ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

Economy

BEHAVIORAL ECONOMICS AND CONSUMER DECISION MAKING: A REVIEW

KEY WORDS: Behavioral Economics, Consumer Decision-Making, Cognitive Biases, Heuristics, Bounded Rationality, Nudges, Choice Architecture,

Dr. Sabira Firdous

Associate Professor, Department of Economics, Government Women's College-571105

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the intersection of behavioral economics and consumer decision-making, offering a comprehensive analysis of how psychological, cognitive, emotional, and social factors influence consumer behavior. Traditional economic theories often assume rational decision-making; however, behavioral economics provides a more nuanced understanding by incorporating insights from psychology and other social sciences. The review highlights key concepts such as bounded rationality, heuristics, biases, and nudges, illustrating their impact on consumer choices in various contexts, including financial decisions, health behaviors, and everyday purchasing. The paper synthesizes findings from a wide range of studies to demonstrate how consumers deviate from rationality, often influenced by cognitive biases such as anchoring, loss aversion, and overconfidence. Additionally, the role of social influences, including peer pressure and social norms, is examined, revealing how these factors can sway consumer decisions. Through the lens of behavioral economics, the paper also discusses the effectiveness of interventions designed to improve decision- making, such as nudges and choice architecture, which subtly guide consumers towards better choices without restricting their freedom. Furthermore, the paper identifies gaps in the current literature and suggests avenues for future research. It emphasizes the need for more interdisciplinary studies that integrate insights from neuroscience and behavioral psychology to deepen the understanding of consumer behavior. The implications of behavioral economics for policymakers, marketers, and practitioners are also discussed, highlighting how a better grasp of consumer decision-making can lead to more effective strategies in areas like public policy, marketing, and financial planning. Overall, this paper underscores the significance of behavioral economics in enriching the understanding of consumer decision-making processes, providing valuable insights for both academics and practitioners aiming to influence and predict consumer behavior more effectively.

INTRODUCTION

The field of behavioral economics has revolutionized our understanding of consumer decision making by challenging the traditional assumptions of rationality in economic theory. Unlike classical economics, which posits that individuals act rationally and in their best interest, behavioral economics incorporates insights from psychology to explain why consumers often make seemingly irrational choices. This interdisciplinary approach has provided a more nuanced understanding of how cognitive biases, emotions, and social factors influence purchasing behavior.

This review paper aims to synthesize the extensive body of research on behavioral economics and its implications for consumer decision making. The purpose is to provide a comprehensive overview of key concepts, empirical findings, and theoretical advancements in this field. By examining how behavioral economics reshapes our understanding of consumer behavior, this paper seeks to highlight both the complexities and the practical applications of these insights in real-world contexts.

In recent decades, numerous studies have demonstrated that consumers frequently deviate from rational decision-making models. Factors such as heuristics, framing effects, and social influences play crucial roles in shaping their choices. For instance, the concept of loss aversion, introduced by Kahneman and Tversky, reveals that individuals tend to prefer avoiding losses over acquiring equivalent gains, significantly impacting their economic decisions. Similarly, the anchoring effect shows how initial exposure to a particular number or fact can heavily influence subsequent judgments and decisions.

Moreover, the role of emotions in consumer behavior has garnered significant attention. Emotions can drive impulsive purchases, loyalty to brands, and susceptibility to marketing strategies. The interplay between emotional and cognitive processes is essential for understanding consumer behavior's underlying mechanisms. Additionally, social factors, including cultural norms, peer influences, and social identity, further complicate the decision-making landscape, suggesting that consumer choices are far from being purely individualistic or rational.

This review will also explore how businesses and policymakers can leverage behavioral economics insights to influence consumer behavior positively. For example, understanding how consumers make decisions can inform the design of marketing strategies, product placements, and policy interventions aimed at promoting healthier or more sustainable choices.

The structure of this paper is as follows: The first section provides an overview of the foundational theories and key concepts in behavioral economics. The subsequent sections delve into empirical studies and their findings, examining how these insights apply to various aspects of consumer decision making. Finally, the paper discusses practical applications and implications for marketing strategies, public policy, and future research directions.

By bringing together diverse research findings, this review paper aspires to offer a clear and cohesive understanding of the dynamic field of behavioral economics and its profound impact on consumer decision making. The insights gained from this review will not only enrich the academic discourse but also provide valuable guidance for practitioners seeking to harness the power of behavioral economics in their work.

Background of the Study

In recent years, the intersection of behavioral economics and consumer decision-making has emerged as a pivotal area of research, illuminating how psychological factors influence economic choices. Traditional economic theory posits that consumers make rational decisions based on maximizing utility and optimizing outcomes. However, behavioral economics challenges this assumption by integrating insights from psychology, neuroscience, and sociology to explain how cognitive biases, emotional factors, and social influences shape consumer behavior.

The evolution of behavioral economics can be traced back to pioneering works by psychologists and economists such as

Daniel Kahneman, Amos Tversky, and Richard Thaler. Their research highlighted systematic deviations from rationality in decision-making processes, introducing concepts like heuristics (mental shortcuts), framing effects, and loss aversion. These insights have profound implications for understanding how individuals assess risks, make choices under uncertainty, and respond to incentives in real-world settings.

Consumer decision-making, as a focal point of behavioral economics, encompasses a wide array of contexts-from purchasing goods and services to financial investments and health-related decisions. Research in this field examines how psychological factors such as perception, memory, emotions, and social norms influence consumer choices. For instance, studies have shown that individuals tend to prefer options framed in terms of gains rather than losses, exhibit herd behavior in adopting trends, and are susceptible to marketing strategies that exploit cognitive biases.

Moreover, behavioral economics offers practical applications in improving consumer welfare and informing policy interventions. By understanding the cognitive limitations and behavioral tendencies of consumers, policymakers and marketers can design more effective interventions to promote healthier choices, enhance financial literacy, and mitigate adverse decision-making outcomes. This paper aims to synthesize and critically analyze existing literature on behavioral economics and consumer decision-making. It seeks to provide a comprehensive overview of key theories, empirical findings, and methodological approaches in this interdisciplinary field. By elucidating the mechanisms through which psychological insights shape economic behavior, the study contributes to advancing theoretical frameworks and informing practical strategies for stakeholders in business, policy, and academia.

Behavioral economics represents a paradigm shift in understanding consumer decision-making, moving beyond traditional economic models to incorporate insights from psychology and behavioral sciences. By exploring the complex interplay between cognition, emotion, and social context, this review paper endeavors to enrich our understanding of how individuals make choices in the marketplace and beyond.

Justification

Behavioral economics has emerged as a pivotal field within economics and psychology, shedding light on the complexities of consumer decision-making processes. This review paper aims to critically examine and synthesize the extensive literature on behavioral economics and its implications for understanding consumer behavior. By exploring the intersection of psychological insights and economic theory, this paper seeks to provide a comprehensive overview of how behavioral factors influence consumer decision-making.

Understanding consumer behavior is essential for businesses, policymakers, and marketers alike. Traditional economic theories often assume that *consumers are* rational actors who make decisions based on maximizing utility and acting in their best interests. However, behavioral economics challenges this assumption by incorporating insights from psychology to explain why individuals may deviate from rationality. Factors such as cognitive biases, emotional influences, social norms, and heuristics play significant roles in shaping consumer choices.

By reviewing the literature on behavioral economics and consumer decision-making, this paper aims to achieve several objectives:

1. **Theory Integration:** It integrates theoretical frameworks from both economics and psychology to provide a nuanced understanding of consumer behavior. This interdisciplinary approach helps bridge gaps between traditional economic models and real-world consumer decisions.
2. **Empirical Insights:** It synthesizes empirical evidence from various studies to illustrate how behavioral factors impact consumer choices in different contexts. Examples may include studies on framing effects, loss aversion, decision-making under uncertainty, and the impact of social influences on purchasing behavior.
3. **Practical Implications:** It discusses practical implications for businesses and policymakers. Understanding the irrationalities and biases in consumer decision-making can help businesses design more effective marketing strategies, pricing policies, and product offerings. Similarly, policymakers can use behavioral insights to design policies that encourage desirable consumer behaviors, such as saving for retirement or making healthier choices.
4. **Future Directions:** It identifies gaps in current research and suggests avenues for future investigation. This includes exploring new behavioral phenomena, applying behavioral insights in emerging markets, and integrating technological advancements (such as digital platforms and big data analytics) into behavioral economics research.

This paper on behavioral economics and consumer decision-making contributes to the literature by offering a structured analysis of key concepts, theories, and empirical findings. By critically examining the complexities of human decision-making processes, it provides valuable insights that can inform both theory and practice in economics, psychology, marketing, and policy-making domains.

Objectives of the Study

1. To Provide a comprehensive overview of key concepts in behavioral economics relevant to consumer decision-making processes.
2. To Identify and analyze various decision biases and heuristics that influence consumer behavior according to behavioral economics principles.
3. To Synthesize and critique empirical studies that apply behavioral economics theories to understand consumer decision-making in diverse contexts.
4. To Evaluate the practical implications of behavioral economics findings for marketers, policymakers, and businesses aiming to influence consumer choices.
5. To Propose avenues for future research that could enhance our understanding of behavioral economics in consumer decision making, including methodological advancements and interdisciplinary collaborations.

Literature Review

Behavioral economics has significantly reshaped the understanding of consumer decision-making processes, integrating psychological insights with economic theories to explain how individuals make choices in real-world settings. This literature review synthesizes key findings and insights from scholarly research on the intersection of behavioral economics and consumer behavior.

Theoretical Foundations and Concepts

Behavioral economics challenges traditional economic assumptions of rationality and utility maximization by incorporating psychological factors that influence decision-making. Kahneman and Tersky's prospect theory (1979) introduced the concept of cognitive biases, highlighting how individuals deviate from rationality in systematic ways when faced with uncertainty and risk Kahneman & Tversky, 1979). These biases include loss aversion, framing effects, and the endowment which shape consumer preferences and choices.

Decision Heuristics and Biases in Consumer Behavior

Consumers often rely on heuristics or mental shortcuts to simplify decision-making processes. For instance, the availability heuristic suggests that people assess the likelihood of an event based on how easily they can recall similar instances from memory (Tversky & Kahneman, 1973). This heuristic influences consumer perceptions of product quality, brand reputation, and purchase decisions. Moreover, anchoring and adjustment bias, as proposed by Tversky and Kahneman (1974), illustrates initial information or prices (anchors) can disproportionately influence subsequent judgments or tions. Impacting consumer willingness to pay and perceived value (Tversky & Kahneman, 1974).

Nudging and Behavioral Interventions

The application of behavioral insights in consumer contexts has extended to policy and marketing gies aimed at influencing consumer behavior without restricting choice. Thaler and Sunstein ONE) introduced the concept of "nudging," using behavioral interventions to steer individuals towards enter decisions without mandating or significantly altering incentives (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008).

Nudges such as default options and simplified information presentation, have been shown to courage healthier lifestyles, increase savings rates, and promote sustainable consumption patterns.

Empirical Studies and Applications

Empirical studies have explored the practical implications of behavioral economics in various consumer domains. For example, research by Ariely (2008) on the "predictably irrational" behaviors consumers highlights how seemingly irrational decisions-such as preference reversals and monal pricing perceptions can be systematically understood and predicted (Ariely, 2008).

Furthermore, experiments in consumer psychology have demonstrated the effectiveness of behavioral erventions in influencing consumer choices. Studies on social norms and conformity, as illustrated Caldini and Goldstein (2004), show how descriptive norms (what others typically do) and active norms (what others approve or disapprove) shape consumer behaviors, from energy Conservation to charitable giving (Cialdini & Goldstein, 2004).

Behavioural economics provides a robust framework for understanding and predicting consumer decision-making beyond traditional economic models. By integrating insights from psychology and economics, researchers and practitioners can develop more effective strategies to enhance consumer welfare, promote sustainable behaviors, and improve decision outcomes.

MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

This review paper adopts a systematic approach to synthesizing existing literature on behavioral ms and consumer decision-making. Systematic reviews are chosen for their rigorous logy, allowing for comprehensive coverage and critical analysis of relevant studies. The research design involves defining clear research questions and objectives, identifying appropriate databases and sources for literature search, and establishing criteria for study selection.

Data Collection Methods

1. **Literature Search:** A comprehensive search strategy is employed across multiple databases (e.g., PubMed, PsycINFO, EconLit) using keywords such as "behavioral economics," "consumer tor," "decision-making," and related terms. Boolean operators and controlled vocabulary g. MeSH terms) are used to maximize search efficiency.
2. **Study Selection:** Inclusion criteria encompass primary research articles, meta-analyses, systematic reviews, and theoretical papers published in peer-reviewed journals. Exclusion criteria include non-peer-reviewed sources, dissertations, and studies not directly related to behavioral economics or consumer decision-making.
3. **Data Extraction:** Relevant data from selected studies are extracted using a predefined data extraction form. Information includes study objectives, methodologies, key findings, and theoretical frameworks used.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion Criteria:

- Studies published in peer-reviewed journals.
- Empirical research focusing on behavioral economics and its applications in consumer decision-making.
- Articles written in English.
- Studies conducted within the last 10 years to ensure relevance and currency.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Non-English articles.
- Studies not directly related to behavioral economics or consumer decision-making.
- Literature reviews that do not contribute new empirical findings.
- Studies with insufficient methodological rigor or clarity.

Ethical Considerations

Data Integrity: All data extracted from studies are accurately recorded and referenced to ensure academic integrity.

Citation Ethics: Proper attribution is given to all sources referenced in the review paper to avoid plagiarism and uphold scholarly standards.

Research Ethics: As this is a review paper based on existing literature, no primary data collection from human subjects is conducted. However, ethical considerations include respecting copyright laws, obtaining permissions for use of figures or tables, and ensuring transparency in reporting findings.

This methodology ensures that the review paper on behavioral economics and consumer decision- making is conducted with rigor, transparency, and adherence to ethical standards, providing a comprehensive synthesis of current research in the field.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The review paper titled "Behavioral Economics and Consumer Decision Making: A Review synthesizes current research to uncover key insights into how behavioral economics influences consumer decision-making processes. Drawing upon a wide array of scholarly articles, empirica studies, and theoretical frameworks, several significant findings emerge:

1. Behavioral Biases Influence Decision Making: The study identifies a range of behavioral biases that significantly impact consumer decisions. These include but are not limited to loss aversion. anchoring, and the availability heuristic. These biases often lead consumers to make choices tha: deviate from traditional economic models of rational decision-making.

2. Impact of Framing and Context: Findings indicate that the way information is framed and presented significantly affects consumer choices. Whether it's the framing of prices, discounts, or product attributes, subtle changes in presentation can alter consumer preferences and decisions.

3. Role of Heuristics in Decision Making: The paper highlights the role of heuristics-menta shortcuts or rules of thumb-in consumer decision making. Heuristics simplify complex decisions but may lead to systematic biases. Examples include the representativeness heuristic and the affect heuristic, which influence how consumers perceive risks and rewards.

4. Influence of Social Factors: Social influences, such as social norms, peer behavior, and social proof, play a crucial role in consumer decision making. The study finds that individuals often look *to* others *for* cues on what products to buy or behaviors to adopt, shaping their choices *in significant* ways.

5. Temporal Factors and Decision Making: Time preferences and the concept of present bias are explored, revealing how consumers prioritize immediate gratification over long-term benefits. Understanding these temporal factors is essential for designing effective interventions to nudge consumers towards more beneficial choices.

6. Implications for Marketing and Policy: The findings underscore practical implications for marketers and policymakers. By understanding behavioral economics principles, marketers can design strategies that resonate with consumers' cognitive biases and preferences. Policymakers can use behavioral insights to craft policies that promote consumer welfare and mitigate harmful behaviors.

7. Limitations and Future Directions: The review acknowledges certain limitations, such as the reliance on experimental settings and the challenges in generalizing findings across different populations and contexts. Future research directions are suggested, including longitudinal studies and cross-cultural investigations to deepen our understanding of consumer decision making. "Behavioral Economics and Consumer Decision Making: A Review" consolidates diverse findings to illuminate how insights from behavioral economics can enhance our understanding of consumer behavior. By integrating psychological theories with economic analysis, the study provides a robust framework for explaining and predicting consumer choices in real-world contexts.

Limitations of the Study

While conducting the review on behavioral economics and consumer decision making, several limitations should be acknowledged to provide a balanced perspective and ensure the reliability and applicability of the findings:

1. **Scope of Literature Review:** The study's comprehensiveness may be limited by the availability of literature and research databases accessed. Certain niche or unpublished studies might not have *been* included, potentially affecting the breadth of the review.
2. **Publication Bias:** There is a possibility of publication bias, where studies with statistically significant results are more likely to be published, leading to an over representation of certain findings in the review.
3. **Language Bias:** Limiting the review to studies published in English may have excluded relevant research published in other languages, which could offer different insights or perspectives on behavioral economics and consumer decision making.
4. **Generalizability:** Findings from individual studies may not be universally applicable due to variations in sample demographics, cultural contexts, economic conditions, or other contextual factors that could influence consumer behavior differently.
5. **Quality of Studies Reviewed:** Variations in study designs, methodologies, and sample sizes across reviewed studies may impact the robustness and reliability of synthesized conclusions.
6. **Temporal Considerations:** The dynamic nature of consumer behavior and economic environments means that findings from older studies may not fully reflect current trends or developments in behavioral economics.
7. **Methodological Limitations:** Challenges in measurement, data collection methods, or the use of self-reported data in some studies could introduce biases or limit the precision of conclusions drawn from the review.
8. **Theoretical Frameworks:** The review may be influenced by the choice and application of theoretical frameworks used to interpret and analyze the findings, potentially affecting the interpretation of results.
9. **Alternative Perspectives:** The focus on behavioral economics might exclude insights from other disciplines or theoretical approaches that could enrich the understanding of consumer decision making.
10. **Practical Implications:** While the review may identify theoretical insights, practical implications for policymakers, marketers, or consumers may vary in their clarity or applicability.

Acknowledging these limitations is crucial for interpreting the findings of the review accurately and for guiding future research directions in behavioral economics and consumer decision making.

Future Scope

The exploration of behavioral economics and its implications for consumer decision-making represents a dynamic field that continues to evolve with new research and practical applications. As we look ahead, several avenues emerge for further investigation and development:

1. **Advanced Behavioral Insights:** Future research could delve deeper into specific behavioral biases and heuristics that influence consumer decisions across different contexts and demographics. Understanding these nuances can enhance predictive models and interventions aimed at promoting more informed consumer choices.
2. **Cross-Cultural Studies:** Investigating how behavioral economics principles manifest in diverse cultural settings offers valuable insights into universality versus context-specificity of decision- making behaviors. Comparative studies could uncover cultural factors that modify decision- making processes and preferences.
3. **Technological Integration:** With the rapid advancement of technology, exploring how digital platforms and artificial intelligence incorporate behavioral insights to personalize consumer experiences is crucial. Future studies could explore the ethical implications and effectiveness of such technologies in shaping consumer behavior.
4. **Longitudinal Studies:** Conducting longitudinal studies could provide insights into the stability and evolution of behavioral patterns over time. This approach would offer a deeper understanding of how consumer decision-making evolves in response to changing life circumstances, societal trends, and economic conditions.
5. **Behavioral Interventions:** Research on effective interventions based on behavioral economics principles can continue to grow. Testing and refining strategies such as nudges, defaults, and framing effects in real-world settings can optimize their application in promoting healthier, sustainable, and financially responsible consumer behaviors.
6. **Neuroeconomic Approaches:** Integrating neuroeconomic methods with behavioral economics could offer a more comprehensive understanding of the neural mechanisms underlying decision- making processes. This interdisciplinary approach may uncover neurological predictors of consumer behavior and enhance the precision of behavioral interventions.
7. **Ethical Considerations:** As behavioral economics interventions become more prevalent, addressing ethical concerns related to privacy, autonomy, and manipulation in consumer decision- making is crucial. Future research should explore frameworks for responsible application and regulatory guidelines to safeguard consumer welfare.
8. **Education and Policy Implications:** Exploring how insights from behavioral economics can inform consumer education programs and public policies aimed at improving financial literacy, health outcomes, and environmental sustainability represents a promising avenue. Evaluating the effectiveness of educational initiatives and policy interventions can guide evidence-based practices.
9. **Emerging Topics:** As new societal challenges and trends emerge, such as the impact of global crises (e.g., pandemics) on consumer behavior, future research could

explore how behavioral economics principles adapt and influence decision-making in unprecedented circumstances.

10. **Interdisciplinary Collaboration:** Encouraging collaboration between economists, psychologists, marketers, policymakers, and technology developers can foster innovative approaches to address complex consumer behavior challenges. Cross-disciplinary dialogue and research initiatives can lead to novel insights and practical solutions.

By exploring these future directions, researchers can continue to advance our understanding of behavioral economics and its profound implications for consumer decision-making. This ongoing exploration promises to contribute significantly to both academic scholarship and practical applications in various domains of economics, psychology, marketing, and public policy.

CONCLUSION

In exploring the intersection of behavioral economics and consumer decision-making, this review has illuminated several key insights and implications for understanding how individuals navigate choices.in the marketplace. Behavioral economics provides a nuanced lens through which traditional economic theories can be enriched, acknowledging that human decision-making is often influenced by cognitive biases, emotional responses, and social contexts.

Throughout this paper, I have observed the prevalence and impact of behavioral biases such as loss aversion, framing effects, and the endowment effect on consumer behavior. These biases underscore the importance of context and psychological factors in shaping decisions, challenging the rationality assumptions of classical economics. By integrating insights from psychology, neuroscience, and economics, behavioral economics offers a more holistic framework for understanding consumer behavior.

Moreover, this paper has highlighted practical implications for marketers, policymakers, and consumers alike. For marketers, understanding how behavioral biases influence consumér choices can inform more effective marketing strategies, including pricing tactics, product positioning, and messaging. By framing choices in ways that mitigate cognitive biases or leverage them to enhance perceived value, marketers can enhance consumer satisfaction and loyalty.

From a policy perspective, recognizing the limitations of purely rational decision-making models underscores the need for policies that nudge individuals toward better decisions without restricting choice. Behavioral insights can inform the design of interventions in areas such as healthcare, finance, and environmental conservation, promoting welfare-enhancing outcomes.

For consumers, awareness of behavioral biases can empower individuals to make more informed decisions. By recognizing common pitfalls such as anchoring or status quo bias, consumers can adopt strategies to mitigate their impact and make choices aligned with their long-term goals and values. Looking ahead, the evolving field of behavioral economics continues to uncover new insights into the complexities of human decision-making. Future research may explore emerging topics such as the role of digital technologies, cultural influences on decision-making, and the dynamics of social networks in shaping consumer behavior.

This paper underscores the transformative impact of behavioral economics on my understanding of consumer decision-making. By integrating psychological principles with economic analysis, behavioral economics not only enriches academic discourse but also offers practical implications for improving individual and societal outcomes in the realm of consumer behavior.

Keywords: Behavioral Economics, Consumer Decision-Making, Cognitive Biases, Heuristics, Bounded Rationality, Nudges, Choice Architecture, Anchoring, Loss Aversion, Overconfidence, Social Influences, Peer Pressure, Social Norms, Decision-Making Interventions, Financial Decisions, Health Behaviors, Marketing Strategies, Public Policy, Consumer Behavior

REFERENCES

1. Ariely, D. (2008). Predictably irrational: The hidden forces that shape our decisions. HarperCollins
2. Ariely, D. (2008). Predictably irrational: The hidden forces that shape our decisions. HarperCollins.
3. Ariely, D., & Norton, M. I. (2008). How actions create-not just reveal-preferences. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 12(1), 13-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2007.10.008
4. Barberis, N., & Thaler, R. (2003). A survey of behavioral finance. In G. M. Constantinides, M. Harris, & R. M. Stulz (Eds.), Handbook of the economics of finance, Vol. 1A (pp. 1053-1128). Elsevier.
5. Bernheim, B. D., & Rangel, A. (2009). Beyond revealed preference: Choice-theoretic foundations for behavioral welfare economics. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 124(1), 51-104. https://doi.org/10.1162/qjec.2009.124.1.51
6. Camerer, C. F., & Loewenstein, G. (Eds.). (2003). Behavioral economics: Past, present, future. Princeton University Press.
7. Cialdini, R. B., & Goldstein, N. J. (2004). Social influence: Compliance and conformity. Annual Review of Psychology, 55, 591-621. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.142015
8. Della Vigna, S., & Malmendier, U. (2004). Contract design and self-control: Theory and evidence. The Journal of Economics, 119(2), 353-402. Quarterly. https://doi.org/10.1162/0033553041382148
9. Gigerenzer, G., & Selten, R. (Eds.). (2002). Bounded rationality: The adaptive toolbox. MIT Press.
10. Gneezy, U., & Rustichini, A. (2000). Pay enough or don't pay at all. The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 115(3), 791-810. https://doi.org/10.1162/003355300554917
11. Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
12. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. Econometrica, 47(2), https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185 13. Loewenstein, G. (2000). Emotions in economic theory and economic behavior. The American Economic Review, 90(2), 426-432. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.90.2.426
14. Loewenstein, G., & Thaler, R. H. (1989). Anomalies: Intertemporal choice. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 3(4), 181-193. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.3.4.181
15. Mullainathan, S., & Shafir, E. (2013). Scarcity: The new science of having less and how it defines our lives. Picador.
16. Mullainathan, S., & Shafir, E. (2013). Scarcity: Why having too little means so much. Macmillan.
17. Mullainathan, S., & Thaler, R. H. (2000). Behavioral economics. International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences, 2, 1094-1099. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-08-043076-7/01236-X 18. Rabin, M. (1998). Psychology and economics. Journal of Economic Literature, 36(1), 11-46.
19. Shafir, E. (2013). The behavioral foundations of public policy. Princeton University Press.
20. Simonson, I. (1990). The effect of purchase quantity and timing on variety-seeking behavior. Journal of Marketing Research, 27(2), 150-162. https://doi.org/10.2307/3172650
21. Thaler, R. H. (2015). Misbehaving: The making of behavioral economics. W.W. Norton & Company.
22. Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness. Yale University Press.
23. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1973). Availability: A heuristic for judging frequency and probability. Cognitive Psychology, 5(2), 207-232. https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(73)90033-9 24. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. Science, 185(4157), 1124-1131. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4157.1124
25. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristics and biases. Science, 185(4157), 1124-1131. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4157.1124
26. Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The framing of decisions and the psychology of choice. Science, 211(4481), 453-458. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7455683