drh_nap_final_50.pdf. Accessed 2022 Sep 19.
4. Chambers GM, Dyer S, Zegers-Hochschild F, de Mouzon J, Ishihara O, Banker M, Mansour R, Kupka MS, Adamson GD. International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies world report: assisted reproductive technology, 2014. *Human Reproduction*. 2021 Nov; 36(11):2921-34.
5. Boivin J, Bunting L, Collins JA, et al. international estimates of infertility prevalence and treatment-seeking: potential need and demand for infertility medical care. *Hum Reprod*. 2007;22:1506-1512.
6. De Berardis D, Mazza M, Marini S, Del Nibletto L, Serroni N, Pino MC, Valchera A, Ortolani C, Ciarrocchi F, Martinotti G, Di Giannantonio M. Psychopathology, emotional aspects and psychological counseling in infertility: a review. *Clin Ter*. 2014 Jan 1;165(3):163-9.
7. Swift A, Reis P, Swanson M. Infertility-related stress and quality of life in women experiencing concurrent reproductive trauma. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2021 Dec 17:1-6.
8. Alaami M, Amanati SS, Hagani H, Ramazanzade F. Factors influencing quality of life among infertility women. *IJN*. 2009;21(56):27-35
9. Farrokh Eslamlou HR, Haji Shafina M, et al. The effect of primary infertility on the quality of life of women of Orumieh, Iran. *Oroumieh Med J*. 2014; 25(598):604-7.
10. Patel A, Sharma PS, Narayan P, Binu VS, Dinesh N, Pai PJ. Prevalence and predictors of infertility-specific stress in women diagnosed with primary infertility: A clinic-based study. *Journal of human reproductive sciences*. 2016 Jan;9(1):28.
11. Sule R, Gupte SG. Study of psychiatric morbidity in infertile women. *MVP Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2015 Jun 1:30-3.
12. Sethi P, Sharma A, Goyal LD, Kaur G. Prevalence of psychiatric morbidity in females amongst infertile couples-A hospital based report. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research:JCDDR*. 2016 Jul;10(7):VC04.
13. Verma P, Rastogi R, Sachdeva S, Gandhi R, Kapoor R, Sachdeva S. Psychiatric morbidity in infertility patients in a tertiary care setup. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research:JCDDR*. 2015 Sep;9(9):VC01.
14. Desai HJ, Gundabattula SR. Quality of life in Indian women with fertility problems as assessed by the FertiQoL questionnaire: A single center cross sectional study. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol*. 2019;40:82-7.
15. Huppelschoten AG, van Dongen AJ, Philipse IC, Hamilton CJ, Verhaak CM, Nelen WL, et al. Predicting dropout in fertility care: A longitudinal study on patient-centredness. *Hum Reprod*. 2013;28:2177-86.
16. Steffen Dalgard. The Oslo 3-items social support scale. *childbearing age—A life course perspective. BMC Public Health* 11(1):745
17. Janca A, Drimmelen JV, Dittmann V, Isaac M, Ustun TB, World Health Organization. ICD-10 symptom checklist for mental disorders. World Health Organization; 1994.
18. Skevington SM, Lotfy M, O'Connell KA. The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL group. *Qual Life Res*. 2004;13(2):299-310
19. Boivin J, Takefman J, Braverman A. The fertility quality of life (FertiQoL) tool: development and general psychometric properties. *Human Reproduction*.

- 2011 Aug 1;26(8):2084-91.
20. Yaghmaei F, Mohammadi S, Alavimajd H. Developing "Quality of Life in Infertile Couples Questionnaire" and Measuring its Psychometric Properties. *J Reprod Infertil*. 2009;10(2):137-43.
21. Infertility WH. A tabulation of available data on prevalence of primary and secondary infertility. Programme on material and child health and family planning division of family health. Geneva:World Health Organization. 1991.
22. Hammerli K, Hansjorg Z, Barth J. The efficacy of psychological interventions for infertile patients: A meta-analysis examining mental health and pregnancy rate. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2009;15:279-295.
23. Dyer SJ. Psychological and social aspects of infertility in developing countries. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2009;107 (Suppl.):S25-S26.
24. Cousineau TM, Domar AD. Psychological impact of infertility. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2007;21:293-308.
25. Upkong D, Orji E. Nijerya'daki Infertil Kadinlarda Ruh Saçiligi [Mental health of infertile women in Nigeria]. *Turk Psikiyatri Derg*. 2006 Winter;17(4):259-65.
26. Noorbala A, Ramezanzadeh F, Abedi-Nia N, Naghizadeh MM, Haghollahi F. Prevalence of psychiatric disorders and types of personality in fertile and infertile women. *J Reprod Infertil*. 2009;9:350-360.
27. Lechner L, Bolman C, van Dalen A. Definite involuntary childlessness: associations between coping, social support and psychological distress. *Human reproduction*. 2007 Jan 1;22(1):288-94.
28. Herrmann D, Scherg H, Verres R, Von Hagens C, Strowitzki T, Wischmann T. Resilience in infertile couples acts as a protective factor against infertility-specific distress and impaired quality of life. *Journal of assisted reproduction and genetics*. 2011 Nov;28(11):1111-7.
29. Wadadekar GS, Inamdar DB, Nimbargi VR. Assessment of impact of infertility & its treatment on quality of life of infertile couples using fertility quality of life questionnaire. *Journal of Human Reproductive Sciences*. 2021 Jan;14(1):3.
30. Borumandnia N, Alavi Majd H, Khadembashi N, Alahi H. Worldwide trend analysis of primary and secondary infertility rates over past decades: A cross-sectional study. *Int J Reprod Biomed*. 2022 Feb 18;20(1):37-46
31. Benksim, A., Elkhoudri, N., Addi, R. A., Baali, A., & Cherkaoui, M. (2018). Difference between Primary and Secondary Infertility in Morocco: Frequencies and Associated Factors. *International Journal of Fertility & Sterility*, 12(2), 142-146.
32. Khodarahimi S, Hosseinmirzaei S, Bruna MM. The role of infertility in mental health, psychological distress and sexual dysfunction in a sample of Iranian women. *Women Ther*. 2014;37(1-2):178-94.
33. Rooney KL, Domar AD. The relationship between stress and infertility. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience*. 2022 Apr 1.
34. Sharma DB, Gupta V, Saxena K, Shah UM, Singh US. Role of Faith healers: A barrier or a support system to medical care- a cross sectional study. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*. 2020 Aug;9(8):4298.
35. Khayata GM, Rizk DE, Hasan MY, Ghazal-Aswad S, Asaad MA. Factors influencing the quality of life of infertile women in United Arab Emirates. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*. 2003 Feb 1;80(2):183-8.
36. Housen T, Lenglet A, Ariti C, Shah S, Shah H, Ara S, Viney K, James S, Pintaldi C. Prevalence of anxiety, depression and post-traumatic stress disorder in the Kashmir Valley. *BMJ global health*. 2017 Oct 1;2(4):e000419.
37. Rooney KL, Domar AD. The relationship between stress and infertility. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience*. 2022 Apr 1.
38. Gibson DM. The effect of social coping resources and growth-fostering relationships on infertility stress in women. *The University Of North Carolina At Greensboro*; 2000.
39. Qadir F, Khalid A, Medhin G. Social support, marital adjustment, and psychological distress among women with primary infertility in Pakistan. *Women & health*. 2015 May 19;55(4):432-46.
40. Durgun Ozan Y, Duman M. Relationships between the perceived social support and adjustment to infertility in women with unsuccessful infertility treatments, Turkey-2017. *J Nurs Midwifery Sci* 2020; 7:99-104.
41. Dural O, Yasa C, Keyif B, Celiksoy H, Demiral I, Yuksel Ozgor B, Gungor Ugurlucan F, Bastu E. Effect of infertility on quality of life of women: a validation study of the Turkish FertiQoL. *Human Fertility*. 2016 Jul 2;19(3):18691.
42. Huppelschoten AG, van Dongen AJ, Verhaak CM, Smeenk JM, Kremer JA, Nelen WL. Differences in quality of life and emotional status between infertile women and their partners. *Hum Reprod*. 2013;28:2168-76.
43. Peterson BD, Newton CR, Rosen KH, Skaggs GE. Gender differences in how men and women who are referred for IVF cope with infertility stress. *Hum Reprod*. 2006;21:2443-9.
44. Peterson BD, Newton CR, Feingold T. Anxiety and sexual stress in men and women undergoing infertility treatment. *Fertil Steril*. 2007;88:911-4.
45. Singh K, Shashi K, Rajshree K, Sinha S, Bharti G. Assessment of depression, anxiety and stress among Indian infertile couples in a tertiary health care centre in Bihar. *International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2020 Feb 1;9(2):659-66.
46. Amir M, Horesh N, Lin-Stein T. Infertility and adjustment in women: The effects of attachment style and social support. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings*. 1999 Dec;6(4):463-79.
47. Kumar D. Prevalence of female infertility and its socioeconomic factors in tribal communities of Central India. *Rural and Remote health*. 2007 May 1;7(2):1-5.