PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume - 12 | Issue - 08 | August - 2023 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

ARTPEN		ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER			General Surgery		
		CON OUT VEN REPA FEM	IPARATIVE STUDY OF SHOI COMES OF LAPROSCOPIC TRAL ABDOMINAL WALL H MR EXCLUDING GROIN ( II ORAL ) HERNIA IN TERTIA	RT TERM VERSUS OPEN ERNIA MESH NGUINAL AND RY CARE HOSPITAL.	<b>KEY WORDS:</b> Ventral Hernia, Rives Stoppa, Sublay Repair		
Dr P.S. Karmarkar			Professor of General Surgery, BJ Govt Medical College and Sassoon General Hospital, Pune				
Dr Kiran Ghuge*		ıge*	General Surgery Resident, BJ Govt Medical College and Sassoon General Hospital, Pune *Corresponding Author				
Dr Gautham Bhat		Bhat	General Surgery Resident, BJ Govt Medical College and Sassoon General Hospital, Pune				
ABSTRACT	<ul> <li>Primary: To compare perioperative complication of open repair with laproscopic repair of ventral hernia i.e</li> <li>1. Tissue or organ damage (bowel, bladder)</li> <li>2. Surgical site infection</li> <li>3. Wound seroma / hematoma</li> <li>4. Wound dehiscence/ gap</li> <li>5. Postoperative pain</li> <li>Secondary: To analyze and compare the parameters of</li> <li>1. Duration of surgery</li> <li>2. Postoperative hospitalization stay</li> <li>3. Mortality / morbidity</li> <li>4. Age</li> <li>Methods:</li> <li>Patients admitted from MARCH 2021 to FEBRUARY 2022 with ventral abdominal hernia excluding groin ( inguinal and femoral ) hernia in department of general surgery , in a tertiary care hospital are included in study . by systemic random sampling those patient divided in two group</li> <li>(A) Group : Patients who are considered for laproscopic ventral hernia repair</li> <li>(B) Group : Another group of patients who are considered for open ventral hernia repair</li> <li>(B) Group in the wound infection and hematoma were relatively less in laproscopic group.</li> </ul>						
INTR	ODUCTION:	of the m	ogt gommon gyrgigal problemg	OBJECTIVES	oprionorativo complication of onon		
A her protra to be abdo protra	al Hernias is one nia is when a vis udes through a ho contained in. <i>P</i> minal wall throug ude(1). Due to w	of the m cus or a ole in the A ventra gh whic eak spo	a portion of a viscus abnormally e wall of the cavity it is supposed al hernia is an opening in the the bowel loops, fat, or omentum ots in the abdominal wall where port support it ventral bernias	Primary: To compare p repair with laparoscopic Tissue or organ damage ( Surgical site infection Wound seroma / hematon Wound dehiscence/ gap Postoperative pain	perioperative complication of open repair of ventral hernia i.e. (bowel, bladder) ma		
develop. (2) The primary or congenital (umbilical,							
(incisional) hernias are disting			guished by the European Hernia	Duration of surgery	nd compare the study for		
Socie lapar for n conve	ty. Ventral hernia oscopic approac nesh placemer entional ventral h	repair hes with it. The ernia re	can be performed by open and h multitude of options available planes of placing mesh in pair are:	Postoperative hospitaliza Mortality / morbidity Age	ation stay		
Onlay – The mesh lies superficial to the anterior rectus s				MATERIALS AND MET	HODS		
Inlay – The mesh is trimmed to the size of the sheath defect and is sutured to the edges				It is a prospective comp consecutive patients me required sample size is at	arative study to be conducted on all eting the inclusion criteria, until the ttained.		
Sublay – The mesh is placed deep to the defect, either re rectus or inter-muscular, but always superficial to peritoneum				<b>Research site:</b> Cases admitted to vario Government Hospital.	us surgical wards in a Tertiary Care		
Underlay – The mesh is placed intra-peritoneally Sample size:							
This lapar	study compared oscopic versus oj	d the s penmes	hort-term outcomes following shrepair of Ventral Hernia.	$N = [Z^{2} (1-a) * P * Q] / [D^{2}]$ $Z^{2} (1-a) = 1.96$ $P = Percentage change = 0 = 100 - P = 97^{0/2}$	3%		
AIMS	AND OBJECTI	VES:		D=Experimental error =	5%		
To co: ventra hospi	mpare short term al abdominal wa tal.	autcor all hern	nes of laparoscopic versus open ia mesh repair in tertiary care	Substituting the values i size is 46 patients.Total Sa surgeries as per the ran	n the formula, the minimum sample ample size is divided in to 2 groups of ndom sampling technique by using		

48

\_

# PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume - 12 | Issue - 08 |August - 2023 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

#### computerized sampling.

a.Number of patients: 23 patients underwent open hernia mesh repair and 23 patients underwent laparoscopic hernia mesh repair. Type of mesh used was determined by the operating surgeon.

A Group: 23 patients who underwent laparoscopic ventral hernia repair

B Group: 23 patients who underwent open ventral hernia repair.

# **b.Intervention:**

Patient admitted from MARCH 2021 to OCTOBER 2022 with ventral abdominal hernia in the Department of General Surgery, in a tertiary care hospital, have been included in the study. Patient underwent all routine investigations and by systemic random sampling those patients were divided in two group.

In both laparoscopic and open approach, mesh was placed in the retro rectus plane, i.e., between rectus muscle and posterior rectus sheath.

#### **Study duration:**

Study period was from MARCH 2021 to OCTOBER 2022

# Patient selection:

# **Inclusion Criteria**

- Patients planning to undergo surgery for ventral hernia
- Willing and able to comply with study follow-up procedures.
- Willing to provide written informed consent
- Age 18-70 years

# **Exclusion Criteria**

- Patient not willing for study
- Patient not fit for anaesthesia
- Patients with inguinal or femoral or any other hernia other than ventral hernia
- Pregnant females
- Obese patients
- Patients with recurrent, obstructed, and strangulated hernias

# Surgical technique:

# **Open Retro Rectus Mesh Repair**

After making sure patient is adequately fit for anaesthesia, patient was taken to operation theatre and was induced and put under general anaesthesia. Foleys catheter was inserted in patients with lower abdominal ventral hernia and nasogastric tube for upper abdominal hernia repair with perioperative single dose third generation cephalosporin was administered intravenously. Under strict aseptic precautions parts painted and draped.

Midline skin incision was made, sac was identified and dissected all around. Linea alba was opened along its length. Hernia was reduced. Posterior rectus sheath was opened on both sides longitudinally. A space was created in the retro rectus plane, between rectus muscle and posterior rectus sheath for the deployment of mesh. Posterior rectus sheath of both sides was approximated together using Prolene 1. A 30cm x 30cm prolene mesh was placed in the space created. Subcutaneous tissue and skin were closed.

# Laparoscopic ventral hernia repair:

Pneumoperitoneum was created using a Veress needle. A 10mm port and two or three 5mm working ports were placed based on the site of the hernia. After reduction of hernial contents, posterior rectus sheath open on bilateral site till linea semilunaris and below till pelvis ,posterior defect closed with vicryl 2-0 ,a dual mesh was placed with a 5cm overlap beyond the margins of the defect. The mesh was secured to the anterior abdominal wall with metallic tacks. In larger defects, the mesh was first secured using transfascial sutures.posterior rectus along with peritoneum close with prolene suture.The skin was closed by Polyamide sutures.



Open hernia repair steps



Laparoscopic steps.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:** 1.Site and Type of hernia

In a similar study done, Paraumbilical hernias were the most common in both groups, which accounted for 71.6% of all patients involved in our study. Incisional hernias were the second most common (21.7%) followed by epigastric hernias, which were the least common (6.7%). (4) This was similar to our findings, where 36% cases were primary and the rest were incisional hernia. Epigastric and paraumbilical were the most common sites.

Row Labels	Count of Site
Epigastric	15
Hypochondriac	3
Lumbar	1
Midline	7
Others	13
Paraumbilical	3
Suprapubic	4
Grand Total	46



# PARIPEX - INDIAN JOURNAL OF RESEARCH | Volume - 12 | Issue - 08 |August - 2023 | PRINT ISSN No. 2250 - 1991 | DOI : 10.36106/paripex

#### 2. Organ damage

There were two reported cases of bladder injury and 1 case of serosal tear in our study. Basukala et al showed a total incidence of 3.1% of intraoperative bowel and bladder injury which was higher than that of the previously conducted studies. A literature review by LeBlanc et al(5). reported the incidence of bowel injury among 1.78% patients of the 3925 patients undergoing LVHR. Incisional hernias are associated with greater risk of adhesions requiring adhesiolysis, making bowel and bladder susceptible to injury. Out of four patients who faced intraoperative bowel/bladder injury, none of them developed surgical site infection in the study by Basukala et al.



#### 3.Seroma

Our study showed that there is more likelihood of seroma formation in Open RS group.

A study was conducted to determine seroma rates. Group A (n=28): ePTFE dual mesh patch secured intraperitoneally by full-thickness stitches and endoscopic tacks to cover the hernia defect and to overlap healthy margins. Group B (n=52): The same technique as in group A, but the hernia sac was cauterized by monopolar cautery (n=5) or Harmonic scalpel (n=47). It showed significantly fewer total seromas occurred in group B compared with group A (P=0.004). Cauterization of the hernia sac and a central full-thickness suture to reduce dead space seems to prevent seroma. This technique combined with a large patch to cover at least 4 cm of healthy margins and the surgeon's experience may be sufficient to prevent recurrences in laparoscopic ventral hernioplasty. (55,56)

#### 4.Wound dehiscence

There was no difference seen in our study. However as per a study by Magdy et al, postoperative seroma following laparoscopic repair accounted for 30 versus 10% following open repair. Four patients developed wound infection, three of them in the open repair group (15%) and one of them in the laparoscopic repair group (5%). Recurrence rates were 10% in laparoscopic repair versus 5% in the open repair. Three (15%) cases in open group and one (5%) case in laparoscopic group had postoperative ileus and were managed conservatively. No vascular nor bowel injuries were reported in both groups of this study.(6)

#### 5.Mortality

There was no difference in mortality. This is in line with other similar studies.

#### 6. Duration of surgery

The mean duration of surgery was not significantly different between the 2 groups (P=0.15). This is contrary to our findings where open surgery was quicker. This is probably due to early learning curve.(7)

# 7.Postoperative pain

A study done by Rogmark et al showed that postoperative pain or recovery at 3 weeks after repair of midline incisional hernias does not differ between Laparoscopic Repair and Open Repair, but the Laparoscopic Repair results in better physical function and less surgical site infections than the Open Repair does.

Our study showed better pain tolerance in the laparoscopic group.(8,9)

#### 8.Hospital stay

A study by Navarra et al showed that Time to oral solid food intake was longer in the open group (P=0.002). The analgesic requirement was lower in the laparoscopic group (P=0.05). One patient after open surgery and 2 in the laparoscopic group suffered postoperative complications (P=0.71). Postoperative stay was shorter in the laparoscopic group (P=0.006). No readmission or recurrence was registered within 6 months from surgery in either group. Laparoscopic incisional hernia repair, based on the Rives-Stoppa technique, is a safe, feasible alternative to open techniques. However, larger studies and long-term follow-up are required to further evaluate the true effectiveness of this operation.

#### 9.Blood loss

Studies have shown that there is no significant difference in blood loss between laparoscopic and open hernia repair. Both techniques can result in some degree of blood loss, but this is usually minimal and can be effectively managed through proper surgical techniques and the use of blood transfusions when necessary.

#### CONCLUSION:

Laparoscopic ventral hernia repair carries a significant advantage over open hernia repair, especially in terms of reduced postoperative pain, duration of hospital stay, and early resumption of normal activity.

Our study showed higher rate of complications like bladder injury in LVHR compared to open repair, wheras surgical site infection, seroma and hematoma where more common in open repair as compared with LVHR.

A low rate of conversion to laparotomy, minimal perioperative morbidity, and the absence of perioperative mortality in this series indicate the safety of LVHR in obese patients with complex hernias. In addition, a high success rate suggests improved efficacy of LVHR compared with the historical rates among control subjects undergoing open surgery. In experienced hands, LVHR may be the approach of choice for most patients.

#### **REFERENCES:**

- Faylona JM. Evolution of ventral hernia repair. Asian journal of endoscopic 1. ray101a jpt. protator. c. -surgery.2017 Aug;10(3):252-8 Hoef SV, Tollens T. Primary non-complicated midline ventral hernia: is
- 2. laparoscopic IPOM still a reasonable approach? Hernia. 2019 Oct;23(5): 915-25
- Sajid MS, Bokhari SA, Mallick AS, of ... ECTA, undefined 2009. Laparoscopic versus open repair of incisional/ventral hernia: a meta-analysis. Elsevier [Internet]. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/ pii/S0002961008003243
- Bittner R, Bingener-Casey J, Dietz U, Fabian M, Ferzli GS, Fortelny RH, et al. 4. Guidelines for laparoscopic treatment of ventral and incisional abdominal wall hernias (International Endohernia Society (IEHS) - Part 1. Surgical Endoscopy.2014;28(1):2-29.
- Luijendijk RW, Hop WCJ, Tol MP van den, Lange DCD de, Braaksma MMJ, IJzermans JNM, et al. A Comparison of Suture Repair with Mesh Repair for 5. Incisional Hernia. New England Journal of Medicine. 2000 Aug;343(6):392-8. 6.
- Franklin JE, Gonzalez JJ, Glass JL, Manjarrez A. Laparoscopic ventral and incisional hernia repair: An 11-year experience. Hernia. 2004 Feb;8(1):23-7.
- Belyansky I, Daes J, Radu VG, Balasubramanian R, Zahiri HR, Weltz AS, et al. A 7. novel approach using the enhanced-view totally extraperitoneal (eTEP) technique for laparoscopic retromuscular hernia repair. Springer. 2018 Mar;32(3):1525–32.
- Drake Richard L, Wayne Vogl, Adam W. M. Mitchell, Henry Gray. Gray's Anatomy for Students [Internet]. 4th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier/Churchill Livingstone.; 2005 [cited 2022 Apr 29]. Available from: https://www.elsevier. com/books/grays-anatomy-for-students/drake/978-0-323-39304-1 Criss CN, Petro CC, Krpata DM, Seafler CM, Lai N, Fiutem J, et al. Functional
- abdominal wall reconstruction improves core physiology and quality-of-life. Elsevier.2014;156:176-82.

50