

KEY WORDS: medicolegal cases, health care professionals

Junior resident department of Emergency medicine

Associate professor department of Emergency medicine*Corresponding Author

Junior resident department of Emergency medicine

Head of department of Emergency medicine 9148467690

Background: Medico-legal documentation is a vital part of medical practice, ensuring the legal protection of both patients and healthcare professionals. Despite its importance, formal training in medico-legal documentation is often overlooked in medical curricula, especially in low- and middle-income countries like India. This study aimed to assess the awareness, training, confidence, and institutional support regarding medico-legal documentation among healthcare professionals, and to identify the need for structured medico-legal training programs.

Methodology: A cross-sectional, questionnaire-based survey was conducted among healthcare professionals, primarily doctors, across various institutions including medical colleges and private hospitals. Data were collected online using a form with standard questionnaires related to medico-legal experience, training history, documentation practices, and perceived barriers.

Results: A total of 200 responses were received (majority doctors, age group 24–30). Only a portion had ever handled a medico-legal case, and even fewer had received any formal education on medico-legal documentation. Most respondents reported low confidence in preparing medico-legal reports. The most common challenges included lack of training, fear of legal consequences, and time constraints. A significant majority expressed interest in receiving additional medico-legal training and believed that such training should be mandatory. Institutional support was perceived as insufficient, with few reporting regular training programs or refresher courses at their workplaces.

Conclusion: The findings highlight a clear gap between medico-legal responsibilities and professional preparedness. There is an urgent need to implement mandatory, structured medico-legal documentation training for healthcare professionals. Institutional support, curriculum changes, and ongoing training programs are recommended to strengthen medico-legal competency in clinical practice.

QUESTIONNAIRES:
 Section 1:Demographic Information
 1.Age (in years)
 2. Gender (Male/Female/Other)
 3.Profession (Doctor/Nurse/Medical Officer/Others)
 4.Years of clinical experience
 5.Type of healthcare facility (Public/Private)
 6. Have you ever handled a medico-legal case? (Yes/No)

 Section 2: Awareness Assessment
 7. Have you received any formal education on medico-legal documentation? (Yes/No)
 8. Are you familiar with medico-legal documentation requirements? (Yes/No/Somewhat)
 9. Have you ever prepared a medico-legal report? (Yes/No)
 10. How confident are you in preparing a medico-legal report? (Not confident/Somewhat confident/Very confident)
 11. Do you know the legal implications of improper medico-legal documentation? (Yes/No)
 Section 3: Training Assessment
 12. Have you received any medico-legal training in the past? (Yes/No)
 13. If yes, how often have you attended medico-legal training? (Never/Once/More than once/Regularly)
 14. Do you think the training you received was sufficient? (Yes/No/Somewhat)
 15. Would you be interested in additional medico-legal training? (Yes/No)
 Section 4: Challenges and Barriers
 16. What are the major challenges you face in medico-legal documentation? (Select all that apply)

- Lack of training
- Lack of time
- Fear of legal consequences
- Lack of institutional support
- Others (Specify)

 17. Does your institution provide medico-legal training or refresher courses? (Yes/No)
 18. Do you think there should be mandatory medico-legal training for healthcare professionals? (Yes/No)
 Section 5: Suggestions for Improvement
 19. What measures do you think can improve medico-legal documentation training? (Select all that apply)

- Workshops
- Online courses
- Institutional training programs
- Inclusion in medical curriculum
- Others (Specify)

RESULTS:
Demographic details

Variable	Estimate(n=202)
Gender	Male: 77 (38.1%) Female: 125 (61.9%)
Profession	Doctor: 112 (55.4%) Nurse: 90 (44.6%)
Type of healthcare facility	Government hospital: 18 (8.9%) Private hospital: 59 (29.2%) Medical college: 125 (61.9%)

Gender Distribution

Profession Distribution

Type of Healthcare Facility

Comparison of awareness and medico-legal documentation among doctors and nurses

Awareness	Option	Doctor	Nurse	Total	p-value
Have you ever handled a medico-legal case?	Yes	73 (65.20%)	48 (53.30%)	121 (59.9%)	0.112#
Are you familiar with medico-legal documentation procedures?	Yes	63 (56.30%)	46 (51.10%)	109 (54%)	0.481#
Have you ever prepared a medico-legal report?	Yes	45 (40.20%)	28 (31.10%)	73 (36.1%)	0.189#
How confident are you in preparing a medico-legal report?	Not confident	53 (47.30%)	39 (43.30%)	92 (45.5%)	0.830¥
	Somewhat confident	44 (39.30%)	39 (43.30%)	83 (41.1%)	
	Very confident	15 (13.40%)	12 (13.30%)	27 (13.40%)	
Do you know the legal implications of improper medico-legal documentation?	Yes	47 (42.00%)	49 (54.40%)	96 (47.5%)	0.090#

Training	Option	Doctor	Nurse	Total	p-value
Have you received any formal education on medico-legal documentation in past?	Yes	66 (58.90%)	38 (42.20%)	104 (51.5%)	0.023*#
If Yes, how often have you attended medico-legal training?	Never	46 (41.10%)	44 (48.90%)	90 (44.60%)	0.074¥
	Once	28 (25%)	25 (27.80%)	53 (26.2%)	
	More than once	27 (24.10%)	9 (10%)	36 (17.8%)	
	Regularly	11 (9.98%)	12 (13.30%)	23 (11.4%)	
Would you be interested in additional medico-legal training?	Yes	91 (81.30%)	83 (92.20%)	174 (86.1%)	0.026¥
Do you think the training you received was sufficient?	No	63 (56.30%)	37 (41.10%)	100 (49.5%)	0.015*#
	Somewhat	28 (25%)	20 (22.20%)	48 (23.8%)	
	Yes	21 (18.80%)	33 (36.70%)	54 (26.70%)	

Does your institution provide medico-legal training or refresher	Yes	50 (44.60%)	41 (45.60%)	91 (45.0%)	1.000#
Do you think there should be mandatory medico-legal training for healthcare professionals?	Yes	102 (91.10%)	83 (92.20%)	185 (91.6%)	0.805#
Challenges	Doctor	Nurse	Total	p-value	
Lack of institutional support	12 (10.70%)	8 (8.90%)	20 (9.9%)	0.132	
Lack of time	39 (34.80%)	23 (25.60%)	62 (30.7%)		
Fear of legal consequences	58 (51.80%)	59 (65.60%)	117 (57.9%)		
Lack of training	3 (2.70%)	0	3 (1.5%)		
None					

Comparison of challenges faced during medico-legal documentation among doctors and nurses

Strategies	Doctor	Nurse	Total	p-value
Inclusion in medical curriculum	24 (20.40%)	5 (5.60%)	29 (14.4%)	0.002*
Institutional training programs	50 (40.60%)	57 (63.30%)	107 (53%)	
Online courses	6 (5.40%)	9 (10%)	15 (7.4%)	
Workshops	32 (22.60%)	19 (21.10%)	51 (25.2%)	

DISCUSSION:

The results of our study findings show that 53.96% of respondents were familiar with medico-legal documentation procedures, while 51.98% were aware of the legal implications of improper documentation. These findings are consistent with those reported by Bansal et al. (2019), who observed a comparable awareness level of 58% among junior doctors and interns in North India [12].in a recent study by Mahajan et al. (2020) reported a lower awareness rate of 42.7% in a similar population. Compared to their data, our results are somewhat more optimistic, potentially due to greater exposure or institutional policies that encourage medico-legal familiarity [13].

In our study of 51.49% of respondents having received formal education and training in medico-legal documentation. In contract to study done by Ahmed et al. (2018) from where structured training and higher awareness accounted (74%) [14]. This deviation may be due to in another study participants received multiple times of training and education.We have not collected data of same.

In our study 63.37% had never prepared and handled medico-legal report. Comparatively, Kumar et al. (2017) reported only 36% of doctors were clear on legal documentation processes in Tamil Nadu [16]. this suggests there is a increasing lack of practical exposure in health care professionals for MLC case management.

In our study doctors recommended institutional training 40% and workshop 22% . Among nurses group recommended institutional training 63% and workshop 21%. of respondents

expressed interest in further medico-legal training emphasizes a strong perceived need among healthcare professionals through institutional. This is similar to conclusions drawn by Saxena et al. (2022), who demonstrated participants the most preferred training strategy was institutional training programs (50.97%), followed by workshops (32.25%)—highlighting practical learning as a common preference.

LIMITATIONS:

Single center

In our study we included new interns
 Data not collected about number of time training received.

CONCLUSION:

In our study Doctors are predominantly handling MLC reporting and 63% are not received sufficient training.

Among total participants 31% having fear of legal consequences.

Study participants recommended institutional training (40%) and hands on work shop (20%).

REFERENCES:

- Poojari PG, Thunga G, Nair S, Kunhikatta V, Rao M. A global overview of poison treatment apps and databases. *Int J Toxicol*. 2019;38(2):146-153.
- World Health Organization. Prevention and management of cases of poisoning . Accessed April 2, 2025 . <https://www.who.int/teams/environment-climate-change-and-health/chemical-safety-and-health/incidents-poisonings/prevention-and-management-of-cases-of-poisoning>
- World Health Organization. Suicide. Accessed April 2, 2025. <https://www.who.int/health-topics/suicide>
- Jørs E, Neupane D, London L. Pesticide poisonings in low- and middle-income countries. *Environ Health Insights*. 2018;12:1178630217750876.
- Disfani HF, Kamandi M, Mousavi SM, et al. Risk factors contributing to the incidence and mortality of acute childhood poisoning in emergency department patients in Iran: A hospital-based case-control study. *Epidemiol Health*. 2019;41:e2019016.
- Ni nik , Toporowska-Ka miera k J, Jab o ska K, et al. Toxicovigilance 2.0—modern approaches for the hazard identification and risk assessment of toxicants in human beings: A review. *Toxicology*. 2024;503:153755.
- Schölin L, Knipe D, Bandara P, Eddleston M, Sethi A. Banning highly hazardous pesticides saves the lives of young people, particularly females, in low- and middle-income countries. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23(1):2249.
- Eddleston M. Poisoning by pesticides. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2024;52(6):390-393.
- Mahadik K, Raut A, Chowdhury M, Asad AH, More S. Medical officer's perspectives and professional challenges in handling poisoning cases in rural India. *Indian J Community Fam Med*. 2022;8(1):39.
- Daly FFS, Little M, Murray L. A risk assessment-based approach to the management of acute poisoning. *Emerg Med J*. 2006;23(5):396-399.
- Peta D, Day A, Lugari WS, Gorman V, Ahayalimudin N, Pajo VMT. Triage: A global perspective. *J Emerg Nurs*. 2023;49(6):814-825.
- Chandran J, Krishna B. Initial management of poisoned patient. *Indian J Crit Care Med*. 2019;23(Suppl 4):S234-S240.
- Emergency management of poisoning. In: Haddad Winch, ed. *Clinical Management of Poisoning and Drug Overdose*. Elsevier; 2007:13-61.
- Hall AH, Jacquemin D, Henny D, Mathieu L, Josset P, Meyer B. Corrosive substances ingestion: A review. *Crit Rev Toxicol*. 2019;49(8):637-669.
- Mégarbane B. Toxidrome-based approach to common poisonings. *Asia Pac J Med Toxicol*. 2014;3(1):2-12.
- Hoffman RJ, Nillas A. Toxidromes and a general approach to poisoning. *Arch Dis Child*. Published online February 20, 2025. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2024-326969
- Vodovar D, Gosselin S, Wiener SW. Using toxidromes in the ICU. *Intensive Care Med*. 2025;51(2):404-408.
- Pillay VV, Sasidharan A, UK R. Decontamination methods in poisoning revisited. *Indian Soc Toxicol*. 2016;12(1):22-28.
- Bonilla-Velez J, Marin-Cuero DJ. The use of activated charcoal for acute poisonings. *Int J Med Students*. 2017;5(1):45-52.
- Wood DM, Dear JW, Thanacoody R, Juurlink DN, Chiew AL, Dargan PI. Antidotes in the management of poisoned patients: What have we gained over the last decade? *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2025;91(3):593-594.
- Kobylarz D, Noga M, Frydrych A, et al. Antidotes in clinical toxicology—critical review. *Toxics*. 2023;11(9):723.
- Kifle ZD, Yimenu DK, Demeke CA, et al. Availability of essential antidotes and the role of community pharmacists in the management of acute poisoning: A cross-sectional study in Ethiopia. *INQUIRY J Health Care Organ Provision Financ*. 2022;59:004695802110624.
- Yaxley J, Scott T. Dialysis and extracorporeal therapies for enhanced elimination of toxic ingestions and poisoning. *Ther Apher Dial*. 2022;26(6):865-878.
- Channoum M, Gosselin S. Enhanced poison elimination in critical care. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis*. 2013;20(1):94-101.
- Karki N, Singh V, Verma VK. Pattern, management, and outcome of poisoning in a tertiary care hospital. *J Lumbini Med Coll*. 2018;6(1):32-35.
- Balme K, Stephen C. Streamlining referral decisions for childhood poisoning:

- A cross-sectional study from a tertiary children's hospital in Cape Town, South Africa. *Afr J Emerg Med.* 2022;12(4):432-437.
27. World Health Organization. Clinical management of acute pesticide intoxication: Prevention of suicidal behaviours. 2008. Accessed April 5, 2025. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241597456>
28. Ssemugabo C, Nalinya S, Halage AA, Neebye RM, Musoke D, Jørs E. Doctors' experiences on the quality of care for pesticide poisoning patients in hospitals in Kampala, Uganda: A qualitative exploration using Donabedian's model. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2020;20(1):30.
29. Sales CCF, Oliveira MLF de. Health education practices of poisoning prevention for children in Family Health Strategy. *Esc Anna Nery.* 2019;23:e20180140.